Children's Mercy Kansas City SHARE @ Children's Mercy

**Clinical Critically Appraised Topics** 

**Critically Appraised Topics** 

10-2022

## **Diabetic Ketoacidosis Intravenous Fluids**

Children's Mercy Kansas City

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/clinical-criticallyappraised-topics

Part of the Pediatrics Commons



## **Evidence Based Practice**

#### Specific Care Question

For pediatric patients experiencing diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) is Lactated Ringer's (LR) versus Normal Saline (NS) superior in preventing hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis or cerebral edema?

#### Recommendations from the DKA Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) Committe Based on Current Literature (Best Evidence) Only

No recommendation can be made to change the standard of care from use of NS to LR, based on expert opinion and review of current literature by the subject matter experts and the Department of EBP. The overall certainty in the evidence is very low<sup>a</sup>. No studies from the literature search were identified comparing LR to NS in preventing hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis. The literature search identified two cohort studies (Bergmann et al., 2021; Hsia et al., 2015) addressing prevention of cerebral edema. The first study (Bergmann et al., 2021; Hsia et al., 2015) found LR superior to NS in preventing cerebral edema for patients with DKA. The second study (Hsia et al., 2015) found LR to be equivalent to ½ NS in prevention of cerebral edema for patients with DKA.

When there is a lack of scientific evidence, standard work should be developed, implemented, and monitored.

#### Literature Summary Background

In pediatric patients with established type 1 diabetes, diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) and its complications are the most common cause of hospitalization, morbidity and mortality, with an overall incidence of 8% in this population. It is estimated that nearly one third of patients present with DKA at time of diagnosis of type 1 diabetes (Levy-Shraga et al., 2017).

Patients with DKA are at risk for adverse outcomes, including hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis and cerebral edema. Hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis may occur from increased chloride load from rehydration with NS and may mask detection of DKA resolution (Badawi et al., 2021). Cerebral edema is one of the most serious adverse outcomes resulting from DKA, with mortality ranges of 20%-90% and neurologic impairment in 25% of survivors (Long & Koyfman, 2017). Fluid management in DKA has been identified as playing a possible role in causation of cerebral edema and hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis (Jayashree et al., 2019). This review will summarize identified literature to answer the specific care question.

**Study characteristics**. The search for suitable studies was completed on August 9, 2022. R. McDonough, DO and T.Musick, DO reviewed the 69 titles and/or abstracts found in the search and identified<sup>b</sup> 16 single studies believed to answer the question. After an in-depth review of the single studies<sup>b</sup>, no studies answered the question for the outcome of hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis and two studies (Bergmann et al., 2021; Hsia et al., 2015) answered the question for the outcome of cerebral edema.

**Questions Answered.** One cohort study (Bergmann et al., 2021) (N = 49,737) looked at patients receiving LR (n = 1,762) compared to patients receiving NS (n = 43,841) and measured the outcome of cerebral edema. Cerebral edema was defined in this study by ICD-9 coding or coding for parental hyperosmolar solutions (3% saline or mannitol).

A second cohort study (Hsia et al., 2015) (N = 1,868) looked at patients receiving  $\frac{1}{2}$  NS (n = 604) compared to patients receiving LR (n = 1,264) and measured suspected cerebral edema. Suspected cerebral edema was defined in this study as any indication of altered mental status, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) of  $\leq 8$ , CT scan of the head, treatment with mannitol or 3% saline, or death.

1



## **Evidence Based Practice**

### Summary by Outcome

### Cerebral Edema (Actual or Suspected)

For the outcome of cerebral edema, the *OR* indicated that for patients with DKA the intervention of LR was favorable compared to the comparator of NS: 14/1762 LR versus 1578/43841 NS, *OR* = 4.66, 95% CI [2.75, 7.91], *p*-value < .001.

**Certainty Of The Evidence**<sup>a</sup> **For Prevention of Cerebral Edema.** The certainty of the body of evidence was very low. The body of evidence was assessed to not have serious indirectness nor imprecision however was assessed to have serious risk of bias. The risk of bias was serious due to the potential selection bias of the retrospective cohort study design. As only one study (Hsia et al., 2015) was identified to answer this question, consistency could not be assessed.

