Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Presentations

4-2022

Rates of Physical Abuse Screening and Detection in Infants with Brief Resolved Unexplained Events (BRUEs)

Angela Doswell Children's Mercy Hospital

James Anderst Children's Mercy Hospital

Joel Tieder

Henry T. Puls Children's Mercy Hospital

BRUE Research and Quality Improvement Network

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/presentations

Part of the Pediatrics Commons

Recommended Citation

Doswell, Angela; Anderst, James; Tieder, Joel; Puls, Henry T.; and BRUE Research and Quality Improvement Network, "Rates of Physical Abuse Screening and Detection in Infants with Brief Resolved Unexplained Events (BRUEs)" (2022). *Presentations*. 65. https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/presentations/65

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

Rates of Physical Abuse Screening and Detection in Infants with Brief Resolved Unexplained Events (BRUEs)

Angela Doswell, MD, MSPH James Anderst, MD, MSCI Joel Tieder, MD Hank Puls, MD BRUE Research and Quality Improvement Network

> BEST CHILDRENS HOSPITALS USNEWS BYFCALTES DATE: RANKEDIN SPECALTES COLECTION RANKEDIN SPECALTES COLECTION COL



Disclosures

• None



Background





- Apparent Life-Threatening Events (ALTEs) are associated with child physical abuse (CPA) at a rate of 0.4 to 3.7%.
- In 2016, the term Brief Resolved Unexplained Event (BRUE) replaced ALTE.
- It is unknown if there is a similar association between BRUEs and CPA.



Objectives

Primary:

- To determine the rate of CPA identified in infants presenting with a BRUE until 1 year of age.
- To examine differences between infants with and without CPA.

Secondary:

• To examine rates of diagnostic testing used to detect CPA at initial BRUE presentation.



Methods



Study Design

• The study was a secondary analysis of BRUE Research and Quality Improvement Network.

• Infants were followed until 1 year of age for BRUE recurrence or revisits to the same health system.

• Charts were reviewed for a CPA diagnosis made at or after the initial BRUE presentation.



Study Population

Inclusion Criteria

 Infants with an International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) code associated with a BRUE

Exclusion Criteria

- Abnormal physical examination (i.e., evidence of major trauma such as skeletal deformities)
- Preexisting comorbid condition (possible cause for BRUE)
- Objective symptoms precluding BRUE diagnosis (i.e., fever)
- Outside facility transfer
- Unrelated chief complaint
- No BRUE characteristics



Study Definitions

Child physical abuse (CPA)

- Abusive head trauma (AHT)
- Abusive cutaneous injury
- Abusive fractures

Diagnostic testing used to detect physical abuse

- Skeletal survey
- Neuroimaging (head CT and/or brain MRI)



Study Definitions

Physical examination findings concerning for trauma:

- Bruising in infants younger than 6 months of age (or nonmobile)
- Unexplained bruising in infants older than 6 months of age (or mobile)
- Any torn frenulum
- Soft tissue swelling of the scalp
- Rib or other bony tenderness to palpation

Concerning social history

- Maternal substance use
- Inconsistent injury history
- Child Protective Services involvement



Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics
- Chi-square tests



Results



Results

- There was a total of 2036 infants included in the study.
- Only 7 infants (0.3%) were diagnosed with CPA within the first year of life.
- Only 1 infant (<0.1%) was diagnosed at the initial BRUE presentation.
 - This is lower than the rate of 0.4% to 3.7% of infants with CPA diagnosed at initial ALTE presentation.



Demographics

| | Overall (N=2036) | BRUE without CPA diagnosis (N=2029) | BRUE with CPA diagnosis (N=7) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Age (days), Median [IQR] | 46 [18, 103] | 46 [18, 102] | 106 [47, 169] | 0.20 |
| Male, N (%) | 971 (47.7) | 967 (47.7) | 4 (57.1) | 0.62 |
| Race/Ethnicity, N (%) | | | | |
| White | 987 (48.5) | 981 (48.3) | 6 (85.7) | 0.048 |
| Black or African American | 688 (33.8) | 687 (33.9) | 1 (14.3) | 0.27 |
| Other or Unknown | 340 (16.7) | 340 (16.8) | 0 (0.0) | 0.23 |
| Asian | 46 (2.3) | 46 (2.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0.69 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other | 6 (0.3) | 6 (0.3) | 0 (0.0) | 0.88 |
| Pacific Islander | | | | |
| American Indian or Alaska | 4 (0.2) | 4 (0.2) | 0 (0.0) | 0.91 |
| Native | | | | |
| Hispanic, N (%) | 446 (21.9) | 444 (21.9) | 2 (28.6) | 0.88 |
| Payer type, N (%) | | | | 0.41 |
| Government | 1306 (64.1) | 1303 (64.2) | 3 (42.9) | |
| Private | 685 (33.6) | 681 (33.6) | 4 (57.1) | |
| Other | 45 (2.2) | 45 (2.2) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Primary language English, N (%) | 1708 (83.8) | 1701 (83.8) | 7 (100) | 0.53 |



