Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Research Days

GME Research Days 2023

May 10th, 11:30 AM - 1:30 PM

Laparoscopic Versus Open Inguinal Hernia Repair: A Single **Institution Comparison of 1200 Patients**

Shai Stewart MD Children's Mercy Hospital

Wendy Jo Svetanoff

James Fraser Children's Mercy Hospital

Rebecca M. Rentea Children's Mercy Kansas City

Pablo Aguayo Children's Mercy Kansas City

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays



Part of the Medical Education Commons, Pediatrics Commons, and the Surgery Commons

Stewart, Shai MD; Svetanoff, Wendy Jo; Fraser, James; Rentea, Rebecca M.; Aquayo, Pablo; Juang, David; Fraser, Jason D.; Snyder, Charles L.; Hendrickson, Richard J.; St. Peter, Shawn D.; and Oyetunji, Tolulope A., "Laparoscopic Versus Open Inquinal Hernia Repair: A Single Institution Comparison of 1200 Patients" (2023). Research Days. 6.

https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays/GME_Research_Days_2023/ResearchDay3/6

This Abstract is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Days by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact histeel@cmh.edu.

i Stewart	Presenting A MD, Wendy J	o Svetanoff,	, James Fra	iser, Rebecc	a M. Rentea	a, Pablo Agu	ayo, David Jı	uang,
ason D. Fraser, Charles L. Snyder, Richard J. Hendrickson, Shawn D. St.Peter, and Tolulope A. Oyetunji								

Laparoscopic Versus Open Inguinal Hernia Repair: A Single Institution Comparison of 1200 Patients

Shai Stewart MD, Wendy Jo Svetanoff MD MPH, James A. Fraser MD, Rebecca M. Rentea MD MS, Pablo Aguayo MD, David Juang MD, Jason D. Fraser MD, Charles L. Snyder MD, Richard J. Hendrickson MD, Shawn D. St. Peter MD, Tolulope A. Oyetunji MD MPH

Children's Mercy Kansas City

Background

- Inguinal hernia repair (IHR) is among the most common operations performed in pediatric surgery, accounting for greater than 10% of the caseload.
- Open inguinal hernia repair (OIHR) has traditionally been the gold standard; however, laparoscopic repair is becoming more prevalent in the pediatric surgery community.
- Benefits of laparoscopy: superior visualization, minimal dissection and decreased tissue injury, improved cosmesis, decreased postoperative pain, and a more rapid return to normal function.
- We hypothesize similar outcomes.

Methods

- A retrospective review of patients under 18 years who underwent IHR between June 2010 and June 2017 was performed. The open technique utilized high ligation at the internal ring, while laparoscopic repair involves hydro-dissection and percutaneous suture passage for high ligation.
- Demographics, operative characteristics, and complications were compared.

Table 1: Comparison of Demographic Characteristics Between Patients Who Underwent Open Versus Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair. Continuous data are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges; categorical data are expressed as percentages.

	Open Hernia Repair	Laparoscopic Hernia Repair	P-value
	(n=598)	(n=602)	
Male Gender	553 (93%)	492 (81.7%)	< 0.01
Ethnicity			
Caucasian	416 (69.9%)	426 (71.0%)	0.67
African American	79 (13.3%)	89 (14.8%)	0.46
Multiracial	35 (5.9%)	36 (6.0%)	0.94
Hispanic	41 (6.9%)	26 (4.3%)	0.05
Other	24 (4.0%)	23 (3.8%)	0.86
Gestational Age (weeks)	38 (34, 40)	36 (31, 39)	< 0.01
Age at Operation (years)	1.61 (0.65, 3.18)	1.89 (0.41, 5.34)	0.03
Side of Repair			
Unilateral	397 (66.4%)	259 (43.0%)	< 0.01
Bilateral	201 (33.6%)	343 (57.0%)	< 0.01
ASA Class			
Class 1	329 (55.6%)	270 (45.0%)	< 0.01
Class 2	191 (32.2%)	212 (35.3%)	0.26
Class 3	67 (11.3%)	112 (18.7%)	< 0.01
Class 4	5 (0.8%)	6 (1.0%)	0.71
Outpatient Procedure	581 (97.2%)	527 (88.1%)	< 0.01

^{*}ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology

option in treating pediatric IH.

Results

Table 2: Comparison of Post-operative Complications Between Patients Who Underwent Open Versus Laparoscopic Inguinal Hernia Repair. Continuous data are expressed as medians with interquartile ranges; categorical data are expressed as percentages.

	Open Hernia Repair	Laparoscopic Hernia Repair	P-value
	(n=598)	(n=602)	
Recurrent Hernia	15 (2.5%)	30 (5.0%)	0.02
Metachronous Hernia	20 (3.3%)	5 (0.8%)	< 0.01
Post-operative Hydrocele	22 (3.7%)	24 (4.0%)	0.45
Injury to Vas or Vessels	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	-
Post-operative Bleeding	4 (0.7%)	7 (1.2%)	0.28
Wound Infection	6 (1.0%)	7 (1.2%)	0.51
Stitch Abscess	4 (0.7%)	2 (0.3%)	0.34
Conversion to Open	-	2 (0.3%)	-
Post-operative ER Visit	26 (4.4%)	20 (3.3%)	0.21

*ER = emergency room

Table 3: Multivariate regression analysis

Recurrent Operative Intervention	Odds Ratio	P value	95% CI
Female (ref = male)	1.01	0.97	0.52-1.98
Laparoscopic (ref = open)	0.84	0.46	0.53-1.33
Race (ref = White)			
African American	0.48	0.07	0.21-1.07
Hispanic	0.95	0.92	0.37-2.47
Asian	0.37	0.34	0.05-2.78
Native American	1.00		
Multiracial	1.08	0.86	0.45-2.60
Native Hawaiian	1.00		
Other	1.00		
Age at operation	1.00	0.82	0.98-1.02
Weight at operation	1.01	0.29	0.99-1.03
ASA Class (ref = ASA 1)			
2	1.24	0.40	0.75-2.04
3	1.78	0.07	0.96-3.32
4	4.16	0.08	0.83-20.75
CI = Confidence Interval			

Conclusion

Our data reinforces the advantage offered by the laparoscopic approach, which is the ability to detect and simultaneously repair contralateral PPV, reducing the chances of a MCIH in the future. We demonstrate that either approach is appropriate as both offer a safe and effective