### Suspected Cerebral Edema

For the outcome of suspected cerebral edema, the *OR* indicated that for patients with DKA the intervention of LR was not different to the comparator of  $\frac{1}{2}$  NS: 105/1264 LR versus 58/604  $\frac{1}{2}$  NS, *OR* = 1.17, 95% CI [0.84, 1.64], *p*-value = .35.

**Certainty Of The Evidence**<sup>a</sup> **For Prevention of Suspected Cerebral Edema.** The certainty of the body of evidence was very low. The body of evidence was assessed to not have serious indirectness risk. However it was assessed to have serious risk of bias and serious imprecision. The risk of bias was serious due to the potential selection bias of the retrospective cohort study design. Imprecision was serious due to the low number of events. As only one study was identified to answer this question consistency could not be assessed.

### **Identification of Studies**

### Embase Search Strategy and Results (see Figure 1)

Initial search (included brain edema only)

- 1) 'diabetic ketoacidosis'/exp OR 'diabetic ketoacidosis':ab,ti,kw OR 'dka':ab,ti,kw
- 2) 'ringer lactate solution'/exp OR 'ringer lactate solution' OR 'lactated ringers'/exp OR 'lactated ringers' OR 'normal saline'/exp OR 'normal saline' OR 'acetic acid plus gluconate sodium plus magnesium chloride plus potassium chloride plus sodium chloride'/exp OR 'plasma-lyte' OR 'saline solution'/exp OR 'saline solution' OR 'sodium chloride'/exp OR 'sodium chloride' OR 'fluid therapy'/exp OR 'fluid therapy'
- 3) 'acute kidney failure' OR 'acute kidney injury' OR 'aki':ti,ab,kw OR 'brain edema' OR 'treatment outcome' OR 'outcome'/exp OR outcome OR 'outcome assessment'/exp OR 'hyperchloremia'/exp OR hyperchloremia OR 'hyperchloremic acidosis'/exp OR 'hyperchloremic acidosis' OR 'hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis'/exp OR 'hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis'
- 4) #1 AND #2 AND #3
- 5) #4 AND ([adolescent]/lim OR [child]/lim OR [infant]/lim OR [preschool]/lim OR [school]/lim) AND ('article'/it OR 'article in press'/it OR 'review'/it)
- 6) #4 AND ([adolescent]/lim OR [child]/lim OR [infant]/lim OR [preschool]/lim OR [school]/lim) AND ('article'/it OR 'article in press'/it OR 'review'/it) AND [2012-2022]/py
- 7) #6 NOT 'case report'/de Search dates: 2012-Current Records identified through database searching n = 64Additional records identified through other sources n = 0

Second search (expanded to include cerebral edema)

1) 'diabetic ketoacidosis'/exp OR 'diabetic ketoacidosis':ab,ti,kw OR 'dka':ab,ti,kw



## **Evidence Based Practice**

- 2) 'ringer lactate solution'/exp OR 'ringer lactate solution' OR 'lactated ringers'/exp OR 'lactated ringers' OR 'normal saline'/exp OR 'normal saline' OR 'acetic acid plus gluconate sodium plus magnesium chloride plus potassium chloride plus sodium chloride'/exp OR 'plasma-lyte' OR 'saline solution'/exp OR 'saline solution' OR 'sodium chloride'/exp OR 'sodium chloride' OR 'fluid therapy'/exp OR 'fluid therapy'
- 3) 'cerebral edema' OR 'brain edema' OR 'acute kidney failure' OR 'acute kidney injury' OR 'aki':ti,ab,kw OR 'treatment outcome' OR 'outcome'/exp OR outcome OR 'outcome assessment'/exp OR 'hyperchloremia'/exp OR hyperchloremia OR 'hyperchloremic acidosis'/exp OR 'hyperchloremic acidosis' OR 'hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis'/exp OR 'hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis'
- 4) #1 AND #2 AND #3
- 5) #4 AND ([adolescent]/lim OR [child]/lim OR [infant]/lim OR [preschool]/lim OR [school]/li AND ('article'/it OR 'article in press'/it OR 'review'/it) NOT 'case report'/de AND [2012-2022]/py Search dates: 2012-Current
  Describe the second addention of the seco