| | Overall (N=2036) | BRUE without CPA diagnosis (N=2029) | BRUE with CPA diagnosis (N=7) | p-value |
|---|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| BRUE characteristics, N (%) | | | | |
| Absent, decreased or irregular breathing | 1477 (72.5) | 1473 (72.6) | 4 (57.1) | 0.36 |
| Color change | 1043 (51.2) | 1036 (51.1) | 7 (100) | 0.01 |
| Event duration < 1 minute | 1003 (49.3) | 1001 (49.3) | 2 (28.6) | 0.27 |
| Change in tone | 860 (42.2) | 856 (42.2) | 4 (57.1) | 0.42 |
| Altered responsiveness | 687 (33.7) | 685 (33.8) | 2 (28.6) | 0.77 |
| Clinical features, N (%) | | | | |
| Physical examination findings concerning for trauma | 7 (0.3) | 6 (0.3) | 1 (14.3) | <0.001 |



| | Overall (N=2036) | BRUE without CPA diagnosis (N=2029) | BRUE with CPA diagnosis (N=7) | p-value |
|--|---------------------|--|--|---------|
| BRUE Risk Factors, N (%) | | | | |
| Age < 60 days | 1187 (58.3) | 1185 (58.4) | 2 (28.6) | 0.11 |
| Event duration > 1 minute | 1033 (50.7) | 1028 (50.7) | 5 (71.4) | 0.27 |
| Recurrent event (prior episode) | 717 (35.2) | 715 (35.2) | 2 (28.6) | 0.58 |
| Multiple or cluster of events in last 24 hours | 583 (28.6) | 580 (28.6) | 3 (42.9) | 0.51 |
| Gestational age < 38 weeks | 541 (26.6) | 539 (26.6) | 2 (28.6) | 0.72 |
| Prematurity and corrected gestational age \leq 45 weeks | 394 (21.2) | 393 (21.2) | 1 (14.3) | 0.66 |
| Family history of sudden or unexplained death | 176 (8.6) | 176 (8.7) | 0 (0.0) | 0.07 |
| Cardiopulmonary resuscitation performed | 115 (5.6) | 114 (5.6) | 1 (14.3) | 0.32 |
| Social history concerning for non-accidental trauma or child abuse | 79 (3.9) | 79 (3.9) | 0 (0.0) | 0.31 |



Infants with CPA Diagnoses

| Demographics | Duration since initial BRUE presentation | Pertinent Findings | Diagnoses |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 3-month-old healthy female | 0 days | Petechiae on face, scalp, under chin, upper chest, knee Bruising to lateral face and lower back Patterned bruising to right thigh | Abusive cutaneous injury |
| 1.5-month-old healthy male | 3 or fewer days | Bilateral subdural hemorrhages Subgaleal hematoma or calavarial bone cyst of posterior parietal bone Bilateral retinal hemorrhages | AHT |
| 6-month-old male with prematurity | 31-60 days | Bruising Subdural hemorrhage | AHT Abusive cutaneous injury |
| 3-day-old healthy male | 31-60 days | Posterior parietal scalp hematoma Acute left femur fracture 7 healing right posterior rib fractures Healing right clavicle fracture Possible CML of left proximal tibia | Abusive fractures |
| 2.5-month-old male with prematurity | 61-90 days | Subdural hemorrhage Preretinal hemorrhages | AHT |
| 3-month-old healthy female | 61-90 days | Acute-on-chronic subdural hemorrhages | AHT |
| 5-month-old healthy female | More than 90 days | Bruising Right frontal subdural hemorrhage | AHT Abusive cutaneous injury |
| | | | |



Diagnostic Testing Used to Detect CPA

- Of all infants seen at initial BRUE presentation, only 7.0% underwent skeletal survey and 6.2% underwent head imaging.
- Skeletal survey was more likely to be performed for:
 - Physical examination findings concerning for trauma (42.9% vs. 6.9%, p<0.001)
 - Concerning social history

(13.9% vs. 5.9%, p <0.05)



Diagnostic Testing Used to Detect CPA

• Head imaging was more often performed for:

- Physical examination findings concerning for trauma (71.4% vs. 6.0%; p< 0.001)
- Family history of sudden unexplained death

(10.2% vs. 6.3%; p= 0.047)

Concerning social history

(22.8% vs. 5.4%; p< 0.001)



Limitations and Conclusion



Limitations

- Given the retrospective nature of this study, there was:
 - Low power due to pre-determined number of infants with CPA diagnosis
 - Lack of universal diagnostic testing to detect CPA
 - Potential differences in screening and documenting of physical examination findings
- Infants may have been diagnosed with CPA outside of their original health system.



Conclusions

- The rate of CPA in infants with BRUE is much lower than in ALTE.
- Diagnostic testing rates were also low in accordance with current clinical guidelines for BRUE.
- Protocol development and standardization of workup for sentinel injuries and occult physical abuse in infants with BRUE are warranted.



Questions?







- 1. Guenther E, Powers A, Srivastava R, Bonkowsky JL. Abusive head trauma in children presenting with an apparent life-threatening event. *J Pediatr*. 2010;157(5):821-825.
- 2. Parker K, Pitetti R. Mortality and child abuse in children presenting with apparent lifethreatening events. *Pediatric Emergency Care*. 2011;27(7):591-595.
- 3. Vellody K, Freeto JP, Gage SL, Collins N, Gershan WM. Clues that aid in the diagnosis of nonaccidental trauma presenting as an apparent life-threatening event. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2008;47(9):912-918.
- 4. Altman RL, Brand DA, Forman S, et al. Abusive head injury as a cause of apparent Life-threatening events in infancy. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2003;157(10):1011-1015.
- 5. Pitetti RD, Maffei F, Chang K, Hickey R, Berger R, Pierce MC. Prevalence of retinal hemorrhages and child abuse in children who present with an apparent life-threatening event. *Pediatrics*. 2002;110(3):557-562.

