

Children's Mercy Kansas City

SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers

12-1-2018

Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture.

Paul L. Aronson

Marie E. Wang

Eugene D. Shapiro

Samir S. Shah

Adrienne G. DePorre

Children's Mercy Hospital

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/papers>



Part of the [Bacterial Infections and Mycoses Commons](#), [Congenital, Hereditary, and Neonatal Diseases and Abnormalities Commons](#), [Infectious Disease Commons](#), and the [Pediatrics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Aronson PL, Wang ME, Shapiro ED, et al. Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(6):e20181879. doi:10.1542/peds.2018-1879

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact library@cmh.edu.

Creator(s)

Paul L. Aronson, Marie E. Wang, Eugene D. Shapiro, Samir S. Shah, Adrienne G. DePorre, Russell J McCulloh, Christopher M. Pruitt, Sanyukta Desai, Lise E. Nigrovic, Richard D. Marble, Rianna C. Leazer, Sahar N. Rooholamini, Laura F. Sartori, Fran Balamuth, Christopher Woll, Mark I. Neuman, and Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative

Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture

Paul L. Aronson, MD,^{a,b} Marie E. Wang, MD, MPH,^c Eugene D. Shapiro, MD,^{a,d} Samir S. Shah, MD, MSCE,^{e,f} Adrienne G. DePorre, MD,^g Russell J. McCulloh, MD,^{g,h} Christopher M. Pruitt, MD,ⁱ Sanyukta Desai, MD,^f Lise E. Nigrovic, MD, MPH,^j Richard D. Marble, MD,^k Rianna C. Leazer, MD,^l Sahar N. Rooholamini, MD, MPH,^m Laura F. Sartori, MD,ⁿ Fran Balamuth, MD, PhD, MSCE,^{o,p} Christopher Woll, MD,^{a,b} Mark I. Neuman, MD, MPH,^j for the Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative

abstract

OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria for the risk stratification of febrile infants with invasive bacterial infection (IBI) who do not appear ill without routine cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) testing.

METHODS: We performed a case-control study of febrile infants ≤ 60 days old presenting to 1 of 9 emergency departments from 2011 to 2016. For each infant with IBI (defined as a blood [bacteremia] and/or CSF [bacterial meningitis] culture with growth of a pathogen), controls without IBI were matched by site and date of visit. Infants were excluded if they appeared ill or had a complex chronic condition or if data for any component of the Rochester or modified Philadelphia criteria were missing.

RESULTS: Overall, 135 infants with IBI (118 [87.4%] with bacteremia without meningitis and 17 [12.6%] with bacterial meningitis) and 249 controls were included. The sensitivity of the modified Philadelphia criteria was higher than that of the Rochester criteria (91.9% vs 81.5%; $P = .01$), but the specificity was lower (34.5% vs 59.8%; $P < .001$). Among 67 infants >28 days old with IBI, the sensitivity of both criteria was 83.6%; none of the 11 low-risk infants had bacterial meningitis. Of 68 infants ≤ 28 days old with IBI, 14 (20.6%) were low risk per the Rochester criteria, and 2 had meningitis.

CONCLUSIONS: The modified Philadelphia criteria had high sensitivity for IBI without routine CSF testing, and all infants >28 days old with bacterial meningitis were classified as high risk. Because some infants with bacteremia were classified as low risk, infants discharged from the emergency department without CSF testing require close follow-up.



Departments of ^aPediatrics and ^bEmergency Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, and ^dDepartment of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut; ^cDivision of Pediatric Hospital Medicine, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford and Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California; Divisions of ^eInfectious Diseases and ^fHospital Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center and Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio; Divisions of ^gHospital Medicine and ^hInfectious Diseases, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri; ⁱDivision of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; ^jDivision of Emergency Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital and Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts; ^kDivision of Emergency Medicine, Ann and Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago and Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, Illinois; ^lDivision of Hospital Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of The King's Daughters, Norfolk, Virginia; ^mDivision of Hospital Medicine, Seattle Children's Hospital and Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington; ⁿDivision of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Monroe Carell Jr Children's Hospital at Vanderbilt and Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville,

WHAT'S KNOWN ON THIS SUBJECT: The Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria do not include routine cerebrospinal fluid testing. Although these criteria have high sensitivity in the risk stratification of febrile infants with serious bacterial infections, most studies had few infants with bacteremia and/or bacterial meningitis.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS: The modified Philadelphia criteria were highly sensitive in the risk stratification of non-ill-appearing febrile infants with bacteremia, and no infants with bacterial meningitis were classified as low risk. Two infants ≤ 28 days old with meningitis were classified as low risk by the Rochester criteria.

