

Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers

6-1-2014

Functional health status in children and adolescents after Fontan: comparison of generic and disease-specific assessments.

Brian W. McCrindle

Victor Zak

Victoria L. Pemberton

Linda M. Lambert

Victoria L. Vetter

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/papers>



Part of the [Cardiology Commons](#), [Cardiovascular Diseases Commons](#), [Congenital, Hereditary, and Neonatal Diseases and Abnormalities Commons](#), [Pediatrics Commons](#), [Surgery Commons](#), and the [Surgical Procedures, Operative Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

McCrindle, B. W., Zak, V., Pemberton, V. L., Lambert, L. M., Vetter, V. L., Lai, W. W., Uzark, K., Margossian, R., Atz, A. M., Cook, A., Newburger, J. W., , Shirali, G. S. Functional health status in children and adolescents after Fontan: comparison of generic and disease-specific assessments. *Cardiology in the young* 24, 469-477 (2014).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact library@cmh.edu.

Creator(s)

Brian W. McCrindle, Victor Zak, Victoria L. Pemberton, Linda M. Lambert, Victoria L. Vetter, Wyman W. Lai, Karen Uzark, Renee Margossian, Andrew M. Atz, Amanda Cook, Jane W. Newburger, Pediatric Heart Network Investigators, and Girish S. Shirali



Published in final edited form as:

Cardiol Young. 2014 June ; 24(3): 469–477. doi:10.1017/S1047951113000632.

Functional health status in children and adolescents after Fontan: comparison of generic and disease-specific assessments

Brian W. McCrindle¹, Victor Zak², Victoria L. Pemberton³, Linda M. Lambert⁴, Victoria L. Vetter⁵, Wyman W. Lai⁶, Karen Uzark⁷, Renee Margossian⁸, Andrew M. Atz⁹, Amanda Cook¹⁰, Jane W. Newburger⁸, and for the Pediatric Heart Network Investigators[†]

¹The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada ²New England Research Institutes, Watertown, Massachusetts ³National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland ⁴Primary Children's Medical Center, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah ⁵The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania ⁶Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York ⁷Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio ⁸Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, Massachusetts ⁹Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina ¹⁰Wake Forest University Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Abstract

Purpose—The aim of this study was to compare associations between generic versus disease-specific functional health status assessments and patient and clinical characteristics for patients with severe congenital heart disease.

Methods—This was a cross-sectional observational study involving 325 single ventricle patients, aged 10–18 years, after Fontan procedure. Enrolled patients underwent a medical history review, laboratory testing, and assessment of the functional health status by completion of the generic Child Report Child Health Questionnaire and the disease-specific Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire. Correlated conceptually equivalent domains from both questionnaires were identified and their associations with patient and clinical variables were compared.

Results—From the generic assessment, patients perceived marginally lower physical functioning ($p = 0.05$) but greater freedom from bodily pain compared with a normal population ($p < .001$). The equivalent physical functioning/limitations domain of the generic instrument, compared with

© Cambridge University Press, 2013

Correspondence to: Dr B. McCrindle, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, 555 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5G 1×8. Tel: + 416 813 7610; Fax: + 416 813 7547; brian.mccrindle@sickkids.ca.

[†]For full details, see Supplemental Appendix.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Financial Support

None of the authors have any financial disclosures to make.

Supplementary materials

For supplementary material referred to in this article, please visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1047951113000632>

the disease-specific instrument, had similar associations (higher multi-variable model R^2) with medical history variables ($R^2 = 0.14$ versus $R^2 = 0.12$, respectively) and stronger associations with exercise testing variables ($R^2 = 0.22$ versus $R^2 = 0.06$). Similarly, the corresponding freedom from bodily pain/symptoms domains from both questionnaires showed a greater association for the generic instrument with medical history variables ($R^2 = 0.15$ versus $R^2 = 0.09$, respectively) and non-cardiac conditions ($R^2 = 0.13$ versus $R^2 = 0.06$). The associations of each questionnaire with echocardiographic results, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging results, and serum brain natriuretic peptide levels were uniformly weak (R^2 range $<.01$ to 0.04).

Conclusions—Assessment of the physical functional health status using generic and disease-specific instruments yields few differences with regard to associations between conceptually similar domains and patient and clinical characteristics for adolescents after Fontan procedure.

Keywords

Fontan procedure; cardiac defects; congenital; paediatrics

For patients with functional single ventricle after Fontan procedure, the suboptimal functional health status has been variably described,¹ including reports from the Fontan cross-sectional study that was performed by the Pediatric Heart Network.² This study enrolled 546 Fontan patients aged 6–18 years and included assessment of patient characteristics and medical history, the functional health status, and standardised assessment in terms of cardiopulmonary exercise testing, echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, and measurement of brain natriuretic peptide levels.

