

Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers

12-1-2016

Family factors that characterize adolescents with severe obesity and their role in weight loss surgery outcomes.

Meg H. Zeller

Sanita Hunsaker

Carmen Mikhail

Jennifer Reiter-Purtill

Mary Beth McCullough

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/papers>



Part of the [Behavioral Medicine Commons](#), [Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases Commons](#), [Pediatrics Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Zeller MH, Hunsaker S, Mikhail C, et al. Family factors that characterize adolescents with severe obesity and their role in weight loss surgery outcomes. *Obesity* (Silver Spring). 2016;24(12):2562-2569. doi:10.1002/oby.21676

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

Creator(s)

Meg H. Zeller, Sanita Hunsaker, Carmen Mikhail, Jennifer Reiter-Purtill, Mary Beth McCullough, Beth Garland, Heather Austin, Gia Washington, Amy Baughcum, Dana Rofey, Kevin Smith, and TeenView Study Group and in collaboration with the Teen-LABS Consortium



Join TOS in Vegas

Come to the 37th Annual Meeting of The Obesity Society

WHAT:

TOS Annual Meeting at ObesityWeek™ 2019

WHEN:

November 3-7

WHERE:

Mandalay Bay Resort and Casino

Las Vegas, Nevada

- TOS 37th Annual Meeting includes sessions for all TOS committees and sections
- ObesityWeek features more than 4,000 attendees from 40+ countries
- Learn about scientific breakthroughs first hand from world-renowned experts
- Have vital interactions, make essential connections



Join TOS and get special discounts on your registration!

For more information call (301) 563-6526 or visit <https://obesityweek.com/>

Submit abstracts at <https://obesityweek.com/abstract-information/>



www.obesity.org

CONNECT WITH TOS



Family Factors that Characterize Adolescents with Severe Obesity and Their Role in Weight Loss Surgery Outcomes

Meg H. Zeller¹, Sanita Hunsaker¹, Carmen Mikhail^{2,3}, Jennifer Reiter-Purtill¹, Mary Beth McCullough¹, Beth Garland^{2,3}, Heather Austin⁴, Gia Washington^{2,3}, Amy Baughcum⁵, Dana Rofey⁶, and Kevin Smith⁷,
for the TeenView Study Group and in collaboration with the Teen-LABS Consortium

Objective: To comprehensively assess family characteristics of adolescents with severe obesity and whether family factors impact weight loss outcomes following weight loss surgery (WLS).

Methods: Multisite prospective data from 138 adolescents undergoing WLS and primary caregivers (adolescent: $M_{\text{age}} = 16.9$; $M_{\text{BMI}} = 51.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$; caregiver: $M_{\text{age}} = 44.5$; 93% female) and 83 nonsurgical comparators (NSComp: adolescent: $M_{\text{age}} = 16.1$; $M_{\text{BMI}} = 46.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$; caregiver: $M_{\text{age}} = 43.9$; 94% female) were collected using standardized measures at presurgery/baseline and at 1 and 2 years.

Results: The majority (77.3%) of caregivers had obesity, with rates of caregiver WLS significantly higher in the WLS (23.8%) versus NSComp group (3.7%, $P < 0.001$). Family dysfunction was prevalent (≈ 1 in every two to three families), with rates higher for NSComp than the WLS group. For the WLS group, pre-operative family factors (i.e., caregiver BMI or WLS history, dysfunction, social support) were not significant predictors of adolescent weight loss at 1 and 2 years postoperatively, although change in family functioning over time emerged as a significant correlate of percent weight loss.

Conclusions: Rates of severe obesity in caregivers as well as family dysfunction were clinically noteworthy, although not related to adolescent weight loss success following WLS. However, change in family communication and emotional climate over time emerged as potential targets to optimize weight loss outcomes.

Obesity (2016) 24, 2562-2569. doi:10.1002/oby.21676

Introduction

Emerging evidence supports the safety, efficacy, and improved physical and psychosocial health when adolescents with severe obesity (body mass index, BMI $\geq 120\%$ of the BMI-for-age 95th percentile) undergo weight loss surgery (WLS) (1-5). Elucidating factors that contribute to optimal/less optimal adolescent WLS outcomes are vital to inform patient care. Unlike adult WLS care, pediatrics requires the presence and involvement of caregivers. Best practice guidelines for adolescent WLS patient care stress the importance of assessing the family (6-8), yet our understanding of family characteristics and their role in WLS outcomes is limited.

Obesity is typically a shared disease among family members, particularly mother and child, with known genetic and environmental contributions (9,10). However, only a minority of youth with obesity (BMI ≥ 95 th percentile) live in home environments characterized by “unhealthy” family functioning (i.e., higher conflict, less affective engagement, and/or poor communication compared with healthy samples or non-overweight youth (11-13)). That said, impaired family functioning is a known risk factor for poorer treatment outcomes in pediatric conditions reliant on regimen adherence, lifestyle change, and/or high treatment intensity (e.g., diabetes, cystic fibrosis, organ transplant) (14-18), factors critical in the early WLS post-operative course. For adolescents specifically, impaired family functioning and communication with parents (i.e., low in frequency,

¹ Behavioral Medicine and Clinical Psychology, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA. Correspondence: Meg H. Zeller (meg.zeller@cchmc.org) ² Department of Pediatrics, Texas Children’s Hospital, Houston, Texas, USA ³ Department of Pediatrics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA ⁴ Center of Weight Management, Children’s Hospital of Alabama, Birmingham, Alabama, USA ⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, USA ⁶ Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ⁷ Department of Developmental and Behavioral Sciences, Children’s Mercy Hospitals & Clinics, Kansas City, Missouri, USA.