To cite: Aronson PL, Wang ME, Shapiro ED, et al. Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture. *Pediatrics*. 2018;142(6):e20181879

Approximately 10% of febrile infants ≤ 60 days of age evaluated in the emergency department (ED) have a serious bacterial infection.¹ To identify infants who may be discharged from the ED after initial evaluation, the Rochester and Philadelphia criteria²⁻⁴ are widely used to stratify febrile infants on the basis of their risk of serious bacterial infection.⁵ Although these criteria have a reported sensitivity of $>90\%$ in the risk stratification of febrile infants with serious bacterial infections, these studies included mostly infants with urinary tract infections (UTIs), with relatively few infants with bacteremia and/or bacterial meningitis⁴ (ie, invasive bacterial infection [IBI]). Therefore, data are limited regarding the precision of the Rochester and Philadelphia criteria in the risk stratification of infants with IBI.⁴ Additionally, with a changing epidemiology of IBI in febrile infants since the development of these criteria >2 decades ago,^{6,7} the performance of these criteria needs to be reevaluated periodically.^{4,8}

Given the rarity of bacterial meningitis in febrile infants >28 days of age who appear well and the unclear benefit of routine cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) testing,⁹⁻¹¹ some providers do not automatically perform CSF testing in this age group, although practice varies substantially across hospitals.^{12,13} The Rochester criteria and the modified Philadelphia criteria do not require routine CSF testing to classify febrile infants as being at a low or high risk for serious bacterial infection.^{2,9} Data regarding the performance characteristics of the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria in a large sample of febrile infants ≤ 60 days of age with IBI would inform the need for routine CSF testing in this population. Our objective was to evaluate the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria for the risk stratification of infants with IBI using

a contemporary sample of febrile infants ≤ 60 days of age evaluated in the ED.

METHODS

Study Design

We analyzed data collected for a case-control study of febrile infants ≤ 60 days of age evaluated in the ED at 1 of 11 children's hospitals between July 1, 2011, and June 30, 2016. The current study was limited to the 9 hospitals containing hematology laboratories that reported band counts because these were included in both the Rochester criteria and the modified Philadelphia criteria. The study was approved by each site's institutional review board with permission for data sharing.

Cases

Infants ≤ 60 days of age with IBI were identified through query of each hospital's microbiology laboratory database or electronic medical record system for blood and/or CSF cultures positive for a pathogen (defined a priori).⁷ Infants were excluded if the culture with positive results was documented in the medical record to have been treated as a contaminant.^{7,14,15} Bacteremia was defined as growth of a pathogen in a blood culture. Bacterial meningitis was defined as growth of a pathogen in a CSF culture (with or without bacteremia) or growth of a pathogen in a blood culture with concomitant CSF pleocytosis if antimicrobial agents were administered before CSF collection. CSF pleocytosis was defined as a CSF white blood cell (WBC) count of ≥ 20 cells per mm^3 for infants ≤ 28 days of age and ≥ 10 cells per mm^3 for infants 29 to 60 days of age.¹⁶

Infants with IBI were included as case patients if they presented either from home or from an outpatient clinic to the participating hospital's ED (ie, were not transferred from another hospital) and if the

following criteria were met: (1) presence of fever (defined as a rectal temperature of $\geq 38.0^\circ\text{C}$ at home, in an outpatient clinic, or in the ED⁴), (2) no ill appearance as documented on the ED physical examination,¹⁷ and (3) absence of a complex chronic condition.^{18,19} For all infants with IBI, medical records in the 30 days after were reviewed to assess for a diagnosis of bacterial meningitis.

Controls

Each case patient was matched by hospital and date of visit to 2 febrile infant controls. Potentially eligible controls were identified through a query of the Pediatric Health Information System database for infants ≤ 60 days of age with an ED visit to a participating hospital during the 5-year study period and who had urine and blood cultures obtained. A query of the electronic medical record system was performed at 1 site that did not contribute ED data to the Pediatric Health Information System. For each case patient at a participating site, infants with the closest date of visit to the case patient were selected as potential controls; if >2 infants were eligible on the basis of visit proximity, a random number generator was used to select which controls to include.