Several reports from this study have addressed issues pertaining to the functional health status. Using the parent report form of the Child Health Questionnaire, CHQ-PF50,³ which is a generic assessment instrument, parents scored their children worse than a normal United States population sample in nearly all domains and reported a higher prevalence of non-cardiac health problems.⁴ With regard to a subset of patients who were age-eligible and completed the child report form of the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ-CF87), their parents reported lower scores in many domains compared with those reported by the children themselves.⁵ These parent–child discrepancies were higher in the presence of increased non-cardiac health problems in the child. An independent study has shown that Fontan patients scored themselves lower if they had a normal sibling, perhaps indicating an altered self-perception in the presence of a constant normal comparison.⁶

Disease-specific assessment has been advocated as a more specific and responsive measure of the functional health status for a given disease condition and differs from generic assessment both conceptually and qualitatively. Disease-specific instruments often have different domains that are specific to the disease condition, such as impact of particular symptoms, morbidities, and treatments. In addition, although some domains and items may be similar to those measured by generic instruments, the attribution of the effect is specific to the disease condition. For example, an item from generic instruments might ask, “How often do you experience pain or physical limitation in your daily activities?” A disease-specific instrument, in contrast, might add the qualifier “due to your heart condition”.

We sought to determine the relationships between equivalent conceptual domains from the patient-completed generic Child Health Questionnaire and a patient-completed congenital heart disease-specific instrument, the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire,^{7,8} administered to Fontan patients aged 10–18 years as part of the Fontan cross-sectional Study. We also sought to determine the magnitude of associations of the identified equivalent physical functioning domains from each questionnaire with regard to patient and medical characteristics and laboratory measures. We hypothesised that the congenital heart disease-specific measure would show stronger associations with medical and laboratory testing characteristics.

Patients and methods

Study design and patients

The Fontan cross-sectional study was performed by the Pediatric Heart Network;⁹ the design and methods have previously been described.² Written informed consent or assent was obtained from all participants as approved by the institutional review committees at each of the seven North American institutions. Patients aged 6–18 years at enrolment and who underwent a Fontan procedure 6 months or earlier were included. Patients were excluded if they had important non-cardiac or psychiatric conditions precluding or influencing testing, were pregnant or were planning to conceive, were presently participating or were planning to participate in another conflicting research study, or had a primary caregiver who lacked reading fluency in both English and Spanish. All study testing was to be completed within 3 months of enrolment and included medical record abstraction, completion of questionnaires, measurement of serum brain natriuretic hormone levels, echocardiography, cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

Functional health status questionnaires

The Child Health Questionnaire was used as a generic measure of the functional health status. Only patients aged 10 years and above who had completed the child report version (CHQ-CF87)³ were included in the present analyses. The Child Health Questionnaire assesses the functional health status in 10 scale domains of physical, behavioural, emotional, social, and family well-being and four categorical single item domains. The domain scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better function, and distributions tend to be upwardly skewed, with relevant ceiling effects. The instrument has been validated for use in children and adolescents aged between 10 and 18 years. Patients also completed the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire as a disease-specific measure of the functional health status. The development, properties, and initial validation of this questionnaire have previously been described.^{7,8} The Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire includes five scale domains on physical, emotional, and social well-being and three categorical single item domains. Scale domains range from 0 to 100, and single item domains range from 0 to 5, with higher values indicating worse functioning, with similarly skewed distributions and relevant ceiling effects. The questionnaire items probe for deficits and impacts specific to the patient's perception of their heart problem.

Medical history and laboratory testing

A detailed medical record review was performed for all study participants. Details on the laboratory testing procedures and variable selection for analysis of the associations with the functional health status have been reported elsewhere.^{2,4,10}

Data analysis

Data are described as frequencies, medians with 25th and 75th percentile values, and means with standard deviations as appropriate. Given the skewed distribution of brain natriuretic peptide values, a normalising logarithmic transformation was used. The study population used for analysis was restricted to 325 patients aged 10–18 years who completed both questionnaires. As all laboratory tests were not performed in all patients, we performed separate analyses that were restricted to each individual test data set, similar to a previously reported analysis.¹⁰