Funding agencies: All authors were supported by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (R01DK080020, to MHZ), with the exception of DR who was funded by a NIH Career Development Award (K23HD061598). Significant contributions were made by the parent Teen-LABS Consortium (U01DK072493, UM1DK072493; PI: Thomas H. Inge, MD, PhD), the Teen-LABS Data Coordinating Center (UM1DK095710; PI: Ralph Buncher, ScD), and the NIDDK/Teen-LABS Project Scientist, Mary Horlick, MD.

Disclosure: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Received: 29 April 2016; **Accepted:** 18 July 2016; **Published online** 18 October 2016. doi:10.1002/oby.21676

conflictual) are also influential correlates of weight-related health practices (19-21).

Our initial work described families of adolescents before undergoing Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) compared with families of nonsurgical adolescents with severe obesity seeking lifestyle intervention (22). Caregiver obesity prevalence was high (86% BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²), with WLS caregivers (25%) more likely to have also undergone WLS themselves. Prevalence of clinical range caregiver-reported family dysfunction (25.0%_{WLS} vs. 35.7%_{nonsurgical}, ns) and psychological distress (12.5%_{WLS} vs. 39.3%_{nonsurgical}, ns) was low and remained stable at 1 year postoperatively. Sysko et al. demonstrated that adolescents' report of greater preoperative family conflict was predictive of poorer weight loss outcomes at 1 year postoperatively following adjustable gastric banding (AGB) (4). These early data warrant further investigation with larger samples and beyond the first postoperative year, particularly as weight loss trajectories begin to differentiate (1).

Our aims were to (aim 1) describe family characteristics of adolescents before WLS relative to adolescent comparators with severe obesity seeking nonsurgical treatment. Characteristics included caregiver BMI and history of WLS, caregiver- and adolescent-reported family functioning, caregiver psychological distress, adolescent perceived family social support (network size, satisfaction, caregiver presence in the home), and demographics (caregiver age and education, family composition). Based on aforementioned literature, we hypothesized the majority of primary caregivers would meet criteria for obesity while a minority of families would meet criteria for "unhealthy" family functioning or have caregivers reporting psychological distress, with no significant group differences. We anticipated no group differences in family social support. We expected a significantly higher rate of caregiver WLS for adolescents in the WLS group versus nonsurgical caregivers. For the WLS group we (aim 2) examined the impact of preoperative family characteristics on adolescent weight loss at 12 and 24 months, hypothesizing unhealthy family functioning, caregiver psychological distress, and lower social support would negatively impact adolescent weight loss outcomes. We also explored whether stability versus any change in family functioning over time would impact adolescent weight loss outcomes

Methods

Study design overview

TeenView is an ancillary study to the Teen Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery Consortium (Teen-LABS), a prospective, observational cohort study being executed across five academic centers in the United States to document the safety and efficacy of WLS in 242 adolescent patients (23). TeenView aims to characterize psychosocial risks and benefits of WLS across the first two postoperative years and recruited two cohorts (2008-2012): (1) Teen-LABS participants and their caregivers ("WLS"), and (2) demographically similar comparator adolescents with severe obesity and their caregivers ("NSComp") in nonsurgical lifestyle modification programs at these five sites. TeenView was not designed as a comparative intervention trial (i.e., WLS vs. lifestyle modification), but to elucidate psychosocial benefits and risks associated with adolescent WLS relative to severe obesity's "natural course." Baseline/presurgical, 12-month

(BMI only), and 24-month data were utilized in analyses. Institutional Review Boards approved study protocols.

Participants

TeenView eligibility required that the adolescent: (1) had a baseline BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²; was (2) 13 to 18 years of age; with (3) no developmental delay due to high reading demand; (4) had a caregiver willing to participate; and (5) had the ability to speak and read English. Of 159 Teen-LABS participants eligible, 14 declined and 4 (consented) could not participate before surgery, resulting in 141 participating adolescents (88.7%). The older sibling was excluded in sibling pairs ($n = 2$), and one caregiver consented but could not participate before surgery, resulting in a presurgical WLS sample of 139 adolescents and 138 caregivers. At 24 months, eight adolescents declined, eight could not be located, and one had incomplete data, leaving 122 of 139 (87.8%) adolescents. For caregivers with participating adolescents, 89 of 122 (73.0%) continued to participate.

Comparator adolescents were recruited from TeenView research registries of study eligible youth within site-specific nonsurgical lifestyle modification programs whose families were interested in study enrollment should their adolescent become a demographic match (i.e., gender, race, ± 6 months in age) to a WLS group adolescent. During enrollment, 86 potential comparators emerged as demographic matches and were approached, of whom 83 adolescents and caregivers (96.5%) agreed to participate. At 24 months, three adolescents declined and five could not be located, leaving 75 of 83 (90.4%) adolescents. For caregivers with participating adolescents, 71 of 75 (94.7%) continued to participate.

Procedure

Baseline (within 30 days before surgery), 12-month, and 24-month follow-up measures were collected by trained study personnel at a clinical center using a standardized protocol via paper and pencil and/or laptop computer. Participants were informed via the consent/assent process that responses were confidential. If adolescent participants could not complete an in-person follow-up study visit, they completed paper/pencil forms at home or via Web, with height and weight measurements obtained via field visits by study affiliates for WLS ($n = 7$ at 12 months, $n = 18$ at 24 months) (1) or as self-report for comparators ($n = 1$ at 12 months, $n = 5$ at 24 months).

Measures

BMI and percent weight loss. Adolescent and caregiver measured height and weight data were used to calculate BMI (kg/m²). Adolescent percent weight loss was calculated as $([\text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}} - \text{weight}_{\text{follow-up}}] / \text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}}) \times 100$. Percent change in weight (vs. BMI) is the recommended standard metric in bariatric outcome research to facilitate interpretation and comparison across bariatric samples and studies (24) but also assumes height is stable. In further support of our approach, Teen-LABS recently demonstrated little increase in adolescent height over time, with the magnitude of BMI reduction nearly identical to that of weight reduction (1).