Records identified through database searching n = 69Additional records identified through other sources n = 0

Studies Included in this Review

Citation	Study Type
Bergmann et al. (2021)	Retrospective Cohort
Hsia et al. (2015)	Retrospective Cohort

Studies Not Included in this Review with Exclusion Rationale

Citation	Reason for exclusion
Badawi et al. (2021)	Study of NS vs 1/2 NS
Basnet et al. (2014)	Study of NS vs 1/2 NS
Böttcher et al. (2020)	Study of other isotonic electrolyte solution
Glaser et al. (2013)	Study design only
Glaser et al. (2021)	Study of NS of ½ NS
Hegab et al. (2022)	Study for outcome of acute kidney injury
Horvat et al. (2018)	DKA pathway for PICU admission
Kuppermann et al. (2018)	Study of NS vs ½ NS
Lehr et al. (2022)	Systematic review includes illnesses other than DKA
Lehtiranta et al. (2021)	Study of plasma-like isotonic fluid
Maurice et al. (2022)	Study of NS vs NS with 5% glucose
Rewers et al. (2021)	Study of NS vs 1/2 NS
Shafi and Kumar (2018)	Study of 3% saline
Williams et al. (2020)	Study of Plasmalyte

### Methods Used for Appraisal and Synthesis

<sup>a</sup><u>The GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool (GDT)</u> is the tool used to create the Summary of Findings (SOF) table(s) for this analysis. Using the GDT, the author of this CAT rates the certainty of the evidence based on four factors: *within-study risk of bias*, *consistency among studies*, *directness of evidence*, and *precision of effect estimates*. Each factor is subjectively judged against the author's confidence of the estimated treatment effect. Confidence is assessed as not serious, serious or very serious. If the attribute of serious or very serious is assessed, the author will provide an explanation.



# **Evidence Based Practice**

<sup>b</sup> Rayyan is a wel 2017).	o-based software used for the initial screening of titles and / or abstracts for this analysis (Ouzzani, Hammady, Fedorowicz & Elmagarmid,			
<sup>c</sup> Review Manager (Higgins & Green, 2011) is a Cochrane Collaborative computer program used to assess the study characteristics as well as the risk of bias and create the forest plots found in this analysis.				
	eporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram depicts the process in which literature is searched, nd eligibility criteria is applied (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009).			
References to	Appraisal and Synthesis Methods			
	<sup>a</sup> GRADEpro GDT: GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool (2015). McMaster University, (developed by Evidence Prime, Inc.). [Software]. Available from gradepro.org.			
	ammady, H., Fedorowicz, Z., & Elmagarmid, A. (2016). Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. Systematic Reviews, 5(1), 210. 186/s13643-016-0384-4			
	, & Green, S. e. (2011). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions [updated March 2011] (Version 5.1.0 ed.): The Collaboration, 2011.			
	ati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). <i>P</i> referred <i>R</i> eporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA at. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097 <b>For more information, visit <u>www.prisma-statement.org</u>.</b>			
Question Origi				
R. McDonou				
	m this review were presented to the DKA CPG committee on September 30, 2022.			
	an Responsible for the Search Strategy , MLIS, AHIP			
	, MLIS, ANIP BP Scholars Responsible for Analyzing the Literature			
	1HA, RRT, RRT-ACCS, RRT-NPS, C-NPT, CPPS			
	BSN, MSN, MHSA, DNP, NE-BC, CPN			
	rector Responsible for Reviewing the Literature			
K. Berg, MD				
	, ber Responsible for Reviewing, Synthesizing, and Developing this Document			
	ILS (ASCP) SM			
Acronyms Used	in this Document			
Acronym	Explanation			
CAT	Critically Appraised Topic			
DKA	Diabetic ketoacidosis			
EBP	Evidence Based Practice			
ICD-9	International Classification of Diseaes 9 <sup>th</sup> Revision			
PRISMA	Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses			
LR	Lactated Ringer's			
NS	Normal saline			
Statistical Acron	yms Used in this Document			
Statistical Acro	nym Explanation			

Date Developed or Revised: 10/14/2022

If you have questions regarding this CAT – please contact <u>EvidenceBasedPractice@cmh.edu</u> 4