Medical records were reviewed for each potential control to determine the presence of fever and to confirm eligibility. Controls were eligible if (1) they met the same inclusion criteria as case patients, (2) their blood and/or CSF culture did not have growth of a pathogen, and (3) they had not received antibiotics within 7 days before the ED visit. For all controls, medical records for the 30 days after the ED visit were reviewed to ensure that the infant was not diagnosed with an IBI. If a potentially eligible control was determined to be ineligible after a medical record review, an infant with the next closest date of visit was selected, with the process repeated until an eligible

TABLE 1 Low-Risk Components for the Rochester and Modified Philadelphia Criteria

Components	Rochester	Modified Philadelphia
Demographics	N/A ^a	Age >28 d
Past medical history	Previously healthy ^b	Previously healthy ^b
Physical examination	No skin or soft tissue infection	No skin or soft tissue infection
Laboratory	Normal urinalysis ^c ; peripheral WBC count of ≥ 5000 and $\leq 15\,000$; absolute band count of ≤ 1500 bands per μL	Normal urinalysis ^c ; peripheral WBC count of ≥ 5000 and $\leq 15\,000$; I/T ratio of $< 0.2^d$

N/A, not applicable.

^a Rochester criteria include infants ≤ 60 d of age without an age cutoff to define low risk.

^b Gestational age ≥ 37 wk; no previous ED visit, hospitalization, or evaluation for fever; no previous IBI or treatment with antibiotics; no other significant past medical history.

^c Urine dipstick with no or trace leukocyte esterase, negative nitrites, and urine microscopy, with ≤ 5 WBCs per HPF or ≤ 5 WBCs per mm^3 on an enhanced urinalysis.

^d Bands-to-total neutrophil ratio.

control was identified. Febrile infants with UTIs^{7,20,21} but without IBI were eligible for inclusion as controls.

Data Collection

For each case patient and control, we extracted the following data: demographics (age and sex); past medical history (including gestational age); clinical appearance and presence of a localized infection; complete blood count, urinalysis, and CSF cell count; and bacterial culture results (urine, blood, and CSF). Study investigators at each site entered data into a secure Research Electronic Data Capture tool hosted at Yale University.²²

Rochester and Modified Philadelphia Criteria

Table 1 lists the components of the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria that classified an infant as low risk.^{9,23} For both criteria, the definition of a normal urinalysis was based on currently used urine dipstick and microscopy parameters.²⁰ Band counts require performance of a manual differential on a complete blood count. Because performance of a manual differential is usually reflexively triggered by specific parameters set on a hospital's automated hematology analyzer,²⁴ the band count was recorded as 0 if only an automated differential was performed, and no bands were reported. The immature-to-total (I/T) neutrophil ratio was defined as the percentage of bands divided by the percentage of total neutrophils on a complete

blood count. Infants were excluded from the primary analyses if data were missing for any component of the Rochester or modified Philadelphia criteria (eg, gestational age, urinalysis, or peripheral WBC count).²³

Statistical Analyses

Categorical variables were described by using frequencies and percentages, and distributions were compared by using a χ^2 test. For the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria, sensitivity and specificity were calculated and reported with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Because both the Rochester and Philadelphia criteria have historically used ≤ 10 WBCs per high-power field (HPF) or ≤ 10 WBCs per mm^3 on an enhanced urinalysis^{2,9,23} to define a normal urinalysis, analyses were repeated by using this definition. Additionally, because the modified Philadelphia criteria were developed for infants ≤ 56 days of age,⁹ analyses were repeated after limiting the sample to infants in this age range. Because of concerns regarding the introduction of bias on the basis of the exclusion of infants with IBI, we also calculated the sensitivity of the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria after the inclusion of infants with missing data.