Domain scores from the Child Health Questionnaire were contrasted against values from a normative population¹¹ using single sample Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. These values were derived from a suburban school-based normal population of 232 children aged 10–15 years who self-completed the questionnaire in 1995. The distributions of domain scores for both questionnaires were highly skewed, and preference was given to using ranks and non-parametric statistical methods for analysis. To determine which conceptually equivalent domains from the two questionnaires to use in comparisons of associations with medical history and laboratory testing variables, a Spearman correlation matrix was created. Conceptually equivalent domains with higher correlations from each questionnaire were rank-transformed and then explored for an association with medical history and laboratory testing variable groups in multivariable linear regression models. The R^2 adjusted for the number of included variables was determined for each variable group, and was taken to represent the proportion of variation in the domain scores explained by all of the variables in each group. A total of six variable groups were created: medical history, non-cardiac conditions, echocardiography, exercise testing, magnetic resonance imaging, and serum brain natriuretic peptide levels. Variables within each group and their values are shown in Table 1. Variable groups for laboratory testing were used to determine the associations that were specific to that test but also because all patients did not undergo all laboratory tests and not all patients who underwent a particular test had key variables assessed. Imputation of missing values was performed as previously described.^{4,10} Data analyses were performed using the Statistical analysis systems statistical software version 9.2 (SAS Institute Incorporated, Cary, North Carolina). All statistical testing was two-sided.

Results

Study participation

Medical records were screened for 1078 patients who underwent a Fontan procedure as identified from existing institutional databases at each Pediatric Heart Network clinical center, with 831 patients deemed potentially eligible for participation. After being contacted, 637 patients were confirmed to be fully eligible, and informed consent as approved by each centre was obtained for 546 (86%) patients between March 2003 and April 2004. Of these,

354 patients were 10–18 years of age, with 329 completing the Child Health Questionnaire and 326 completing the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire. Of the eligible non-respondents, seven could not complete the questionnaires because of severe physical or mental disability. The study population for the present analysis includes the 325 patients who completed both questionnaires.

Patient characteristics

The distribution of patients, medical and laboratory testing characteristics, together with their associations with Parent Report Child Health Questionnaire Physical and Psychosocial Functioning Summary Scores have been reported previously for all patients aged 6–18 years completing the study – the present analysis includes only patients aged 10–18 years who completed the child report version.^{4,10} Selected characteristics of the 325 patients included in the present analysis are shown in Table 1. The mean age at enrolment was 13.9 years, and the mean interval from Fontan procedure to enrolment was 10.3 years (range 1.8–17.3 years).

Functional health status

Distributions of scores for both the Child Health Questionnaire and the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire are shown in Table 2. Some data from a normal population were available for some domains of the Child Health Questionnaire.¹¹ Compared with a normal population,^{3,11} Fontan patients scored themselves significantly lower for physical functioning but significantly higher for freedom from physical, emotional and behavioural limitations on roles, freedom from bodily pain, and mental health issues. The scores from the Fontan patients were not significantly different from the normal population for the domains of behavior problems, self-esteem and general health perceptions.

Associations between the Child Health Questionnaire and the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domains

In order to identify correlated conceptually equivalent domains between the two questionnaires for comparison on the relative strengths of their associations with medical history and laboratory testing characteristics, a Spearman correlation matrix was developed (Supplementary Table S1). There were significant correlations between many of the domains from the two questionnaires. The highest correlations were between the Child Health Questionnaire physical functioning domain and the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domains of symptom discomfort ($r = -0.43$) and activity limitations ($r = 0.58$). The Child Health Questionnaire domain of freedom from bodily pain and the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domain of symptom discomfort also showed a higher correlation ($r = -0.49$). The Child Health Questionnaire domain of general health perceptions was correlated with many Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domains, without a predominant pattern suggesting face validity. Likewise, the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domain of emotions correlated with many Child Health Questionnaire domains, without a predominant pattern. For the purposes of further analyses, the Child Health Questionnaire domain of physical functioning was chosen to be contrasted against the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domain of activity limitations, and the Child Health Questionnaire

domain of freedom from bodily pain was chosen to be contrasted against the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire domain of symptom discomfort.

Associations with medical history and laboratory testing

Multi-variable linear regression analyses were performed for groups of variables, medical history and laboratory testing, versus the dependent variable of each of the four chosen domain scores (Table 3). For all four domains, the proportion of variation (adjusted R^2) in the scores explained by the medical history and laboratory testing variable sets was low; however, it was highest for the Child Health Questionnaire physical functioning domain and both medical history and exercise testing variable groups. Associations were weak for echocardiography, magnetic resonance imaging, and brain natriuretic peptide level variables.