CI	Confidence Interval
$I^2$	Heterogeneity test
M or $\overline{X}$	Mean
Mdn	Median
n	Number of cases in a subsample
N	Total number in sample
OR	Odds Ratio
P or p	Probability of success in a binary trial
SD	Standard deviation
SR	Systematic Review



**Evidence Based Practice** 

### Figure 1

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRIMSA)<sup>d</sup>





1

# **Evidence Based Practice**

Characteristics of Intervention Studies Bergmann et al. (2021)

Methods	Cohort					
Participants		ged 0 to 17	years with D	A between January 1, 2005	and	
	September 30, 2015					
	Setting: 48 US Children's Hospitals. Data from Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS)					
	Number enrolled into study: N = 49,737					
	Group 1, Norma					
	Group 2, Lactate			p = 1,762		
	• Group 3, Both N					
	Gender, males (as defi					
	<ul> <li>Group 1: n = 20,035 (45.7%)</li> <li>Group 2: n = 819 (46.5%)</li> </ul>					
	• <b>Group 3:</b> <i>n</i> = 1,8					
	Race (as defined by res					
		Group 1	Group 2	Group 3		
	White, non-Hispanic		32.6%	33.5%		
	Black, non-Hispanic	18.1%	15.9%	19.9%		
	Hispanic	12.1%	17.1%	17.2%		
	Other	4.6%	2.3%	4.6%		
	Unknown	21.7%	32.0%	24.9%		
	Age, median in years, (	(IQR)				
	• Group 1: 12 (9 -					
	• Group 2: 12 (9 - 15) • Group 2: 12 (9 - 15)					
	• Group 3: 12 (9 -					
	Inclusion Criteria:	10)				
	<ul> <li>Discharged from diagnosis of type</li> </ul>					
	Exclusion Criteria:	I GIODELES M		515		
	Coding for a bolus	of ID or NO				
	Nonparenteral administration routes					
	Infusion volumes of less than 50 ml					
				an 0.00/		
	Saline infusions o	f concentrati		an 0.9%		
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> </ul>	f concentrati		an 0.9%		
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> <li>Covariates Identified:</li> </ul>	f concentrat records		an 0.9%		
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics	ions other th			
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrat records stics stics reflecti	ions other th	an 0.9% verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrat records stics stics reflecti	ions other th		easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost r</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrat records stics stics reflecti /	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis-	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment nit	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Covariates Identified:</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment nit e	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment nit e	ions other th ng illness se	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Covariates Identified:</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Vatient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti / d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost n</li> <li>Covariates Identified:         <ul> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost n</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment tment nit e ation n fluid volum ospital	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
Interventions	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum ospital	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
Interventions	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Onli</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum ospital	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
Interventions	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum ospital	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
Interventions Outcomes	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Onlig</li> <li>Group 3: NS and</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum ospital	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Onli</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment hit e ation n fluid volum ospital y LR	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Only</li> <li>Group 3: NS and</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment nit e ation n fluid volum ospital y LR (IV) fluid vo	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Only</li> <li>Group 3: NS and</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment nit e ation n fluid volum ospital y LR (IV) fluid vo	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	
	<ul> <li>Saline infusions o</li> <li>Unavailable cost o</li> <li>Patient characteri</li> <li>Clinical characteri</li> <li>Admission priority</li> <li>Patient type</li> <li>All Patient Refined</li> <li>Emergency depar</li> <li>Intensive Care Ur</li> <li>Infection presence</li> <li>Mechanical ventila</li> <li>Total resuscitation</li> <li>Discharge year</li> <li>Fixed effects by h</li> <li>Group 1: NS Only</li> <li>Group 3: NS and</li> </ul>	f concentrati records stics stics reflecti d Diagnosis- tment nit e ation n fluid volum ospital y LR (IV) fluid vo	ions other th ng illness se Related Grou	verity or influence outcome m	easures	

Date Developed or Revised: 10/14/2022

If you have questions regarding this CAT – please contact <u>EvidenceBasedPractice@cmh.edu</u>