Family functioning. The Family Assessment Device (FAD) (25) is a psychometrically sound 60-item questionnaire validated for caregiver and adolescent (>12 years) report assessing six dimensions of family functioning: problem solving (ability to resolve

problems), communication (exchange of clear and direct verbal information), roles (division of responsibility in completing family tasks), affective responsiveness (ability to respond with appropriate emotion), affective involvement (degree to which family members are involved and interested in one another), and behavior control (manner used to express and maintain standards of behavior), as well as a separate scale of overall general functioning (FAD-GF). Higher scores indicate poorer family functioning (i.e., greater dysfunction), with clinical cutoff scores for each dimension differentiating “healthy” versus “unhealthy” family functioning (26). For the current study, internal consistencies across time were adequate (caregiver $\alpha = 0.69-0.85$; adolescent $\alpha = 0.69-0.88$).

Caregiver distress. The Symptom Checklist 90-Revised (SCL-90R) (27) is a psychometrically sound 90-item instrument assessing current psychological symptoms. The Global Severity Index (GSI), considered the best summary measure, combines information on the number and intensity of symptoms present. A GSI total T score ≥ 63 or on any two dimensions indicates clinically significant psychological distress. GSI internal consistency for caregivers was 0.97.

Social support. The Children’s Social Support Questionnaire (28) measures the size and quality of a child/adolescent’s social support network with acceptable psychometrics. Family network size was computed by counting the number of family members (e.g., caregiver, sibling, grandparent, other relative) adolescents listed as part of their network. Adolescents rated how happy they were with each (1 = very unhappy to 5 = very happy), averaged across family members.

Caregiver presence. A composite scale (eight items) from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) (29,30) measured adolescents’ perception of the frequency of resident maternal (e.g., mother, stepmother, grandmother) and/or paternal (e.g., father, stepfather, grandfather) figures’ presence in the home over the course of a typical week at four potentially unsupervised times: mealtimes, before and after school, and bedtime. The higher of either maternal or paternal presence at these four times was used and averaged (scores range from 0 to 4). A higher score indicates greater caregiver presence.

Other measures. Caregivers completed a demographic questionnaire providing caregiver gender, age, education, and family composition. Caregivers reported whether they had undergone WLS and the procedure date.

Statistical analyses

For hypothesized analyses, missing data were handled via maximum likelihood estimation. Nesting of participants within the five sites was controlled for via specialized commands in Mplus Version 7.3 (i.e., “Cluster = site” and “Type = Complex,” respectively) to avoid possible type 1 errors. Preliminary analyses compared groups (WLS vs. NSComp) on presurgical demographic factors and BMI with significant differences ($P < 0.05$) controlled in subsequent analyses. Potential attrition bias was examined, with baseline characteristics of longitudinal participants compared with those with data at baseline only using t -tests and χ^2 tests.

For aim 1, multiple logistic regression was used to examine group differences (0 = NSComp, 1 = WLS) at baseline for binary

dependent variables indicating unhealthy family functioning (FAD) or clinical levels of female caregiver distress (SCL-90R). Group differences in mean levels of continuous variables (e.g., social support, caregiver presence) were tested with analyses of covariance. For aim 2, linear regression analyses were used to examine baseline adolescent and caregiver demographics and BMI, family functioning, social support, caregiver distress, and caregiver presence in the prediction of percent weight loss from baseline to 12 and 24 months for the WLS group. Finally, a series of linear regression analyses was used to explore if change in family clinical status over time, either improving (i.e., unhealthy to healthy) or declining (i.e., healthy to unhealthy), relative to maintaining clinical status in each adolescent-reported FAD domain, was associated with percent change in weight at 24 months.

Results

Participant characteristics

The majority of adolescents were White and female (Table 1). Adolescents in the WLS group were older ($P < 0.001$), with a higher baseline BMI ($P < 0.001$) than NSComp. Most were living in dual caregiver homes, with participating “primary” caregivers typically the biological mother, with at least a high school education, and employed.

Of the baseline sample ($N = 222$), 197 adolescents (88.7%) were retained at 24 months, of whom 37 (18.8%) participated without a caregiver. Among adolescents, attrition analyses demonstrated membership in the longitudinal ($n = 197$; baseline + 24 months) versus the non-longitudinal ($n = 25$, baseline only) sample was unrelated to group (WLS, NSComp), adolescent BMI, gender, race, age, or mean FAD-GF score. Among caregivers, longitudinal ($n = 160$) versus non-longitudinal membership ($n = 62$) was unrelated to adolescent BMI, gender, or race or caregiver-reported mean FAD-GF score, psychological distress, or history of WLS. However, significantly more caregivers were non-longitudinal in the WLS versus NSComp group (36.0%_{caregiver-non-longitudinal}; 14.5%_{caregiver-longitudinal}, $\chi^2 = 11.95$, $P = 0.001$). Adolescents of non-longitudinal caregivers were significantly older at baseline ($M_{\text{age-non-longitudinal}} = 17.01 \pm 1.51$ years; $M_{\text{age-longitudinal}} = 16.42 \pm 1.37$ years, $t = -2.83$, $P = 0.01$).

Preoperative/baseline family characteristics

Caregiver weight status and WLS history. The majority (77.3%) of primary caregivers met criteria for obesity (i.e., BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) or severe obesity (59.3%) (i.e., BMI ≥ 35 kg/m² or class 2) with no significant differences in caregiver BMI between groups (Table 1). Caregiver and adolescent BMI were significantly and positively correlated (WLS: $r = 0.32$, $P < 0.001$; NSComp: $r = 0.23$, $P = 0.045$). Caregivers in the WLS group were significantly more likely to have undergone WLS than NSComp ($P < 0.001$).