Discussion

Summary

Except for physical functioning, Fontan patients tended to score themselves better than normal for many aspects of the functional health status. Equivalent conceptual domains could be identified between the generic and disease-specific assessment. Exercise capacity was the strongest factor associated with the physical aspects of the functional health status, with stronger relationships to the generic versus the disease-specific measures. Medical history and non-cardiac health problems also were associated with physical aspects but more weakly and, again, with stronger relationships with the generic measure. Measures of ventricular structure and function and brain natriuretic peptide were very weakly associated with physical aspects of the functional health status. In contrast to our expectation, it would appear that the physical domains of the disease-specific measure were less strongly associated with medical history and laboratory testing than those from the generic measure.

Conceptualisation

With the ongoing reduction in mortality and cardiovascular morbidity related to congenital heart disease and its management, there has been a shift in focus towards other important outcomes, particularly neurodevelopment and quality of life.^{12,13} However, present literature on quality of life for congenital heart disease patients is limited by inconsistencies in conceptualisation and definition.¹⁴ The terms quality of life, health-related quality of life, and functional health status have been used interchangeably.¹⁵ Quality of life entails a conceptualisation of an individual's personal sense or perception on their well-being and may include relative values such as satisfaction and enjoyment.¹⁶ Quality of life, therefore, often means different things to different people, sometimes in intangible ways that makes a strictly quantitative assessment difficult.¹⁴ Health-related quality of life defines the component of quality of life that is influenced by health. The functional health status differs in its conceptualisation in that it reflects an individual's perceptions on their capacity and participation in roles, behaviours, and activities of daily living. The functional health status defines the impact of health issues on the functional status and is the primary concept being assessed in the majority of reports purported to be studying quality of life in congenital heart

disease patients. We have taken the Child Health Questionnaire and the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire as measures of the functional health status.

Perspective

A critical appraisal of quality of life assessments in congenial heart disease highlighted the lack of consistency in underlying constructs, the relevance of differing perspectives, and the need to include a qualitative assessment.¹⁴ Perspective is important for paediatric assessment, as young children may not be able to complete the assessments themselves; hence, the need for proxy reporting, usually from parents. Providers, patients, and parents can differ significantly in terms of the importance each attaches to different aspects of the functional health status or quality of life.¹⁷ Previous studies have shown that Fontan patients tend to perceive themselves as having a higher functional health status than participants from normal control populations.^{3,11} They also score themselves higher than how their parents would score them.⁵ In contrast, Fontan patients tend to perceive their functional health status lower relative to their normal healthy siblings and patients with siblings rate themselves lower than patients without siblings, indicating that self-perception may be altered when the patient has a constant context for his or her own perception.⁶ Parents have been reported to perceive deficits in their own health-related quality of life, which are influenced by the clinical state of the patient.¹⁸

Comparison of generic and disease-specific assessments

Several instruments have been developed to assess the health-related quality of life and functional health status among children. The commonly used instruments include the Child Health Questionnaire,³ the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory,¹⁹ the Toegepast Natuurwetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Academisch Ziekenhuis Leiden (TNO-AZL) Child Quality of Life Questionnaire,^{20,21} and the Health Utilities Index.²² The development and use of instruments for assessment with specific disease populations has been advocated. These instruments are developed with the goal of having a greater specificity with regard to relationships with clinical aspects of the medical condition and a greater responsiveness to change with clinical interventions. A cardiac-specific module has been developed for the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory.^{23,24} In addition, several congenital heart disease-specific questionnaires have been developed de novo. For children, these include the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire,⁹ the Pediatric Cardiac Quality of Life Inventory,²⁵ and the Congenital Heart Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire.²⁶ For adults with congenital heart disease, the Toegepast Natuurwetenschappelijk Onderzoek-Academisch Ziekenhuis Leiden Congenital Heart Disease Adult Quality of Life Questionnaire has been used.²⁷

Despite advocacy for disease-specific assessments, studies comparing generic and disease-specific instruments have shown variable results in terms of associations with patient and medical characteristics. This may, in part, reflect the differences in conceptualisation and purpose, with greater or lesser overlap of domains, as well as differences in measurement properties. No differences between generic and disease-specific assessments were observed for the relationship with physical activity levels in patients with multiple sclerosis²⁸ or in a study of children with recurrent otitis media.²⁹ Other studies have shown stronger

measurement properties for disease-specific instruments. These include the higher responsiveness observed in studies on patients undergoing cholecystectomy³⁰ and on patients with carpal tunnel syndrome.³¹ Greater internal consistency and dimensional reproducibility with less factorial complexity and issues with floor and ceiling effects were observed in a study of patients with heart failure.³² Some studies have shown that the relationships have qualitative differences, being more highly associated with some characteristics and outcomes than others, as observed in a study on patients with diabetes.³³

