Children's Mercy Kansas City SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Research Days

GME Research Days 2023

May 9th, 12:45 PM - 1:00 PM

A comparison of large TBSA scald versus flame burns in young children

Ashley Daniel Children's Mercy Hospital

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays

Part of the Critical Care Commons, Higher Education and Teaching Commons, Medical Education Commons, Pediatrics Commons, Science and Mathematics Education Commons, and the Trauma Commons

Daniel, Ashley, "A comparison of large TBSA scald versus flame burns in young children" (2023). *Research Days*. 15.

https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/researchdays/GME_Research_Days_2023/ResearchDay2/15

This Oral Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Events at SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Days by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

A comparison of large TBSA scald versus flame burns in young children

Ashley Daniel, MD

Pablo Aguayo, MD Jennifer Flint, MD Marita Thompson, MD, MBA Mikaela Miller Rebecca Gardner, RN Erica Hodgman, MD Justin Klein, MD Mariah Malaniak, MSN, RN Kelli Patterson, DO, MS Christina Shanti, MD Rajan Thakkar, MD Lisa Vitale, BSN, RN, CPN Jenna Miller, MD







I have no actual or potential conflict of interest in relation to this presentation.



Background - Definitions

- Burn mechanisms include thermal (flame, scald, cold), chemical, electrical, radiation, friction
- <u>Flame burn</u> = Injury to body tissue (including respiratory tract) caused by exposure to flame or its dense smoke
- <u>Scald burn</u> = Injury caused by exposure to hot liquids, commonly tap water or food/beverages
 - Most common burn injury in children < 5 years old
 - Common cause of non-accidental trauma
- <u>% TBSA</u> = Estimate of total percentage of body surface area involved
 - Superficial burn areas should not be included in estimate
 - Children most accurately assessed by Lund-Browder chart



Background - Definitions

- Superficial ("1st degree") = Injury confined to outer epidermal layer
 - Pain, erythema, no disruption of skin integrity
- Partial thickness ("2nd degree") = Destroys epidermis and part of dermis
 - Superficial: Blisters, bright red, mottled, wet, severe pain
 - Deep: Dark-red/yellow-white, slightly moist, minimally blanch, decreased sensation
- Full thickness ("3rd degree") = Injury to epidermis, dermis and subcutaneous tissue
 - Charred or white, dry, leathery, insensate, thrombosed blood vessels











Background

- Severity related to higher %TBSA and percent full thickness
- Severe burns associated with:
 - Myocardial dysfunction
 - Well-documented following burn injury
 - Slowed relaxation, decreased diastolic compliance, impaired LV contractility in animal models¹
 - Circulatory system damage
 - Duke et al found a statistically significantly greater incidence of hospital admission due to circulatory disease following burn injury over 33-year period²
 - Immune dysregulation
 - Catecholamines, cytokines, and glucocorticoids elevated up to 2-years post-injury³



1. Howard TS, et al. Burn-induced cardiac dysfunction increases length of stay in pediatric burn patients. 2013 Jul-Aug. doi: 10.1097/BCR.0b013e3182685e11.

5

^{2.} Duke JM, et al. Long-term Effects of Pediatric Burns on the Circulatory System. 2015 Nov. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-1945

^{3.} O'Halloran E, et al. Non-severe burn injury leads to depletion of bone volume that can be ameliorated by inhibiting TNF-α. 2015 May. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2014.09.004.

Background

- Scald injuries most common in children < 5
- As a general rule, patients who sustain flame burns are thought to undergo a more intense physiologic response
- Compared to scald burn injuries, flame injuries tend to be associated with
 - Higher %TBSA
 - Greater extent of full-thickness burn
 - Inhalation injury
 - More frequently admitted to ICU



Background

• PIQIC, Pediatric Injury Quality Improvement Collaborative

Children's

- Consortium of five free standing children's hospitals with dedicated trauma and burn services
- Anecdotally we had seen more complications in younger burn victims, ages 0-3 years old, with scald injuries
- Despite general thought that flame burns present more severely, we sought to determine if differences existed in complications and mortality between differing age groups and mechanisms of injury









Methods

- Multicenter, retrospective cohort study
- Over a 10-year period
- Inclusion criteria: all flame or scald injuries admitted to any of the 5 centers with TBSA greater than 15%
- Excluded if only inhalation injury



Methods

- Categorized by age into three groups:
 - 0 < age < 3; $3 \le age < 10$; $age \ge 10$
 - Group older than 10 excluded only 3 scald patients
- Demographics, clinical features, complications
- Controlled for percent full thickness burn
- Defined abdominal catastrophe as bowel perforation, need for drain placement, or exploratory laparotomy
- Defined comorbidities as: ventilator present at anytime; prematurity <36 weeks; congenital heart disease (CHD) with single ventricle; CHD without single ventricle; and not reported as previously healthy, but condition not listed



Methods

- Utilized the American Burn Association data dictionary to define complications in collection tool
- Categorical variables reported as counts and percentages
 - P-values by Fisher's Exact Test
- Continuous variables reported with median and inter-quartile ranges
 - P-values using Wilcoxon Rank Sum test



Results

- 162 pediatric patients
 - 95 patients with scald burns
 - 67 patients with flame burns
- No significant differences in demographics

- Most burns in 0–3-year-old group due to scald
- Most burns in 3-10-year-old group due to flame