Family functioning and caregiver psychological distress. Percentages of families meeting clinical cutoffs denoting “unhealthy” family functioning (adolescent and caregiver report) are detailed in Table 2. The WLS group reported significantly less impairment than NSComp across all FAD domains from both informants after controlling for adolescent BMI and age. A notable percentage of adolescents in the WLS group reported clinical dysfunction in communication, affective involvement, and behavior

TABLE 1 Presurgical/baseline demographic characteristics of WLS adolescents and NSComp adolescents and their families

	Total (N = 222), mean ± SD, %	WLS (n = 139), mean ± SD, %	NSComp (n = 83), mean ± SD, %	P ^a
Adolescent				
Age	16.59 ± 1.44	16.86 ± 1.39	16.11 ± 1.40	<0.001
% Female	80.6%	79.9%	81.9%	0.71
% White	61.7%	66.2%	54.2%	0.08
BMI	49.77 ± 7.89	51.52 ± 8.32	46.85 ± 6.12	<0.001
Surgical procedure				
% Gastric bypass		61.9%		
% Sleeve gastrectomy		36.0%		
% Adjustable band		2.2%		
Caregiver^b				
Age	44.27 ± 7.50	44.47 ± 6.41	43.93 ± 9.05	0.63
% Female	93.6%	93.4%	94.0%	0.87
% History of WLS	16.1%	23.8%	3.7%	<0.001
% Employed (full or part time)	53.0%	58.8%	43.4%	0.03
Education				
% ≤High school graduation	42.5%	39.0%	48.2%	0.18
% 1+ year Postsecondary	57.5%	61.0%	51.8%	
BMI^c				
% Normal	38.05 ± 9.63	37.84 ± 9.35	38.36 ± 10.08	0.71
% Overweight	8.3%	8.9%	7.2%	
% Obesity (class 1)	14.6%	10.6%	20.5%	
% Severe obesity (class 2)	18.0%	18.7%	16.9%	
% Severe obesity (class 3)	20.9%	26.0%	13.3%	
% Superobesity	27.7%	25.2%	31.3%	
Family				
% Single caregiver home	33.3%	31.6%	36.1%	0.49

^aP values are based on two-tailed independent *t*-tests when examining mean values and on χ^2 tests or Fisher's exact tests when examining percentages.

^bDemographic information was available for 136 bariatric caregivers, with the exception of caregiver BMI (*n* = 123) and history of WLS (*n* = 130). Demographic information was available for 83 comparison caregivers with the exception of history of WLS (*n* = 81).

^cBMI definitions are as follows: normal: 18.5-24.9; overweight: 25.0-29.9; obesity (class 1): 30.0-34.9; severe obesity (class 2): 35.0-39.9; severe obesity (class 3): 40.0-49.9; superobesity: >50.

BMI, body mass index; NSComp, nonsurgical comparison; WLS, weight loss surgery; SD, standard deviation.

control, while the majority of NSComp exceeded cutoffs for each domain. From the caregiver perspective, the most prevalent unhealthy domains were FAD-GF, roles, and affective involvement. Significantly fewer caregivers met criteria for clinical distress (GSI) at baseline in the WLS group relative to NSComps (23.4%_{WLS}, 43.6%_{NSComp}, *P* < 0.001).

Adolescent perception of family social support and caregiver presence. Family members constituted approximately half of adolescents' total network size (46.4%_{WLS}, 42.2%_{NSComp}), with a caregiver included by a majority of adolescents (80.6%_{WLS}, 73.5%_{NSComp}). Adolescents reported a caregiver was typically present in the home at mealtimes, before and after school, and/or at bedtime ($M_{WLS} = 3.44 \pm 0.71$; $M_{NSComp} = 3.42 \pm 0.71$). After controlling for adolescent BMI and age, no significant group differences were noted in caregiver presence or family network size ($M_{WLS} = 3.59 \pm 2.46$; $M_{NSComp} = 3.08 \pm 2.22$, ns); however, the WLS group reported significantly greater happiness with family social support ($M_{WLS} = 4.37 \pm 0.85$; $M_{NSComp} = 4.11 \pm 0.87$, *P* = 0.02).

Impact of preoperative family factors on adolescent weight loss outcomes following WLS

Adolescent BMI and percent change in weight from presurgery/baseline to 12- and 24-month follow-up are presented in Table 3. Linear regression analyses were limited to adolescents who underwent RYGB or VSG, given the small sample size for AGB patients (*n* = 3). For this WLS sample, analyses examined whether baseline demographic, BMI, caregiver/family factors, or adolescent-reported FAD-GF were predictive of greater percent weight loss from baseline to 12 as well as 24 months. Only adolescent gender was a significant predictor, with males demonstrating greater weight loss at both time points (Table 4). Adolescent happiness with social support was significantly related to percent weight loss at 12 months, but not at 24 months.

Change in family functioning and adolescent percent weight loss at 24 months following WLS

Subgroups representing those who maintained versus changed in family clinical status (healthy vs. unhealthy) from baseline to 24

TABLE 2 Clinical levels of family dysfunction using the FAD for WLS adolescents and NSComp adolescents and their families at baseline

	WLS (n = 138)	NSComp (n = 83)	Odds ratio	P
Adolescent report				
General functioning (FAD-GF)	44.2%	72.3%	0.29	0.01
Problem solving	29.7%	51.8%	0.35	0.005
Communication	50.7%	72.3%	0.37	<0.001
Roles	42.0%	67.5%	0.34	0.006
Affective responsiveness	44.2%	67.5%	0.33	<0.001
Affective involvement	66.7%	83.1%	0.39	0.02
Behavior control	46.4%	68.7%	0.36	<0.001
Caregiver report				
General functioning (FAD-GF)	38.0%	53.0%	0.54	0.01
Problem solving	13.9%	24.1%	0.46	0.006
Communication	28.5%	48.2%	0.36	0.002
Roles	48.9%	61.4%	0.53	0.01
Affective responsiveness	19.0%	38.6%	0.30	<0.001
Affective involvement	44.5%	61.4%	0.45	0.03
Behavior control	29.2%	32.5%	0.75	0.39

^aP values were based on logistic regressions with adolescent age and body mass index as covariates.