Statistical analyses were performed by using Stata data analysis and statistical software version 15.0 (Stata Corp, College Station, TX). A 2-tailed *P* value $< .05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study Sample

During the 5-year study period, 331 infants with IBI were evaluated in the EDs of the 9 participating hospitals. Eighty-six infants (26.0%) were excluded because of ill appearance, including 26 febrile infants who had bacterial meningitis. Of the infants with IBI who did not appear ill, 145 met inclusion criteria as case patients and were matched to 290 controls. Because of missing data on clinical or laboratory components of the Rochester and/or modified Philadelphia criteria, 10 case patients and 41 controls were excluded, resulting in a final study sample of 135 case patients and 249 controls (Fig 1). Among the 135 infants with IBI, 118 (87.4%) had bacteremia without meningitis, and 17 (12.6%) had bacterial meningitis (with or without bacteremia). Of the 17 infants with bacterial meningitis, 7 (41.2%) were > 28 days of age.

Sensitivity and Specificity of the Rochester and Modified Philadelphia Criteria

A higher proportion of febrile infants with IBI were ≤ 28 days of age and had abnormal laboratory parameters compared with matched controls (Table 2). Sixty-one infants with IBI (45.2%) had a UTI compared with 15 controls (6.0%). Overall, 25 infants with IBI (18.5%; 95% CI: 12.4%–26.1%) were classified as low risk per the Rochester criteria compared with 11 infants (8.1%; 4.1%–14.1%) who were classified as low risk




FIGURE 1 Study population. ^a One infant was missing a urinalysis and complete blood count.

per the modified Philadelphia criteria (difference: 10.4%; 95% CI: 2.2%–18.6%).

The sensitivity of the Rochester criteria was lower than the sensitivity of the modified Philadelphia criteria (81.5% vs 91.9%; $P = .01$), but the specificity was higher (59.8% vs 34.5%; $P < .001$). The criteria performed similarly when restricted to infants 29 to 60 days of age (Table 3). Additionally, performance of the modified Philadelphia criteria was similar when limited to infants ≤ 56 days of age. By using the historical definition of ≤ 10 WBCs per HPF or ≤ 10 WBCs per mm^3 to define a normal urinalysis, the sensitivities

of both the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria were lower (74.8% and 88.2%, respectively), with a marginal increase in specificity (63.5% and 36.1%).

With the inclusion of the 10 infants with IBI who had missing data, an additional 4 infants were classified as low risk by the Rochester criteria, including 1 infant who was also classified as low risk per the modified Philadelphia criteria. When including these infants in the analysis, the sensitivity of the Rochester criteria was slightly lower overall (80.0%) and among infants 29 to 60 days of age (81.7%), whereas the sensitivity of the modified Philadelphia criteria

was not materially different (91.7% overall and 83.1% among infants 29–60 days of age).

Febrile Infants With IBI Classified as Low Risk

Of the 11 infants with IBI classified as low risk per the modified Philadelphia criteria, none were diagnosed with bacterial meningitis (Table 4). Two of these infants had mild CSF pleocytosis after traumatic lumbar punctures, and neither received an antimicrobial treatment course for bacterial meningitis. The additional 14 infants with IBI who were classified as low risk per the Rochester criteria were all ≤ 28 days of age, including 2 infants with bacterial meningitis (Table 4). Both of these infants (≤ 28 days of age with bacterial meningitis) would have been classified as high risk per the modified Philadelphia criteria because of an I/T ratio of ≥ 0.2 .

Of the 4 infants with IBI who had missing data and were classified as low risk per the Rochester criteria, 2 infants (ages 13 and 40 days) had group B streptococcal meningitis; both infants had a missing urinalysis. The 40-day-old infant had a peripheral WBC count of 15 000 cells per μL and an absolute band count of 1200 bands per μL , with an I/T ratio of 0.2. The 1 infant classified as low risk per the modified Philadelphia criteria was a 55-day-old infant with group B streptococcal bacteremia and mild CSF pleocytosis after a traumatic lumbar puncture; the infant was not treated for bacterial meningitis.