	Cerebral edema*	
	Safety outcome(s):	
	<ul> <li>Not reported</li> </ul>	
	*Outcomes of interest to the CMH CPG	/CAT development team
Results	<ul> <li>Results:</li> <li>Total amount of IV fluid administered was significantly higher in patients treated with both LR and NS but not when comparing patients treated with LR only and NS only</li> <li>The rate of cerebral edema was significantly lower in the group that received LR only compared to the groups that received NS only or both LR and NS (<i>OR</i> = 4.66; 95% CI [2.75, 7.91], <i>p</i> = &lt;.001).</li> </ul>	
	Cerebral Edema	
	Intervention	Cerebral Edema
	Normal saline $(n = 43841)$	1578 (3.6%)
	Lactated Ringer's ( $n = 1762$ )	14 (0.8%)
	<ul> <li>Unable to determine risk of cere to unavailability of discrete labo</li> <li>Charge limitations of administrations</li> </ul>	ften in patients with less risk of cerebral edema ebral edema based on blood urea nitrogen levels due pratory values in PHIS ative claim data due to variation in hospital harge bundling or contractual agreements)



# **Evidence Based Practice**

#### <u>Hsia et al. (2015)</u>

Methods	Cohort	
Participants	Participants: Children presenting with DKA during two time periods (August 1998-July	
Faiticipalits	2004 and August 2004-August 2010)	
	Setting: Texas Children's Hospital (TCH)	
	Number enrolled into study: $N = 1,868$	
	• Group 1, $\frac{1}{2}$ Normal Saline (NS): $n = 604$	
	• Group 2, Lactated Ringers (LR): n = 1,264	
	Gender, males (as defined by researchers):	
	• Group 1: 42%	
	• Group 2: 45%	
	Race (as defined by researchers):	
	Not reported	
	Age, mean in years, (SD)	
	• <b>Group 1:</b> 11.4 (6.7 - 16.1)	
	• <b>Group 2:</b> 11.9 (6.6 - 16.3)	
	Inclusion Criteria:	
	Admissions for DKA with suspected clinical cerebral edema and adverse outcomes	
	identified by ICD-9 codes for DKA, poorly controlled diabetes, cerebral edema,	
	death records when available, and hospitalizations lasting longer than 5 days.	
	• DKA defined by standard criteria: glucose $\geq$ 200 and HCO <sub>3</sub> $\leq$ 15 or pH $\leq$	
	<ul> <li>7.3</li> <li>Considering signs and symptoms of cerebral edema may be subtle and/or not</li> </ul>	
	recognized, the criteria were broadened for 'suspected clinical cerebral edema'.	
	Thus, any indication of altered mental status, GCS of $\leq 8$ , CT scan of the head,	
	treatment with mannitol or 3% saline, or death were recorded as suspected clinical	
	cerebral edema.	
	Exclusion Criteria:	
	Erroneous coding	
	Hospitalizations for reasons other than DKA and/or not meeting the criteria for DKA	
	Inadequate laboratory data or clinical record information to determine the presence	
	or absence of DKA or suspected clinical cerebral edema	
	Covariates Identified:	
	New onset diabetes	
	Length of stay (days)	
	Transfer from an outside hospital	
	Suspected clinical cerebral edema	
Interventions	• <b>Group 1:</b> Initial volume expansion with 10-20 mL/kg of NS; subsequent	
	rehydration with 1/2 NS at a recommended rate of 3500 mL/m <sup>2</sup> /d for the first 24	
	hours; potassium supplement 20mEq/L of K acetate and 20 mEq/L of $K_2PO_4$	
	• Group 2: Initial volume expansion with 10-20mL/kg of Lactated Ringer's;	
	subsequent rehydration with Lactated Ringer's at a recommended rate of 2500	
	mL/m <sup>2</sup> /d for the first 24 hours; potassium supplement 15 mEq/L of KCl and	
	30mEq/L of K <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	