FAD, Family Assessment Device; FAD-GF, Family Assessment Device-General Functioning; NSComp, nonsurgical comparison; WLS, weight loss surgery.

months following surgery for each adolescent-reported FAD scale were created (Table 5). The majority maintained their healthy or unhealthy status within each domain. A smaller percentage improved (i.e., unhealthy to healthy) or declined (i.e., healthy to unhealthy) in one or more domain.

Linear regression analyses were used to explore if either improving or declining relative to maintaining status (i.e., healthy or unhealthy at both time points) significantly predicted percent change in weight at 24 months. Gender was included as a covariate given its significant association with weight loss outcomes (Table 4). Relative to the maintain group, improving in communication (unhealthy to healthy) was associated with greater percent weight loss at 24 months ($M_{\text{maintain}} = 29.8\% \pm 10.9$; $M_{\text{improve}} = 32.9\% \pm 9.4$; unstandardized $B = 4.38$, $P = 0.006$). Declining affective responsiveness (healthy to unhealthy) was associated with lower percent weight loss at 24 months ($M_{\text{maintain}} = 31.5\% \pm 10.1$; $M_{\text{decline}} = 24.1\% \pm 9.4$; unstandardized $B = -6.35$, $P = 0.001$). No other significant effects were identified.

Discussion

Utilizing a multisite, controlled, longitudinal observational design, we provide a comprehensive view of family factors which characterize adolescents with severe obesity presenting for clinical intervention, with a specific focus on the role of family factors in adolescent weight loss outcomes at 2 years following WLS. Findings can be summarized around five key points.

First, as expected, the overwhelming majority of primary caregivers who sought intervention (WLS or lifestyle intervention) for their adolescent with severe obesity also met criteria for severe obesity (three of five caregivers \geq class 2 obesity), with caregiver and adolescent BMIs significantly correlated. Moreover, nearly one in four

adolescents at the time of surgery had a primary caregiver who had previously undergone WLS. This underscores how severe obesity is a shared disease within families seeking intervention. Further, while there are often multiple WLS patients within families (31), this now includes pediatric age-range offspring.

Second, unlike initial estimates (22) the prevalence of family dysfunction in the WLS group was clinically noteworthy (≈ 1 in every two to three families). In addition to general impairment (i.e., FAD-GF), dysfunction focused on unhealthy communication, family members showing less interest and investment in each other (i.e., affective involvement), and challenges to how the family works together to complete routine daily tasks (i.e., roles). Rates of caregiver psychological distress were also higher (nearly one in every four) than previous estimates (12%) (22). Thus, it appears clinical WLS programs are approving adolescent candidates for surgery who have varied family status—including some with significant dysfunction, and from the adolescent's viewpoint, in particular.

Third, although consistent with other recently published baseline TeenView findings (32,33), unexpected significant group differences were found for many of the present family domains, whereby NSComp showed greater impairment than the WLS group. Unlike adolescents presenting for first-line nonsurgical interventions, adolescents who undergo WLS may be a unique clinical group. Achieving WLS candidacy involves navigating a complex process with multiple levels of decision-makers (i.e., supportive caregivers, a referring physician, the clinical team, insurance approval). Ultimately, adolescents with poorly managed psychosocial health and/or greater family dysfunction may not seek or be referred for surgery (i.e., NSComp), drop out of this intensive clinical care pathway during the preoperative phase, or are subsequently deferred or denied access by the clinical team. These are important areas for future research.

TABLE 3 Percent weight change from baseline to 12 and 24 months and BMI at 24 months for WLS adolescents and NSComp adolescents

	Percent weight change from baseline to 12 months ^a			Percent weight change from baseline to 24 months ^b			BMI at 24 months ^c		
	Mean ± SD	Range	n	Mean ± SD	Range	n	Mean ± SD	Range	n
Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy (combined)	-31.28% ± 7.99	-13.29 to -52.77	123	-30.58% ± 10.48	-3.92 to -52.63	121	35.79 ± 8.49	22.63 to 61.35	119
Roux-en-Y Gastric bypass	-31.31% ± 7.88	-13.29 to -48.15	79	-30.64% ± 10.52	-3.92 to -52.63	80	36.55 ± 8.77	22.63 to 61.35	78
Sleeve gastrectomy	-31.24% ± 8.29	-15.01 to -52.77	44	-30.46% ± 10.53	-4.09 to 50.64	41	34.36 ± 7.83	23.92 to 60.81	41
Adjustable gastric band	-13.02% ± 5.26	-9.24 to -19.02	3	-5.16% ± 10.49	+3.44 to -16.85	3	44.48 ± 8.06	36.10 to 52.17	3
NSComp	+4.86% ± 9.19	+52.16 to -20.74	62	+6.98% ± 10.81	+39.72 to -22.78	67	48.65 ± 8.37	29.90 to 89.29	67

^a $(\text{Weight}_{12 \text{ months}} - \text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}}) / \text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}} \times 100$.

^b $(\text{Weight}_{24 \text{ months}} - \text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}}) / \text{weight}_{\text{presurgery}} \times 100$.