	SCALD BURNS			FLAME BURNS			
	0-3 years (N=73)	3-10 years (N=22)	P-value	0-3 years (N=15)	3-10 years (N=52)	P-value	
Gender Male	43 (58%)	12 (54%)	0.81	13 (87%)	35 (67%)	0.20	
Race/Ethnicity			0.72			0.78	
White, Non-Hispanic	28 (38%)	7(32%)		7 (47%)	27 (50%)		
Black, Non-Hispanic	33 (45%)	10 (43%)		6 (40%)	18 (35%)		
Hispanic	8 (11%)	3 (14%)		0 (0%)	3 (6%)		
Other/Unknown	4 (6%)	2 (9%)		2 (13%)	4 (8%)		
Documented Prior Comorbidity	6 (8%)	3 (14%)	0.43	2 (13%)	4 (8%)	0.61	

Table 1: Summary of demographics and clinical features by burn mechanism and age



Results – Scalds

- Only 28% full thickness
- 3-10 year-olds had significantly higher TBSA and longer Hospital LOS
- 0-3 year-old group had longer PICU LOS and mechanical ventilator (MV) days
- 0-3 year-old group had a trend towards higher incidence of sepsis, nosocomial infection, abdominal catastrophe

	SCALD BURNS						
		0-3 years (N=73)			3-10 years (N=22)		P-value
Percent Full Thickness	0 [0-3]			0 [0-4]			0.08
Percent TBSA	21 [18-30]			24 [21-36]			<0.001
Mortality		0 (0%)			2 (9%)		0.05
Sepsis		10 (14%)	3 (14%)		0.99		
Nosocomial Infection		19 (26%)) 5 (23%)			0.99	
Abdominal Catastrophe		5 (7%)		0 (0%)			0.59
Hospital LOS		19 [12-40]			21 [17-40]		<0.001
PICU LOS		3 [2-16]	2-16]		3 [2-9]		<0.001
Mechanical Ventilator Days	13 [5 [2-138]		<0.001

Table 2a: Summary of clinical features of scald burns, by age



Results – Flames

- 0-3 year-old group had few mortalities and cases of sepsis, with no abdominal catastrophes
- 0-3 year-old group had shorter hospital LOS, PICU LOS, and MV days
- 3-10 year-olds had higher percentages of TBSA and percent full thickness
- 67% full thickness vs. 28% in scalds

		FLAME BURNS	
	0-3 years (N=15)	3-10 years (N=52)	P-value
Percent Full Thickness	15 [0-21]	20 [0-39]	<0.001
Percent TBSA	26 [19-41]	32 [23-44]	<0.001
Mortality	2 (13%)	10 (19%)	0.72
Sepsis	2 (13%)	10 (19%)	0.72
Nosocomial Infection	3 (20%)	11 (23%)	0.99
Abdominal Catastrophe	0 (0%)	3 (6%)	0.99
Hospital LOS	18 [3-46]	35 [10-52]	<0.001
PICU LOS	9 [1-14]	7 [2-18]	<0.001
Mechanical Ventilator Days	6 [0-32]	9 [1-72]	<0.001

Table 2b: Summary of clinical features of flame burns, by age



- Mortality
 - Odds of mortality significantly increased for higher TBSA in both burn mechanisms
 - Increasing TBSA by 1% associated with 3% increase in odds of mortality
 - The effect of burn mechanism on mortality depends on age
 - <u>Flame</u>: a one-year increase in age was not related to significant reduction in mortality odds (*p*=0.29)
 - <u>Scald</u>: Reduced odds of mortality compared to flame (*p=0.02*)
 - For every additional year of age, odds of mortality increased by 42%



Nosocomial Infections

- Every additional year of age resulted in 18% reduced odds
- At least one prior comorbidity associated with 4x odds of nosocomial infection
 - Overall low numbers of comorbidities and wide confidence interval interpret with caution
- No statistically significant associations %TBSA and nosocomial infection



Hospital LOS

• No significant associations

• PICU LOS and MV Days

- Higher %TBSA related to longer PICU stays and more days on MV
- For every 1% increase in %TBSA, PICU LOS increased by 1% (p=0.02)
- For every 1% increase in %TBSA, MV Days increased by 2.5% (p<0.01)



Abdominal Catastrophe

- Model showed a significant protective effect of full-thickness burns
- Increasing percent full thickness burns was associated with a 5% reduction in odds of bowel catastrophe
- No statistically significant difference between burn mechanisms



Conclusions

- Age could be considered protective for scald burns
 - Compared to 3-10 year-old scalds, 0-3-year-old patients with scalds have statistically significant longer PICU course and mechanical ventilation, and trends toward increased incidence of sepsis, nosocomial infection, abdominal catastrophe
- Increasing percent full thickness had a protective effect on odds of abdominal catastrophe, regardless of mechanism



Conclusions

- Odds of mortality higher in flame injuries
 - Higher TBSA and % full-thickness
- No significant difference in odds of developing sepsis, nosocomial infections, or abdominal catastrophe between burn types
 - Despite lack of full thickness burns and lower TBSA, patients with scald burns did not do any better!





Discussion

- Why is this the case?
 - Myocardial dysfunction, endothelial/vascular damage, immune dysregulation
 - Much of the previous literature does not examine differences by age or burn mechanism
 - Differences in timing of initiating enteral feeds and advancing to full nutrition
- Modern cohort of pediatric burn patients from multiple centers
- Age and burn mechanism are important factors

Future Directions

- Evaluate whether suspected non-accidental trauma plays a role in complication rates
 - Delayed presentation, severity of injury
- Include time to excision and association with sepsis and nosocomial infection
- Trend markers of inflammation, cardiac output, cytokines, echocardiogram findings at set timepoints
- Examine timing of feeding and escalation to full feeds by burn severity
- Closer monitoring of abdomen during resuscitation phase



Thank you to the PIQIC team

Ashley Daniel, MD

Children's Mercy Hospital Pediatric Critical Care Medicine adaniel@cmh.edu

Dr. Pablo Aguayo, MD Dr. Jenna Miller, MD Dr. Jen Flint, MD





Children's Hospital of Michigan