Uzark et al^{23,24} applied both the generic and cardiac module of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory to children with congenital heart disease and observed high correlations between specific domains for the two questionnaires; however, they did not compare associations with disease severity or clinical characteristics. A recent work with the Pediatric Cardiac Quality of Life Inventory involving a broad population of congenital heart disease patients has shown that lower scores were observed for Fontan patients and for patients with increased health care utilisation.³⁴ The disease-specific scores also correlated with scores from the generic assessment using the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory. It has also been shown that this instrument has external validity when used for children with cardiological problems across multiple sites in the United States.³⁵ Our study showed greater, although weak, associations of patient and medical characteristics with the generic measure compared with the disease-specific measure in a well-characterised population of Fontan patients. Clearly, further research is required in this area.

Study limitations

The results of this study should be interpreted in light of some potential limitations. The patients involved may not be sufficiently representative of the total population of Fontan patients and, likewise, the inability to achieve a uniform laboratory testing across the patients may have also introduced a bias. The threshold at which clinical and laboratory abnormalities have a measurable impact on the functional health status is unknown. The suboptimal performance of the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire may reflect deficiencies in this instrument rather than in disease-specific assessments in general. For example, compared with the Pediatric Cardiac Quality of Life Inventory, the Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire is shorter, has less depth, and has had less rigorous development and validation.³⁵ Both questionnaires used may have unknown limitations with regard to conceptualisation, scoring with floor and ceiling effects, validity, reliability, and responsiveness, and they may also differ on these properties, particularly in the chosen domains that were compared. Although both questionnaires have the advantage of being completed by the patients themselves, the results reflect self-perceptions, are necessarily subjective, and do not incorporate the individual's qualitative, that is, open-ended narrative, assessment, which would highlight issues specific to that individual. The clinical importance of observed differences in the domain scores in relation to the published normal population is not known. Likewise, the clinical importance of observed differences in association with similar domain scores between the generic and disease-specific assessment is not known.

Conclusion

In our cross-sectional study on Fontan patients, greater associations with patient and clinical characteristics were observed for the generic Child Health Questionnaire compared with the disease-specific Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage questionnaire for two conceptually equivalent physical domains. Nonetheless, associations of these domains with patient and clinical characteristics were weak. Other disease-specific instruments may be more responsive to treatment-related changes and have greater implications for specific interventions. In the absence of acute or severe cardiac-related morbidities, the impact of Fontan physiology on the overall functional health status may be less than that presently assumed. Further research should explore the conceptualisation of the functional health status and quality of life and the discovery of social, behavioural, emotional, and environmental determinants that may be targeted for novel interventions aimed at improving the functional health status in this specific population.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by U01 grants from the National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (HL068269, HL068270, HL068279, HL068281, HL068285, HL068292, HL068290, HL068288). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute or the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Freedom RM, Hamilton R, Yoo SJ, et al. The Fontan procedure: analysis of cohorts and late complications. *Cardiol Young*. 2000; 10:307–331. [PubMed: 10950328]
2. Sleeper LA, Anderson P, Hsu DT, et al. Design of a large cross-sectional study to facilitate future clinical trials in children with the Fontan palliation. *Am Heart J*. 2006; 152:427–433. [PubMed: 16923408]
3. Landgraf, JM.; Abetz, L.; Ware, JE. Boston, MA: HealthAct; 1999. The Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) User's Manual, 2nd Printing
4. McCrinkle BW, Williams RV, Mitchell PD, et al. Relationship of patient and medical characteristics to health status in children and adolescents after the Fontan procedure. *Circulation*. 2006; 113:1123–1129. [PubMed: 16490823]
5. Lambert LM, Minich LL, Newburger JW, et al. Parent- versus child-reported functional health status after the Fontan procedure. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 124:e942–e949. [PubMed: 19841109]
6. Manlhiot C, Knezevich S, Radojewski E, Cullen-Dean G, Williams WG, McCrinkle BW. Functional health status of adolescents after the Fontan procedure - comparison with their siblings. *Can J Cardiol*. 2009; 25:e294–e300. [PubMed: 19746247]
7. McCrinkle BW, Williams RV, Mital S, et al. Physical activity levels in children and adolescents are reduced after the Fontan procedure, independent of exercise capacity, and are associated with lower perceived general health. *Arch Dis Child*. 2007; 92:509–514. [PubMed: 17307794]
8. Kendall L, Lewin RJ, Parsons JM, Veldtman GR, Quirk J, Hardman GE. Factors associated with self-perceived state of health in adolescents with congenital cardiac disease attending paediatric cardiologic clinics. *Cardiol Young*. 2001; 11:431–438. [PubMed: 11558953]
9. Mahony L, Sleeper LA, Anderson PA, et al. The Pediatric Heart Network, A primer for the conduct of multicenter studies in children with congenital and acquired heart disease. *Pediatr Cardiol HealthAct*, Boston, MA. 2006; 27:191–198.