Outcomes	Primary outcome(s):	
	<ul> <li>Adverse outcomes (neurological impairment or death)*</li> </ul>	
	Secondary outcome(s):	
	<ul> <li>Suspected clinical cerebral edema*</li> </ul>	
	• pH	
	Glucose	
	BUN	
	Total fluids in 24 hours	
	Safety outcome(s):	
	Not reported	
	*Outcomes of interest to the CMH CPG /CAT development team	
Results	Results:	
	<ul> <li>Decreasing the intended fluid rate during the initial 24 hours to 2500 mL/m<sup>2</sup>/d and increasing the IV fluid sodium content did not significantly decrease the incidence of adverse outcomes in children with DKA.</li> <li>There was nearly a threefold higher rate of suspected clinical cerebral edema in children who received their initial fluid resuscitation at an outside hospital compared with those initially seen at TCH.</li> <li>An updated institutional protocol to use higher tonicity fluids for the management of DKA did not lead to a change in morbidity or mortality from cerebral edema.</li> <li>There was not a significant difference in cases of suspected cerebral edema in the group that received ½ NS vs. the group that received LR (<i>OR</i> = 1.17; 95% CI [0.84, 1.64], <i>p</i> = .35).</li> <li>Suspected Cerebral Edema</li> </ul>	
	Intervention Suspected Cerebral Edema	
	1/2 Normal saline (n = 604) 58 (9.6%)	
	Lactated Ringer's (n = 1264) 105 (8.3%)	
	<ul> <li>Limitations:</li> <li>Flaws in the complete recovery of medical records</li> <li>Increased awareness and early intervention for clinical cerebral edema may have improved outcomes</li> <li>Each admission for patients with more than one admission was included</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Group 1: 418 unique patients (1.44 episodes of DKA/patient admitted for documented DKA in the 6-yr period)</li> <li>Group 2: 942 unique patients (1.34 episodes of DKA/patient admitted for documented DKA in the 6-yr period)</li> </ul>	