^cPercent change in BMI from baseline to 12 months ($\text{BMI}_{12 \text{ months}} - \text{BMI}_{\text{presurgery}} / \text{BMI}_{\text{presurgery}} \times 100$) and from baseline to 24 months ($\text{BMI}_{24 \text{ months}} - \text{BMI}_{\text{presurgery}} / \text{BMI}_{\text{presurgery}} \times 100$) was also computed for each group. Mean and SD values at 12 months for each group are as follows: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy (combined): -31.15% ± 8.20; Roux-en-Y: -31.05% ± 8.10; sleeve gastrectomy: -31.35% ± 8.48; adjustable gastric band: -13.44% ± 4.76; NSComp: +3.76% ± 10.67. Mean and SD values at 24 months: Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy (combined): -30.76% ± 10.64; Roux-en-Y: -30.68% ± 10.65; sleeve gastrectomy: -30.92% ± 10.74; adjustable gastric band: -7.01% ± 8.61; NSComp: +5.06% ± 12.41. BMI, body mass index; WLS, weight loss surgery; NSComp, nonsurgical comparison; SD, standard deviation.

Fourth, contrary to hypotheses, an adolescent’s perception of preoperative family functioning (healthy or unhealthy) was not predictive of their weight loss success at 1 or 2 years postoperatively. Nor were preoperative caregiver psychological distress, frequency of caregiver presence in the home, or the family social support network. While adolescent happiness with family social support was important to initial weight loss at 12 months, this was not sustained at 24 months. Moreover, adolescent weight loss outcomes were not impacted by preoperative caregiver BMI or history of WLS. Perhaps these specific preoperative family factors are not relevant in understanding adolescent weight loss outcomes. Certainly the seminal work emerging from the adult WLS literature has demonstrated that there are few “useful” preoperative predictors of adult success (34). Alternately, clinical care pathways at these five clinical centers may have effectively managed dysfunction, as all include a licensed mental health practitioner (35).

Finally, exploratory findings suggested a change in the adolescent’s perception of family functioning may be an important signal for optimizing weight loss success. For most adolescents, perceptions of family’s functioning remained stable over time. However, adolescents who reported a positive change in family communication achieved better weight loss outcomes (≈ 3% more weight lost) at 24 months. Moreover, those who moved from healthy to unhealthy patterns in how family members respond with “appropriate quality or quantity of affect” (i.e. affective responsiveness) were less successful at weight loss at 24 months (≈7.4% less weight lost). These findings are consistent with a recent adolescent behavioral weight loss intervention trial where change in communication style between mother and adolescent, but not pretreatment communication style, impacted weight loss outcomes (36). While causation cannot be inferred, future research regarding whether clinical interventions that target improving family communication and maintaining a healthy emotional climate optimize adolescent weight loss outcomes across the first two postoperative years are indicated.

There are several caveats and limitations. Although consistent with national WLS trends (37,38), the Teen-LABS patient population is primarily White and female. While concordance between informants (adolescent, caregiver) was not tested, it is considered “normative” for adolescents to perceive greater family impairment than their caregivers (39). Further, preoperative family factors may play an important role in outcomes not addressed herein (i.e., perioperative safety, psychosocial health). All adolescents in the WLS group proceeded through routine care pathways in their respective programs. It is unknown (and beyond scope) whether they received adjunctive family-based psychological care postoperatively.

Finally, Teen-LABS planned enrollment of consecutive surgical patients across five sites resulted in observation of outcomes of three surgical procedures, with the VSG newly emerging as a surgical option for adolescents during this specific enrollment period (2008-2012). Teen-LABS, and therefore the present ancillary study, were not designed or intended as comparative clinical trials. Procedural decisions were made not only on an individual basis (i.e., patient/family preference, clinical team’s recommendation), but were also driven by site- and time-specific factors. For example, the availability of the VSG procedure for adolescents varied in time by clinical site. Moreover, participants’ surgical procedure type was ultimately determined by insurance panels, which for VSG proved more challenging during the Teen-LABS enrollment period given it is

TABLE 4 Baseline predictors of adolescent percent change in weight from baseline to 12 months and 24 months for WLS adolescents (*n* = 136)^a and their families

	Percent change in weight, 12 months			Percent change in weight, 24 months		
	β	SE	<i>P</i>	β	SE	<i>P</i>
Adolescent						
Baseline BMI	-0.13	0.12	0.30	-0.12	0.26	0.65
Gender: female	-5.61	0.96	<0.001	-7.58	3.00	0.01
Race: Non-White	-1.33	1.57	0.40	-1.18	2.11	0.58
Age	-0.22	0.64	0.73	-0.41	0.61	0.50
Family functioning (FAD-GF)	1.39	2.78	0.62	2.35	3.83	0.54
Family social support network size	-0.22	0.37	0.56	-0.17	0.53	0.75
Happiness with family social support ^b	0.80	0.41	0.047	0.69	0.82	0.40
Caregiver						
BMI	0.13	0.14	0.35	0.18	0.21	0.41
Caregiver history of WLS	-0.03	2.28	0.99	-0.01	3.00	0.99
Female caregiver psychological distress ^c	0.96	2.38	0.69	0.48	2.54	0.85
Caregiver presence	0.14	0.96	0.88	0.56	1.31	0.67

^aThree participants who had undergone adjustable gastric banding were excluded from these analyses.
^bFor participants who listed no family members as part of their social support network, happiness was set to 0.
^cClinical range on the SCL-90R was used, with 0 = not clinical, 1 = clinical.
 BMI, body mass index; FAD-GF, Family Assessment Device-General Functioning; SCL-90R, Symptom Checklist 90-Revised; WLS, weight loss surgery.

“investigational” status for adolescents (40,41). Thus, “site” was a critical covariate in our analyses to control for any potential bias. That said, the initial weight loss outcome data of the RYGB and VSG appear remarkably similar. In addition, it is noteworthy that, due to our exclusion of AGB patients from outcome analyses (i.e., small sample size), the present findings may not be generalizable to the AGB patient, particularly given differing treatment demands and outcome trajectories associated with this device-based intervention. As bariatric surgery continues to evolve as a treatment option for the adolescent with severe obesity, further research specifically designed to explore the role of family functioning in procedural decision-making and treatment outcomes will prove informative.