10. McCrindle BW, Zak V, Sleeper LA, et al. Laboratory measures of exercise capacity and ventricular characteristics and function are weakly associated with functional health status after Fontan procedure. *Circulation*. 2010; 121:34–42. [PubMed: 20026781]
11. Landgraf JM, Abetz LN. Functional status and well-being of children representing three cultural groups. Initial self-reports using the CHQ-CF87. *Psychol Health*. 1997; 12:839–854.
12. Bergner M. Quality of life, health status, and clinical research. *Med Care*. 1989; 27:S148–S156. [PubMed: 2646487]
13. Moons P. Patient-reported outcomes in congenital cardiac disease: are they as good as you think they are? *Cardiol Young*. 2010; 20:143–148. [PubMed: 21087572]
14. Moons P, Van Deyk K, Budts W, De Geest S. Caliber of quality-of-life assessments in congenital heart disease: a plea for more conceptual and methodological rigor. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2004; 158:1062–1069. [PubMed: 15520344]
15. Moons P. Why call it health-related quality of life when you mean perceived health status? *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2004; 3:275–277. [PubMed: 15572015]
16. Moons P, Budts W, De Geest S. Critique on the conceptualisation of quality of life: a review and evaluation of different conceptual approaches. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2006; 43:891–901. [PubMed: 16696978]
17. Marino BS, Tomlinson RS, Drotar D, et al. Quality-of-life concerns differ among patients, parents, and medical providers in children and adolescents with congenital and acquired heart disease. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 123:e708–e715. [PubMed: 19307270]
18. Arafa MA, Zaher SR, El-Dowaty AA, Moneeb DE. Quality of life among parents of children with heart disease. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2008; 6:91. [PubMed: 18980676]
19. Varni JW, Limbers CA, Burwinkle TM. Parent proxy-report of their children's health-related quality of life: an analysis of 13,878 parents' reliability and validity across age subgroups using the PedsQL 4.0 Generic Core Scales. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2007; 5:2. [PubMed: 17201923]
20. Landolt MA, Valsangiacomo Buechel ER, Latal B. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents after open-heart surgery. *J Pediatr*. 2008; 152:349–355. [PubMed: 18280839]
21. Spijkerboer AW, Utens EM, De Koning WB, Bogers AJ, Helbing WA, Verhulst FC. Health-related quality of life in children and adolescents after invasive treatment for congenital heart disease. *Qual Life Res*. 2006; 15:663–673. [PubMed: 16688499]
22. Raat H, Bonsel GJ, Essink-Bot ML, Landgraf JM, Gemke RJ. Reliability and validity of comprehensive health status measures in children: the Child Health Questionnaire in relation to the Health Utilities Index. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2002; 55:67–76. [PubMed: 11781124]
23. Uzark K, Jones K, Slusher J, Limbers CA, Burwinkle TM, Varni JW. Quality of life in children with heart disease as perceived by children and parents. *Pediatrics*. 2008; 121:e1060–e1067. [PubMed: 18450848]
24. Uzark K, Jones K, Burwinkle TM, Varni JW. The pediatric quality of life inventory in children with heart disease. *Prog Pediatr Cardiol*. 2003; 18:141–148.
25. Marino BS, Shera D, Wernovsky G, et al. The development of the Pediatric Cardiac Quality Of Life Inventory: a quality of life measure for children and adolescents with heart disease. *Qual Life Res*. 2008; 17:613–626. [PubMed: 18347927]
26. Macran S, Birks Y, Parsons J, et al. The development of a new measure of quality of life for children with congenital cardiac disease. *Cardiol Young*. 2006; 16:165–172. [PubMed: 16553979]
27. Kamphuis M, Zwinderman KH, Vogels T, et al. A cardiac-specific health-related quality of life module for young adults with congenital heart disease: development and validation. *Qual Life Res*. 2004; 13:735–745. [PubMed: 15129884]
28. Motl RW, McAuley E, Snook EM, Gliottoni RC. Does the relationship between physical activity and quality of life differ based on generic versus disease-targeted instruments. *Ann Behav Med*. 2008; 36:93–99. [PubMed: 18719976]
29. Brouwer CN, Schilder AG, van Stel HF, et al. Reliability and validity of functional health status and health-related quality of life questionnaires in children with recurrent acute otitis media. *Qual Life Res*. 2007; 16:1357–1373. [PubMed: 17668290]

