

Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Research Days

GME Research Days 2020

May 13th, 11:30 AM - 1:30 PM

Disparities in Access to Services for Children Undergoing Routine Autism/Developmental Screening in Primary Care

Caroline Pittard
Children's Mercy Hospital

Laura Hansen
Children's Mercy Hospital

Cy Nadler
Children's Mercy Hospital

Sarah Nyp
Children's Mercy Hospital

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays>



Part of the [Child Psychology Commons](#), and the [Pediatrics Commons](#)

Pittard, Caroline; Hansen, Laura; Nadler, Cy; and Nyp, Sarah, "Disparities in Access to Services for Children Undergoing Routine Autism/Developmental Screening in Primary Care" (2020). *Research Days*. 8.
https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays/GME_Research_Days_2020/researchday3/8

This Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Days by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

Disparities in Access to Services in Children Undergoing Routine Developmental Screening in Primary Care

Caroline Pittard, PhD¹; Laura K. Hansen, PhD¹; Cy Nadler, PhD^{1,2}

¹Children’s Mercy Kansas City, ²University of Missouri Kansas City School of Medicine, Kansas City, MO

Background Information

- AAP Screening Recommendations:
 - Development: 9, 18, and 24/30 months (Lipkin et al., 2020)
 - Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): 18 and 24/30 months (Hyman et al., 2020)
- 1 in 54 children have been diagnosed with ASD (Maenner et al., 2020) and 1 in 14 children have a developmental disability (including ASD) (Zablotsky et al., 2017)
- Health disparities related to service access exist for children with ASD and developmental concerns (e.g., Khetani et al., 2017)

Study Objectives

- Objective 1: Examine the predictive validity of the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT) and Parents’ Evaluation of Developmental Status (PEDS) screening tools for diagnosis of ASD or developmental delay (DD)
- Objective 2: Examine the relation between screening measure scores and access to services
- Objective 3: Examine whether the above proposed relations vary by race and/or sex

Method

- Retrospective chart review of 906 patients’ well-child checks (WCC) at an urban, primary care center housed within an academic medical center

Time 1 Measures (18m WCC)

- M-CHAT (Robins et al., 2001; 2014)
- PEDS (Glascoe, 1999)

Time 2 Measures (4-6y WCC)

- Diagnostic Status (ASD/DD)
- Access to Services (Number of referrals placed or active services)

Description of Sample

- 91.6% non-White (8.4% White)
- 54.1% male (45.9% female)

Time 1 Scores (18m WCC)

- M-CHAT score
 - $M = 1.65, SD = 1.73$
- PEDS score
 - $M = 0.14, SD = 42$

Time 2 Scores (4-6y WCC)

- Diagnostic Status
 - 2.49% with diagnosis of ASD or DD
- Access to Services
 - $M = 0.46, SD = 0.86$
 - 26% had access to at least one service

Results

Diagnostic Status

- M-CHAT (but not PEDS) predicted diagnostic status
- No main or moderating effects of race or sex on diagnostic status

Access to Services

- Higher scores on M-CHAT and PEDS predicted service access
- Female and non-White patients had access to fewer services
- Both sex and race moderated the relation between PEDS score and service access. Sex moderated the relation between M-CHAT score and service access

Implications

- The PEDS did not provide incremental predictive power over the M-CHAT for later diagnosis
- Both the PEDS and MCHAT were related to service access
- Increased risk on the PEDS was less associated with service access for female and non-White patients
- Increased risk on the M-CHAT was less associated with service access for female patients
- Findings highlight the importance of addressing barriers to access for female and non-White patients