## **Evidence Based Practice**

### References

- Badawi, N. E. S., Hafez, M., Eldin, H. S., Abdelatif, H. M., Atef, S., Ismail, M. M., & Arafa, N. (2021). Outcome of the use of 0.9% saline versus 0.45% saline for fluid rehydration in moderate and severe diabetic ketoacidosis in children. *Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette*, 69(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43054-021-00057-z
- Basnet, S., Venepalli, P. K., Andoh, J., Verhulst, S., & Koirala, J. (2014). Effect of normal saline and half normal saline on serum electrolytes during recovery phase of diabetic ketoacidosis. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine*, 29(1), 38-42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066612467149</u>
- Bergmann, K. R., Abuzzahab, M. J., Nowak, J., Arms, J., Cutler, G., Christensen, E., Finch, M., & Kharbanda, A. (2021). Resuscitation with Ringer's Lactate Compared with Normal Saline for Pediatric Diabetic Ketoacidosis. *Pediatric Emergency Care*, 37(5), E236-E242. <u>https://journals.lww.com/pec-online/Fulltext/2021/05000/Resuscitation With Ringer's Lactate Compared With.15.aspx</u>
- Böttcher, I., Datz, N., Biester, T., von dem Berge, T., Danne, T., & Kordonouri, O. (2020). Isotonic glucose-electrolyte solutions for the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis. *Monatsschrift fur Kinderheilkunde*, *168*(7), 590-596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00112-019-0673-9
- Glaser, N. S., Ghetti, S., Casper, T. C., Dean, J. M., & Kuppermann, N. (2013). Pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis, fluid therapy, and cerebral injury: The design of a factorial randomized controlled trial. *Pediatric Diabetes*, 14(6), 435-446. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12027</u>
- Glaser, N. S., Stoner, M. J., Garro, A., Baird, S., Myers, S. R., Rewers, A., Brown, K. M., Trainor, J. L., Quayle, K. S., McManemy, J. K., DePiero, A. D., Nigrovic, L. E., Tzimenatos, L., Schunk, J. E., Olsen, C. S., Casper, T. C., Ghetti, S., Kuppermann, N., Perry, C. S., . . . Baird, J. S. (2021). Serum sodium concentration and mental status in children with diabetic ketoacidosis. *Pediatrics*, 148(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2021-050243</u>
- Hegab, A. M., Khalil, F. F., & Abosedera, M. M. (2022). Incidence and factors associated with acute kidney injury among children with type 1 diabetes hospitalized with diabetic ketoacidosis: A prospective study. *Pediatric Diabetes*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.13370</u>
- Horvat, C. M., Ismail, H. M., Au, A. K., Garibaldi, L., Siripong, N., Kantawala, S., Aneja, R. K., Hupp, D. S., Kochanek, P. M., & Clark, R. S. B. (2018). Presenting predictors and temporal trends of treatment-related outcomes in diabetic ketoacidosis. *Pediatric Diabetes*, 19(5), 985-992. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12663</u>
- Hsia, D. S., Tarai, S. G., Alimi, A., Coss-Bu, J. A., & Haymond, M. W. (2015). Fluid management in pediatric patients with DKA and rates of suspected clinical cerebral edema. *Pediatric Diabetes*, 16(5), 338-344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/pedi.12268</u>
- Jayashree, M., Williams, V., & Iyer, R. (2019). Fluid therapy for pediatric patients with diabetic ketoacidosis: Current perspectives. *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy*, *12*, 2355-2361. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S194944
- Kuppermann, N., Ghetti, S., Schunk, J. E., Stoner, M. J., Rewers, A., McManemy, J. K., Myers, S. R., Nigrovic, L. E., Garro, A., Brown, K. M., Quayle, K. S., Trainor, J. L., Tzimenatos, L., Bennett, J. E., DePiero, A. D., Kwok, M. Y., Perry, C. S., Olsen, C. S., Casper, T. C., . . . Glaser, N. S. (2018). Clinical trial of fluid infusion rates for pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *378*(24), 2275-2287. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1716816</u>
- Lehr, A. R., Rached-D'astous, S., Barrowman, N., Tsampalieros, A., Parker, M., McIntyre, L., Sampson, M., & Menon, K. (2022). Balanced Versus Unbalanced Fluid in Critically III Children: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis\*. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, 23(3), 181-191. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/PCC.00000000002890</u>
- Lehtiranta, S., Honkila, M., Kallio, M., Paalanne, N., Peltoniemi, O., Pokka, T., Renko, M., & Tapiainen, T. (2021). Risk of Electrolyte Disorders in Acutely III Children Receiving Commercially Available Plasmalike Isotonic Fluids: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Pediatrics*, *175*(1), 28-35. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.3383</u>
- Levy-Shraga, Y., Hamiel, U., & Pinhas-Hamiel, O. (2017). DKA in an Adolescent with Established Diagnosis of Type 1 Diabetes. *International Journal of Diabetes and Clinical Research*, 4(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.23937/2377-</u> <u>3634/1410067</u>
- Long, B., & Koyfman, A. (2017). Emergency Medicine Myths: Cerebral Edema in Pediatric Diabetic Ketoacidosis and Intravenous Fluids. *Journal of Emergency Medicine*, *53*(2), 212-221. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2017.03.014</u>
- Maurice, L., Julliand, S., Polak, M., Bismuth, E., Storey, C., Renolleau, S., Dauger, S., & Le Bourgeois, F. (2022).
   Management of severe inaugural diabetic ketoacidosis in paediatric intensive care: retrospective comparison of two protocols. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, 181(4), 1497-1506. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-021-04332-4</u>



- Rewers, A., Kuppermann, N., Stoner, M. J., Garro, A., Bennett, J. E., Quayle, K. S., Schunk, J. E., Myers, S. R., McManemy, J. K., Nigrovic, L. E., Trainor, J. L., Tzimenatos, L., Kwok, M. Y., Brown, K. M., Olsen, C. S., Casper, T. C., Ghetti, S., & Glaser, N. S. (2021). Effects of Fluid Rehydration Strategy on Correction of Acidosis and Electrolyte Abnormalities in Children With Diabetic Ketoacidosis. Diabetes care, 44(9), 2061-2068. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc20-3113
- Shafi, O., & Kumar, V. (2018). Initial fluid therapy in pediatric diabetic ketoacidosis: A comparison of hypertonic saline solution and normal saline solution. Pediatric Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, 24(2), 56-64. https://doi.org/10.18544/PEDM-24.02.0103
- Williams, V., Jayashree, M., Nallasamy, K., Dayal, D., & Rawat, A. (2020). 0.9% saline versus Plasma-Lyte as initial fluid in children with diabetic ketoacidosis (SPinK trial): A double-blind randomized controlled trial. Critical Care, 24(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2683-3