30. Shi HY, Lee HH, Chiu CC, Chiu HC, Uen YH, Lee KT. Responsiveness and minimal clinically important differences after cholecystectomy: GIQLI versus SF-36. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2008; 12:1275–1282. [PubMed: 18454301]
31. Bessette L, Sangha O, Kuntz KM, et al. Comparative responsiveness of generic versus disease-specific and weighted versus unweighted health status measures in carpal tunnel syndrome. *Med Care.* 1998; 36:491–502. [PubMed: 9544589]
32. Wolinsky FD, Wyrwich KW, Nienaber NA, Tierney WM. Generic versus disease-specific health status measures An example using coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure patients. *Eval Health Prof.* 1998; 21:216–243. [PubMed: 10183345]
33. Huang IC, Hwang CC, Wu MY, Lin W, Leite W, Wu AW. Diabetes-specific or generic measures for health-related quality of life? Evidence from psychometric validation of the D-39 and DF-36. *Value Health.* 2008; 11:450–461. [PubMed: 18489668]
34. Marino BS, Tomlinson RS, Wernovsky G, et al. Validation of the Pediatric Cardiac Quality Of Life Inventory. *Pediatrics.* 2010; 126:498–508. [PubMed: 20805147]
35. Marino BS, Drotar D, Cassedy A, et al. External validity of the pediatric cardiac quality of life inventory. *Qual Life Res.* 2011; 20:205–214. [PubMed: 21188538]

Table 1

Selected patient, medical, and laboratory testing characteristics (n = 325).

Variable	n	Value*
Medical history (n = 56 variables, selected variables shown)		
Age at enrolment (years)	325	13.6 (11.5, 16.0)
Male gender	325	193 (59%)
Ethnicity	325	
White		270 (84%)
Black		32 (10%)
Asian		7 (2%)
Other		16 (5%)
Cardiac anatomy	325	
Tricuspid atresia		80 (25%)
Hypoplastic left heart syndrome		58 (18%)
Double-inlet left ventricle		53 (16%)
Heterotaxia		22 (7%)
Mitral atresia		18 (5%)
Unbalanced atrioventricular septal defect		12 (4%)
Other single ventricle		76 (23%)
Age at Fontan procedure (years)	325	2.9 (2.2, 4.4)
Fontan connection type	325	
Intracardiac lateral tunnel		196 (60%)
Atriopulmonary connection		70 (22%)
Extracardiac conduit		48 (15%)
Extracardiac lateral tunnel		1 (<%)
Other		10 (3%)
Years since Fontan procedure	325	10.5 (8.3, 12.4)
Post-Fontan morbidities	325	
Arrhythmia		73 (23%)
Ventricular dysfunction		43 (13%)
Thrombosis		21 (7%)
Protein-losing enteropathy		12 (4%)
Stroke		9 (3%)
Current cardiac medication use	325	189 (58%)
Number of current cardiac medications	189	2 (1, 3)
Laboratory testing		
Brain natriuretic peptide (pg/ml)	309	13 (7, 29)
Echocardiography (n = 16 variables, selected variables shown)		
Ejection fraction (%)	245	58±11
Ejection fraction z-score	245	20.9±2.1
Ventricular end-diastolic volume (ml)	245	94±46
Ventricular end-diastolic volume z-score	245	20.7±1.9

Variable	n	Value*
Ventricular mass (g)	240	110±52
Ventricular mass z-score	240	1.0±2.4
Ventricular mass/volume ratio (g/ml)	240	1.2±0.4
Ventricular mass/volume ratio z-score	240	2.8±3.3
Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (n = 6 variables)		
Chronotropic index	289	0.6±0.2
Resting systemic oxygen saturation (%)	288	94±4
Percentage predicted peak oxygen consumption	287	63±15
Percentage predicted maximum work rate	288	62±17
Percentage predicted oxygen consumption at anaerobic threshold	245	76±22
Percentage predicted maximum oxygen pulse	287	87±22
Magnetic resonance imaging (n = 7 variables)		
Total stroke volume (ml)	109	72±23
Total ejection fraction (%)	109	56±10
Total cardiac output (l/minute)	107	5.5±1.8
Total indexed end-systolic volume (ml/m ²)	109	36±14
Total indexed end-diastolic volume (ml/m ²)	109	81±21
Total indexed ventricular mass (g/m ²)	109	70±20
Total mass/end-diastolic volume ratio (g/ml)	109	0.9±0.3

* Values represent frequency (%), median (25th, 75th percentiles), or mean (±standard deviation)

Table 2

Domain scores for functional health status.

