

Children's Mercy Kansas City

## SHARE @ Children's Mercy

---

Research at Children's Mercy Month 2022

Research at Children's Mercy Month

---

5-2022

# Analysis Of High Flow Nasal Cannula Utilization During Pediatric Critical Care Transport

David Kemper

*Children's Mercy Kansas City*

Stephen Pfeiffer

*Children's Mercy Hospital*

Jenifer Pannullo

*Children's Mercy Kansas City*

Stephanie Petersen

*Children's Mercy Kansas City*

Brittney Montijo

*Children's Mercy Kansas City*

*See next page for additional authors*

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/research\\_month2022](https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/research_month2022)

---

### Recommended Citation

Kemper, David; Pfeiffer, Stephen; Pannullo, Jenifer; Petersen, Stephanie; Montijo, Brittney; Lee, Brian; and Flint, Jennifer, "Analysis Of High Flow Nasal Cannula Utilization During Pediatric Critical Care Transport" (2022). *Research at Children's Mercy Month 2022*. 18.

[https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/research\\_month2022/18](https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/research_month2022/18)

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Research at Children's Mercy Month at SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research at Children's Mercy Month 2022 by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact [hlsteel@cmh.edu](mailto:hlsteel@cmh.edu).

---

**Authors**

David Kemper, Stephen Pfeiffer, Jenifer Pannullo, Stephanie Petersen, Brittney Montijo, Brian Lee, and Jennifer Flint

# Analysis of High Flow Nasal Cannula Utilization During Pediatric Critical Care Transport

David Kemper, BHS, RRT, RRT-NPS, C-NPT; Stephen Pfeiffer, MD, FAAP; Jenifer Pannullo, MBA-HM, RRT-NPS; Stephanie Petersen, MPH, RRT, C-NPT; Brittney Montijo, CRT, RRT-NPS; Jennifer Flint, MD

## Objective

There are limited studies on the safety and efficacy of high flow nasal cannula (HFNC) utilization in pediatric critical care transport (CCT). This 15-month retrospective study was designed to describe HFNC utilization by our team and to track escalations in respiratory support within 24 hours of hospital admission including the need for increased liter flow, non-invasive ventilation, or intubation.

## Methods

Retrospective charts were reviewed from January 1st, 2019, to March 31st, 2020, and screened for inclusion criteria:

- >30 days and <18 years old
- Required HFNC utilization at  $\geq 4$  liters/minute (L/min) during CCT
- Admitted to our pediatric facility

A total of 6,279 transports were performed during the study period; 382 charts reviewed, and 358 patients met inclusion criteria. No new equipment was trialed during this study.

## Results

N = 358 (5.7% of total transports)	Median	Interquartile Range (IQR)
Age (years)	0.7	0.3-1
Weight (kg)	8.4	6.2-11
Transport time (min)	80	69-115

Patient starting location tracked and compared to drop-off location.

Referral Patient Starting Location	Total (%)	Patient Drop-Off Location	Total (%)
Emergency Room	184 (51%)	Pediatric Floor	148 (41%)
Pediatric Floor (Peds)	119 (33%)	PICU	210 (59%)
Urgent Care	42 (12%)	<i>*Peds floor HFNC criteria: &lt;2 years of age, no comorbidities, suspected respiratory viral illness, max 2 L/kg and/or 15 L/min, <math>\leq 40\%</math> FIO<sub>2</sub>.</i>	
Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU)	13 (4%)		

HFNC status tracked and differentiated between transport team initiation of the oxygen delivery device, weaned flow, or continued the current settings.

	Initiated By Transport, n = 79 (Median & [IQR] L/min)	Weaned By Transport, n = 42 (Median & [IQR] L/min)	Continued Referral Settings, n = 237 (Median & [IQR] L/min)	P-Value
Referral	---	10.0 [6.3, 15.0]	12.0 [8.0, 15.0]	0.052
Drop-Off	10.0 [8.0, 15.0]	10.0 [5.7, 12.0]	10.0 [8.0, 15.0]	0.122
2-Hrs Post Drop-off	10.0 [8.0, 12.8]	6.0 [2.7, 10.0]	10.0 [8.0, 13.0]	0.004
6-Hrs Post Drop-off	10.0 [8.0, 14.5]	10.0 [9.3, 10.5]	10.0 [6.0, 12.0]	0.314

Patients were tracked for 24 hours post drop-off for escalations in care.

Escalations of Care	Number (%)
Increase in flow	90 (76%)
Non-invasive ventilation	28 (24%)
Intubation	0 (0%)
Total #	118 (33% of our HFNC volume)

## Conclusion

Our data suggests HFNC utilization during pediatric CCT is a safe modality for non-invasive oxygen delivery with minimal risk of escalation and no need for intubation. In the future, we plan to implement a HFNC protocol for management guidelines during CCT while conducting further research and review.

## Discussion

We did not include SARS-COVID-19 patients in this study due to the initial variability in non-invasive respiratory support. The high number of escalations, particularly increased liter flow after patient drop-off, is likely due to institutional practice to start all Peds HFNC patients at 2 L/kg and/or max of 15 L/min.

## References

- Children's Mercy Kansas City. (2020). Critical care transport. Retrieved on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2022, from <https://www.childrensmercy.org/health-care-providers/transport/>
- Westmed. (2020). Anapod humi-therm heated humidification system. Retrieved on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2022, from <http://test1.revolutionthree.net/anapod-humi-therm/>
- Fisher & Paykel. (2020). Optiflow junior 2 nasal interface. Retrieved on March 29<sup>th</sup>, 2022, from <https://www.fphcare.com/us/products/optiflow-junior-2/>
- Additional references available upon request, omitted for space.*

LOVE WILL.