Conclusion

While preoperative family factors were unrelated to adolescent weight loss success at 1 and 2 years postoperatively, change in family communication and emotional climate over time emerged as potential targets to optimize weight loss outcomes. Future studies that examine psychosocial trajectories of continuity and change over time in parallel with adolescent weight loss are clearly needed. Outcome pathways are likely complex where, for example, one could consider family factors playing a mediating or moderating (i.e., indirect) role. Interestingly, the most common family characteristic emerging from these data was obesity itself and speak to a “severe”

TABLE 5 Maintenance versus change in clinical status of family dysfunction over time using adolescent report on the FAD for WLS adolescents (*n* = 118)^a

Clinical status from baseline to 24 months ^b	Maintain: healthy, <i>n</i> (%)	Maintain: unhealthy, <i>n</i> (%)	Improve, <i>n</i> (%)	Decline, <i>n</i> (%)
General functioning	49 (41.5)	42 (35.6)	11 (9.3)	16 (13.6)
Problem solving	65 (55.1)	17 (14.4)	19 (16.1)	17 (14.4)
Communication	41 (34.7)	38 (32.2)	22 (18.6)	17 (14.4)
Roles	54 (45.8)	33 (28.0)	19 (16.1)	12 (10.2)
Affective responsiveness	49 (41.5)	37 (31.4)	15 (12.7)	17 (14.4)
Affective involvement	18 (15.3)	60 (50.8)	21 (17.8)	19 (16.1)
Behavior control	36 (30.5)	41 (34.7)	14 (11.9)	27 (22.9)

^aMissing data for *n* = 18. Three participants who had undergone adjustable gastric banding were excluded.
^bMaintain healthy refers to nonclinical levels of family dysfunction at baseline and 24 months. Maintain unhealthy refers to clinical levels of family dysfunction at both time points. Improve refers to changing from clinical dysfunction status at baseline to nonclinical (i.e., unhealthy to healthy) at 24 months. Decline refers to changing from non-clinical dysfunction status at baseline to clinical (i.e., unhealthy to healthy) at 24 months.
 FAD, Family Assessment Device; WLS, weight loss surgery.

family disease—which is preventable. The fact that 23.8% of primary caregivers of adolescents who undergo WLS have previously undergone WLS suggests this intensive intervention is emerging as a family weight loss tool. *Post hoc* analyses indicated that these caregivers underwent WLS when the adolescent was approximately 11 years of age (age range = 4.2–17.3 years), when these youth were likely earlier in their obesity's progression. Daniels and Kelly asserted the need for innovative solutions to prevent the development of severe pediatric excess weight (42). Perhaps tailoring interventions to target offspring at the time of mothers' WLS is one step in this direction. High prevalence of family dysfunction and severe obesity's persistence for nonsurgical comparators paint a bleak picture of future health and well-being. **O**

Acknowledgments

Portions of these data were presented in poster format at the Society for Pediatric Psychology Annual Conference (April 2016; Atlanta, GA). The authors acknowledge the contributions of additional TeenView Study Group Co-Investigators and staff including. Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center: Faye Doland, BS, Ashley Morgenthal, BS, Shelley Kirk, PhD, Todd Jenkins, PhD, Michael Helmrath, MD, PhD, Thomas H. Inge, MD, PhD; Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor Medical Center: Margaret Callie Lee, MPH, David Allen, BS, Mary L. Brandt, MD; University of Pittsburgh Medical Center: Ronette Blake, BS, Nermeen El Nokali, PhD, Silva Arslanian, MD, Anita Courcoulas, MD, MPH; Children's Hospital of Alabama University of Alabama: Krishna Desai, MD, Amy Seay, PhD, Beverly Haynes, BSN, Heather Austin, PhD, Carroll Harmon, MD, PhD; Nationwide Children's Hospital Medical Center: Melissa Ginn, BS, Marc Michalsky, MD; Teen-LABS Data Coordinating Center: Michelle Starkey Christian, Jennifer Andringa, BS, Carolyn Powers, RD, Rachel Akers, MPH.