DISCUSSION

In this validation study that included a large multicenter sample of febrile infants with IBI who did not appear ill, the modified Philadelphia criteria were highly sensitive in the risk stratification of infants with IBI. Importantly, no infants with bacterial meningitis were classified as low

TABLE 2 Characteristics of Case Patients and Controls

Characteristic	Case Patients (N = 135), n (%)	Controls (N = 249), n (%)	P
Demographics			
Age group, d			.01
≤28	68 (50.4)	92 (37.0)	
29–60	67 (49.6)	157 (63.1)	
Female sex	61 (45.2)	102 (41.0)	.42
Parameters of Rochester criteria			
Low risk	25 (18.5)	149 (59.8)	<.001
Previously healthy ^a	107 (79.3)	206 (82.7)	.40
Normal urinalysis ^b	61 (45.2)	219 (88.0)	<.001
Peripheral WBC ≥5000 and ≤15 000	86 (63.7)	200 (80.3)	<.001
Normal absolute band count ^c	110 (81.5)	240 (96.4)	<.001
Parameters of modified Philadelphia criteria			
Low risk	11 (8.1)	86 (34.5)	<.001
Previously healthy ^a	107 (79.3)	206 (82.7)	.40
Normal urinalysis ^b	61 (45.2)	219 (88.0)	<.001
Peripheral WBC ≥5000 and ≤15 000	86 (63.7)	200 (80.3)	<.001
Normal I/T ratio ^d	103 (76.3)	234 (94.0)	<.001

^a Gestational age ≥37 wk; no previous ED visit, hospitalization, or evaluation for fever; no previous IBI or treatment with antibiotics; no other significant past medical history.

^b Urine dipstick with no or trace leukocyte esterase, negative nitrites, and urine microscopy, with ≤5 WBCs per HPF or ≤5 WBCs per mm³ on an enhanced urinalysis.

^c Absolute band count ≤1500.

^d Bands-to-total neutrophil ratio <0.2.

TABLE 3 Performance Characteristics of the Rochester and Modified Philadelphia Criteria for the Identification of IBI in Febrile Infants

	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
Infants ≤60 d of age		
Rochester criteria	81.5 (73.9–87.6)	59.8 (53.5–66.0)
Modified Philadelphia criteria	91.9 (85.9–95.9)	34.5 (28.6–40.8)
Infants ≤28 d of age		
Rochester criteria	79.4 (67.9–88.3)	64.1 (53.5–73.9)
Modified Philadelphia criteria ^a	100 (94.7–100)	0 (0–3.9)
Infants 29–60 d of age		
Rochester criteria	83.6 (72.5–91.5)	57.3 (49.2–65.2)
Modified Philadelphia criteria	83.6 (72.5–91.5)	54.8 (46.6–62.7)

^a All infants ≤28 d of age were considered high risk per the modified Philadelphia criteria.

risk. Although the Rochester criteria had a similar sensitivity for IBI in febrile infants >28 days of age, 2 infants ≤28 days of age with bacterial meningitis were classified as low risk. Our findings support the use of the modified Philadelphia criteria without routine CSF testing for febrile infants in the second month of life.

Although only 0.2% of febrile infants 29 to 60 days of age who present to the ED have bacterial meningitis,⁶ many pediatric emergency medicine clinicians routinely perform CSF testing in this age group because of the high rate of neurologic sequelae and mortality associated with this condition.^{25,26} However, performance of routine CSF testing in this age group is associated with higher

costs, increased hospitalization rates for otherwise low-risk infants, and significant stress for parents, all without a reduction in adverse outcomes compared with selective CSF testing.^{10,13,27,28} Therefore, some have questioned the need for routine CSF testing in this age group. However, previous investigations in which the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria were evaluated included few infants with bacterial meningitis who did not appear

ill.^{4,8,9,23} Our results inform this important issue by revealing that the modified Philadelphia criteria had high sensitivity for IBI and classified all infants with bacterial meningitis as high risk without routine CSF testing. Although 11 infants 29 to 60 days

of age were classified as low risk by the modified Philadelphia criteria, all the infants had bacteremia without meningitis, and the 2 infants with CSF pleocytosis had traumatic lumbar punctures. Additionally, we excluded 26 febrile infants with bacterial meningitis who appeared ill, all of whom would have been classified as high risk by the modified Philadelphia criteria because of their ill appearance.⁹

The overall prevalence of IBI has been reported to be 2% in febrile infants.⁶ Therefore, among the thousands of febrile infants evaluated in the ED, few infants with IBI will be missed with use of these criteria. For instance, of 300 febrile infants >28 days of age who do not appear ill, 6 (2%) will have an IBI, and 1 of

TABLE 4 Febrile Infants With IBI Classified as Low Risk per the Rochester and Modified Philadelphia Criteria

