

Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Presentations

5-2021

Utility of Diagnostic Testing in Patients who Present with Brief Resolved Unexplained Event

Allayne Stephans

Kathryn Westphal

Erin Sullivan

Matt Hall

Risa Bochner

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/presentations>



Part of the [Pediatrics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Stephans, Allayne; Westphal, Kathryn; Sullivan, Erin; Hall, Matt; Bochner, Risa; Cohen, Adam; Colgan, Jennifer Y.; Delaney, Atima C.; Delaroche, Amy; Graf, Thomas; Harper, Beth; Kaplan, Ron L.; Neubauer, Hannah C.; Neuman, Mark I.; Shastri, Nirav; Wilkins, Victoria; Tieder, Joel S.; and Mittal, Manoj, "Utility of Diagnostic Testing in Patients who Present with Brief Resolved Unexplained Event" (2021). *Presentations*. 30.

<https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/presentations/30>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

Creators

Allayne Stephans, Kathryn Westphal, Erin Sullivan, Matt Hall, Risa Bochner, Adam Cohen, Jennifer Y. Colgan, Atima C. Delaney, Amy Delaroche, Thomas Graf, Beth Harper, Ron L. Kaplan, Hannah C. Neubauer, Mark I. Neuman, Nirav Shastri, Victoria Wilkins, Joel S. Tieder, and Manoj Mittal

Utility of Diagnostic Testing and Subspecialty Consultation to Evaluate Brief Resolved Unexplained Events

Allayne Stephans, MD, Joel S. Tieder, MD, MPH, Kathryn Westphal, MD, Erin Sullivan, MPH, Matt Hall, PhD, Risa Bochner, MD, Adam Cohen, MD, Jennifer Y. Colgan, MD, Atima C. Delaney MD, Amy M. DeLaroche, MBBS, Thomas Graf MD, Beth Harper MD, Ron L Kaplan MD, Hannah C. Neubauer MD, Mark I. Neuman MD, MPH, Nirav Shastri MD, Victoria Wilkins, MD, MPH, Manoj K. Mittal, MD



Allayne Stephans, MD

Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital

allayne.stephans@uhhospitals.org



Disclosure

Allayne Stephans

Has documented no financial relationships to disclose or Conflicts of Interest (COIs) to resolve.



Unapproved or Off Label

Disclosures for Allayne Stephans MD

Has documented this presentation ***will not*** involve discussion of unapproved or off-label, experimental or investigational use.



Introduction

- 2016 AAP BRUE definition: Well-appearing infant with event characterized by abrupt changes in color, breathing, muscle tone, and/or level of alertness



Introduction:

- More precise definition for these events
- Limited evaluation for lower-risk patients
- Insufficient evidence for higher-risk recommendations
- Testing decreasing nationally
- Yield of testing appears low (<1%)



Methods:

- 15 children's hospitals
- October 1, 2015 and September 30, 2018 with
- Administrative data query for ICD 9 and ICD 10
- Laboratory testing, imaging, ancillary studies, and consultations
- Revisits or outpatient visits were followed up to one year of age
- Determined evaluation performed, result, and if abnormal result contributed to the final diagnosis
- Distinguished contributory positive, false positives, true positive but incidental findings

Primary Aim:

- Determine frequency and yield of diagnostic testing and specialty consultation in patients presenting to the ED with BRUE



Results:

- 5,584 encounters chart reviewed
- 2036 (36.5%) met study inclusion criteria
- 1286 (63.2%) hospitalized
- 87.2% AAP higher risk and 12.9% lower risk
- 45.3% had explanation for event during their ED or inpatient stay



Results:

- Hospitalized and higher-risk patients had more laboratory testing, imaging, and consults compared to ED discharges or lower-risk
- Most frequent labs: complete blood count (CBC), electrolytes, liver function tests, urinalysis and viral respiratory testing
- Most frequent studies: ECG, chest radiograph, EEG
- Most frequent consults: neurology, feeding specialist, cardiology



Results:

- Laboratory test, image, or consult supported the final diagnosis in only 2.0% (95% CI: 0%-6.5%) of cases
- Laboratory tests: 0.9% (95% CI: 0%-7.4%) of tests
- Imaging and ancillary studies: 1.9% (95% CI: 0%-9.6%) of cases
- Subspecialty consults: 5.5% (95% CI: 0%-17.6%) of cases