Questionnaire and domain	n	Mean±standard deviation	Median (25th, 75th percentiles)	Published norms	p-value*
Child Health Questionnaire – scale domains					
Physical functioning	323	87±13	89 (81, 96)	89±14	0.05
Role/social limits – physical	318	91±18	100 (89, 100)	88±21	<.001
Role/social limits – emotional	324	88±20	100 (83, 100)	86±21	<.0001
Role/social limits – behavioural	322	91±18	100 (89, 100)	87±22	<.0001
Bodily pain	319	79±22	80 (70, 100)	74±23	<.0001
Behaviour	323	77±14	79 (68, 87)	77±15	0.22
Mental health	322	76±14	77 (69, 86)	73±16	<.0001
Self-esteem	323	81±14	82 (71, 91)	82±16	0.92
General health perceptions	323	66±16	68 (55, 78)	66±15	0.73
Family activities	321	80±21	88 (67, 100)	Not available	
Child Health Questionnaire – single-item domains					
Family cohesion (five categories; 100 = excellent to 0 = poor)	314	72±24	85 (60, 85)	Not available	
Change in health (five categories; 5 = much better now than 1 year ago to 1 = much worse now than 1 year ago)	308	3.8±0.9	4 (3, 5)	Not available	
Global health (five categories; 100 = excellent to 0 = poor)	294	77±19	85 (60, 100)	Not available	
Global behaviour (five categories; 100 = excellent to 0 = poor)	281	79±22	85 (60, 100)	Not available	
Congenital Heart Adolescent Teenage questionnaire – scale domains					
Friendship problems	321	7±15	0 (0, 8)	Not available	
Emotional concerns	318	22±18	19 (6, 31)	Not available	
Symptom discomfort	321	6±6	5 (2, 8)	Not available	
Activity limitations	320	15±16	13 (4, 21)	Not available	
Career concerns	315	16±17	10 (5, 25)	Not available	
General health (1 = excellent to 5 = poor)	315	2.1±0.9	2 (1, 3)	Not available	
Perceived severity of heart condition					

Questionnaire and domain	n	Mean±standard deviation	Median (25th, 75th percentiles)	Published norms	p-value*
(0 = not at all serious to 5 = very serious) Social life affected by heart condition	312	2.5±1.4	3 (1, 3)	Not available	

CHQ=Child Health Questionnaire

* Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the distribution of the CHQ scores from the study with the values for a normal population

Table 3

Full model regression analyses for variable categories for equivalent conceptual domains from the self-report CHQ and the CHAT Questionnaire*.

Test (group of predictors)	Dependent	R ²	R ² adjusted	n variables	n observations
Medical history	CHQ physical functioning scale	0.29	0.14	56	323
Medical history	CHAT activity limitations	0.27	0.12	56	320
Medical history	CHQ bodily pain scale	0.30	0.15	56	319
Medical history	CHAT symptom discomfort	0.25	0.09	56	322
Exercise testing	CHQ physical functioning scale	0.26	0.22	6	115
Exercise testing	CHAT activity limitations	0.11	0.06	6	115
Exercise testing	CHQ bodily pain scale	0.02	0.00	6	116
Exercise testing	CHAT symptom discomfort	0.07	0.01	6	114
Echocardiography	CHQ physical functioning scale	0.08	0.01	16	243
Echocardiography	CHAT activity limitations	0.08	0.02	16	240
Echocardiography	CHQ bodily pain scale	0.10	0.03	16	240
Echocardiography	CHAT symptom discomfort	0.04	0.00	16	242
Magnetic resonance imaging	CHQ physical functioning scale	0.07	0.01	7	107
Magnetic resonance imaging	CHAT activity limitations	0.11	0.04	7	107
Magnetic resonance imaging	CHQ bodily pain scale	0.10	0.03	7	105
Magnetic resonance imaging	CHAT symptom discomfort	0.08	0.02	7	106
Brain natriuretic peptide**	CHQ physical functioning scale	0.00	0.00	1	307
Brain natriuretic peptide**	CHAT activity limitations	0.01	0.00	1	304
Brain natriuretic peptide**	CHQ bodily pain scale	0.01	0.01	1	304
Brain natriuretic peptide**	CHAT symptom discomfort	0.01	0.01	1	305

CHQ = Child Health Questionnaire; CHAT = Congenital Heart Adolescent and Teenage

* After normalizing rank transformation

** After normalizing logarithmic transformation