© 2016 The Obesity Society

References

- Inge TH, Courcoulas AP, Jenkins TM, et al. Weight loss and health status 3 years after bariatric surgery in adolescents. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374:113-123.
- Zitsman JL, DiGiorgi MF, Fennoy I, Kopchinski JS, Sysko R, Devlin MJ. Adolescent laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB): prospective results in 137 patients followed for 3 years. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2015;11:101-109.
- Zeller MH, Reiter-Purtill J, Ratcliff MB, Inge TH, Noll JG. Two-year trends in psychosocial functioning after adolescent Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2011;7:727-732.
- Sysko R, Devlin MJ, Hildebrandt TB, Brewer SK, Zitsman JL, Walsh BT. Psychological outcomes and predictors of initial weight loss outcomes among severely obese adolescents receiving laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2012;73:1351-1357.
- Jarvholm K, Karlsson J, Olbers T, et al. Two-year trends in psychological outcomes after gastric bypass in adolescents with severe obesity. *Obesity* 2015;23:1966-1972.
- Michalsky M, Reichard K, Inge T, et al. ASMBS pediatric committee best practice guidelines. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2012;8:1-7.
- Austin H, Smith K, Ward WL. Psychological assessment of the adolescent bariatric surgery candidate. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2013;9:474-480.
- Sysko R, Zandberg LJ, Devlin MJ, Annunziato RA, Zitsman JL, Walsh BT. Mental health evaluations for adolescents before bariatric surgery: a review of existing practices and a specific example of assessment procedures. *Clin Obes* 2013;3:62-72.
- Llewellyn CH, Trzaskowski M, Plomin R, Wardle J. Finding the missing heritability in pediatric obesity: the contribution of genome-wide complex trait analysis. *Int J Obes* 2013;37:1506-1509.
- Dabelea D, Crume T. Maternal environment and the transgenerational cycle of obesity and diabetes. *Diabetes* 2011;60:1849-1855.
- Zeller MH, Reiter-Purtill J, Modi AC, Gutzwiller J, Vannatta K, Davies WH. Controlled study of critical parent and family factors in the obesigenic environment. *Obesity* 2007;15:126-136.
- Akay AP, Ozturk Y, Avcil SN, Kavurma C, Tufan E. Relationships between pediatric obesity and maternal emotional states and attitudes. *Int J Psychiatry Med* 2015;50:178-190.
- Bez Y, Ari M, Gokce C, Celik M, Kaya H. Family functioning and its clinical correlates in overweight and obese patients. *Eat Weight Disord* 2011;16:e257-e262.
- Duke DC, Geffken GR, Lewin AB, Williams LB, Storch EA, Silverstein JH. Glycemic control in youth with Type 1 diabetes: Family predictors and mediators. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2008;33:719-727.
- Lewin AB, Heidergerken AD, Geffken GR, et al. The relation between family factors and metabolic control: the role of diabetes adherence. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2006;31:174-183.
- Gerson AC, Furth SL, Neu AM, Fivush BA. Assessing associations between medication adherence and potentially modifiable psychosocial variables in pediatric kidney transplant recipients and their families. *Pediatr Transplant* 2004;8:543-550.
- Stone D, Banerjee M, Dupuis J, Leleszi J, Allasio D, Singh TP. Association of parental pretransplant psychosocial assessment with post-transplant morbidity in pediatric heart transplant recipients. *Pediatr Transplant* 2006;10:602-607.
- Butcher JL, Nasr SZ. Direct observation of respiratory treatments in cystic fibrosis: parent-child interactions relate to medical regimen adherence. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2015;40:8-17.
- Berge JM, Wall M, Larson N, Loth KA, Neumark-Sztainer D. Family functioning: associations with weight status, eating behaviors, and physical activity in adolescents. *J Adolesc Health* 2013;52:351-357.
- Ackard DM, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Perry C. Parent-child connectedness and behavioral and emotional health among adolescents. *Am J Prev Med* 2006;30:59-66.
- Vander Wal JS. The relationship between body mass index and unhealthy weight control behaviors among adolescents: The role of family and peer social support. *Econ Hum Biol* 2012;10:395-404.
- Zeller MH, Guilfoyle SM, Reiter-Purtill J, Ratcliff MB, Inge TH, Long JD. Adolescent bariatric surgery: caregiver and family functioning across the first postoperative year. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2011;7:145-150.
- Inge TH, Zeller MH, Harmon CM, et al. Teen-longitudinal assessment of bariatric surgery: methodological features of the first prospective multicenter study of adolescent bariatric surgery. *J Pediatr Surg* 2007;42:1969-1971.
- Belle SH, Berk PD, Courcoulas AP, et al. Reporting weight change: standardized reporting accounting for baseline weight. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2013;9:782-789.
- Epstein N, Baldwin L, Bishop D. The McMaster family assessment device. *J Marital Fam Ther* 1983;9:171-180.
- Miller IW, Epstein NB, Bishop DS, et al. The McMaster family assessment device: reliability and validity. *J Marital Fam Ther* 1985;11:345-356.
- Derogatis LR. *SCL-90-R: Administration, Scoring, and Procedures*. Towson, MD: Clinical Psychometric Research; 1983.
- Bogat GA, Chin R, Sabbath W, Schwartz C. *The Children's Social Support Questionnaire. Technical Report #3*. East Lansing: Michigan State University; 1985.
- Resnick MD, Bearman PS, Blum RW, et al. Protecting adolescents from harm. Findings from the National Longitudinal Study on Adolescent Health. *JAMA* 1997;278:823-832.
- Sieving RE, Beuhring T, Resnick MD, et al. Development of adolescent self-report measures from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. *J Adolesc Health* 2001;28:73-81.
- Slotman GJ. Gastric bypass: a family affair—41 families in which multiple members underwent bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2011;7:592-598.
- Rofey DL, Zeller MH, Brode C, et al. A multisite view of psychosocial risks in patients presenting for bariatric surgery. *Obesity* 2015;23:1218-1225.
- Zeller MH, Noll JG, Sarwer DB, et al. Child maltreatment and the adolescent patient with severe obesity: implications for clinical care. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2015; 40:640-648.
- Courcoulas AP, Christian NJ, O'Rourke RW, et al. Preoperative factors and 3-year weight change in the Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (LABS) consortium. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2015;11:1109-1118.
- Michalsky MP, Inge TH, Teich S, et al. Adolescent bariatric surgery program characteristics: the Teen Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (Teen-LABS) study experience. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2014;23:5-10.
- Hadley W, McCullough MB, Rancourt D, Barker D, Jelalian E. Shaking up the system: the role of change in maternal-adolescent communication quality and adolescent weight loss. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2015;40:121-131.
- Belle SH, Berk PD, Chapman WH, et al. Baseline characteristics of participants in the Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery-2 (LABS-2) study. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2013;9:926-935.
- Afzal AS, Reichard K, Michalsky M. The national incidence of adolescent bariatric surgery. *Surg. Obes Relat Dis* 2015;11:585.
- Georgiades K, Boyle MH, Jenkins JM, Sanford M, Lipman E. A multilevel analysis of whole family functioning using the McMaster Family Assessment Device. *J Fam Psychol* 2008;22:344-354.
- Pratt JSA, Lenders CM, Dionne EA, et al. Best practice updates for pediatric/adolescent weight loss surgery. *Obesity* 2009;17:901-910.
- Inge TH, Boyce TW, Lee M, et al. Access to care for adolescents seeking weight loss surgery. *Obesity* 2014;22:2593-2597.
- Daniels SR, Kelly AS. Pediatric severe obesity: time to establish serious treatments for a serious disease. *Child Obes* 2014;10:283-284.