Table 3A: Diagnostic Yield of Laboratory Testing

Labs	# of abnormal labs (total # performed)	Labs that contributed to final diagnosis (% of abnormal labs)	% of total labs that contributed to a final diagnosis
Overall	742 (3125)	33 (4)	0.9%
CBC	119 (587)	0	0
Electrolytes	228 (601)	3 (1)	<1% (hyponatremia, hypocalcemia, hypoglycemia)
LFTs	108 (289)	1 (<1)	<1% (abdominal trauma)
GGT	1 (18)	0	0
Urinalysis	30 (226)	3 (10)	1.3% (UTI)
Urine Culture	10 (180)	4 (40)	2% (UTI)
Blood Culture	4 (184)	1 (25)	0.5% (bacteremia)
CRP	16 (86)	0	0
Procalcitonin	0 (8)	0	0
CSF culture	1 (77)	0	0
CSF cellcount	15 (68)	0	0
Pertussis	2 (50)	2 (100)	4% (Pertussis)
Viral Respiratory Test	48 (305)	19 (39)	6% (Bronchiolitis or VRTI)
Blood Gas	105 (152)	0	0
Metabolic labs*	55 (294)	0	0

* Ammonia, UOA, PAA, plasma acyl carnitine

Table 3B: Diagnostic Yield of Imaging

Imaging	# of abnormal images/ total # performed	Images that contributed to final diagnosis, (as % of abnormal images)	% of images that contributed to a final diagnosis
Overall	596/2408	46 (8.3)	1.9%
ECG	164/861	0	0
Chest Radiograph	198/683	2 (1)	(<1%) pneumonia
Echocardiogram	75/165	0	0
EEG	25/226	8 (32)	(3.5%) Seizure (including infantile spasms)
Head Ultrasound	26/111	0	0
Brain CT	11/78	1 (9)	(1.2%) Abusive head trauma
Brain MRI	21/59	2 (9.5)	(3.3%) Subacute infarction in left MCA territory, focus of microhemorrhage in right anterior parietal lobe
pH Probe	15/31	10 (66)	GER(D)
UGI	13/30	9 (69)	(3.3%) Oropharyngeal dysphagia and aspiration, (26%) GER(D)
Modified Barium Swallow Study	18/22	9 (50)	(23%) Oropharyngeal dysphagia and aspiration, (18%) GER(D)
Other Imaging	30/142	4 (13.3)	2.8%
CT face		1	choanal atresia
Sleep Study		1	apnea of prematurity
Laryngoscopy		2	Severe airway abnormality Including laryngomalacia

Table 3C: Diagnostic Yield of Consultations

Consults	Number of consults (% of total)	Consults considered necessary to make the final diagnosis (% of total)	Diagnosis to which consult contributed
Overall	938	52 (5.5)	
ENT	46 (5)	8 (17.3)	Severe laryngomalacia, choanal atresia, subglottic stenosis
Neurology	308 (33)	25 (8.1)	Seizure (including infantile spasms), Breath Holding Spells
Cardiology	155 (17)	0	
Gastroenterology	58 (6.1)	0	
Pulmonology	20 (2.1)	2 (10)	Apnea of prematurity, mixed central and obstructive apnea
Nutrition	19 (2)	0	
Social Work	87 (9.2)	1(1.1)	Abusive head trauma
Feeding Specialist	160 (17)	11 (6.8)	Oropharyngeal dysphagia and aspiration
Child Abuse Specialist	12 (1.2)	1 (8.3)	Abusive head trauma
Other Consults	73 (7.7)	4 (5.4)	
Surgery		2	Intussusception
Toxicology		2	Ingestion (when tox screens were negative)

Discussion:

- Diagnostic testing in patients with BRUE is common but low yield, including in AAP higher risk patients
- ECGs, although commonly ordered, did not capture any cardiac diagnoses during the index visit
- pH probes, UGIs, and MBSSs frequently support a diagnosis of Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) but are not necessary to make this diagnosis in most cases.
- MBSS diagnosed oropharyngeal dysphagia and aspiration 23% of time when ordered
- Neurology consults offered the greatest benefit since seizures, especially infantile spasm is the most common serious diagnosis

Your Institution
or Hospital
Logo Here



Limitations:

- Our study is limited by its retrospective nature
- Wide spectrum of final diagnoses make it difficult to identify the population of interest
- Possibly underpowered to detect rare, important diagnoses, such as arrhythmias or congenital heart disease
- ICD 10 code (R68.13) introduced during study period
- Unable to consider provider and caregiver perspectives



Conclusions:

- Large multicenter study, shows that diagnostic testing and consultations are common in BRUE patients, but rarely contribute to a diagnosis
- Further decrease in testing is likely safe in the majority of patients
- Better algorithms needed to identify patients likely to benefit from testing



THANK YOU!

- **We would like to acknowledge the Brief Resolved Unexplained Event Research and Quality Improvement Network**
- **All of the contributing authors to the abstract and presentation**
- Joel Tieder MD, MPH, Manoj Mittal MD

Email questions to:

- allayne.stephans@uhhosptials.org
- joel.tieder@seattlechildrens.org
- mittal@chop.edu