Age, d	Urinalysis WBC	Peripheral WBC	I/T Ratio ^a	Absolute Band Count	CSF WBC Count	CSF RBC Count	Urine Culture	Blood Culture	CSF Culture
Infants ≤28 d of age ^b									
3	0-5	8.6	0	0	35	3180	No growth	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	No growth
4	0-5	9.5	0.10	760	10	7150	No growth	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	No growth
10	0-5	10.6	0.02	212	16	13	No growth	GBS	No growth
11	0-5	13.0	0.15	1404	198	273 000	No growth	Group A <i>Streptococcus</i>	No growth
13	Not performed ^c	7.6	0	0	31	29 590	No growth	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	No growth
17	0-5	11.2	0	0	Not obtained	Not obtained	No growth	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	No growth
18	Not performed ^c	9.6	0	0	9	4010	No growth	Group A <i>Streptococcus</i>	No growth
18	0-5	6.9	0.25	1035	1385	16	No growth	<i>Paenibacillus</i>	<i>Paenibacillus</i>
19	0-5	10.3	0	0	5	88	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	<i>K oxytoca</i>	No growth
19	0-5	9.2	0.12	644	3	4	No growth	GBS	No growth
22	0-5	6.7	0.20	1146	1024	46 305	Contaminant	<i>Streptococcus gallolyticus</i>	<i>S gallolyticus</i>
24	0-5	11.8	0	0	6	738	No growth	GBS	No growth
24	0-5	9.1	0	0	120	2585	No growth	GBS	No growth
28	Not performed ^c	11.4	0	0	0	81 500	No growth	<i>Enterobacter</i>	No growth
Infants 29-60 d of age ^d									
29	0-5	7.2	0.02	72	Not obtained	Not obtained	No growth	GBS	No growth
29	0-5	9.6	0	0	20	28 000	No growth	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	No growth
38	0-5	14.7	0	0	2	0	No growth	GBS	No growth
40	Not performed ^c	11.4	0	0	0	6750	No growth	<i>E faecalis</i>	No growth
41	0-5	6.5	0	0	4	0	No growth	GBS	No growth
47	0-5	9.4	0	0	1	1	No growth	GBS	No growth
50	0-5	10.4	0.10	830	1	13	No growth	GBS	No growth
50	0-5	9.6	0	0	4	1	No growth	GBS	No growth
53	0-5	6.7	0	0	4	36	Contaminant	<i>Salmonella</i> spp	No growth
55	0-5	11.1	0.15	1221	80	55 590	No growth	GBS	No growth
60	0-5	8.1	0	0	1	0	No growth	<i>E coli</i>	No growth

GBS, group B *Streptococcus*; RBC, red blood cell.

^a Bands-to-total neutrophil ratio.

^b Low risk per the Rochester criteria only.

^c Urine dipstick with negative leukocyte esterase and negative nitrites.

^d Low risk per the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria.

these 6 infants will be classified as low risk by the modified Philadelphia criteria. Therefore, only 1 of 300 febrile infants (0.3%) who do not appear ill will have an IBI, specifically with bacteremia, that will be missed with use of these criteria. Although the Rochester criteria had a similar sensitivity for IBI among infants 29 to 60 days of age, when including infants with missing data, 1 infant with bacterial meningitis in this age group was classified as low risk; our results favor the use of the modified Philadelphia criteria. However, ultimately, clinicians must balance the rarity of bacterial meningitis in febrile infants >28 days of age who do not appear ill and the risks of a lumbar puncture^{27,28} with the potential for serious neurologic sequelae or death if treatment is delayed.^{25,26} For infants treated with empirical antimicrobial therapy without performance of CSF testing, there is potential for prolonged antimicrobial therapy if the blood culture grows a pathogen and CSF pleocytosis is present on a subsequent lumbar puncture. Additionally, although infection with herpes simplex virus is rare among febrile infants >28 days age,^{29,30} CSF testing should be obtained in this age group if herpes simplex virus is suspected (eg, presence of vesicles or seizures).³¹

The prevalence of IBI is highest among febrile infants ≤ 28 days of age, and 1% of these infants will have bacterial meningitis.⁶ Although most infants in the first month of life who are classified as low risk without CSF testing will not have an IBI, 2 infants with meningitis in our study would have been missed by the Rochester criteria. Although a recently validated procalcitonin-based, low-risk algorithm that also does not include routine CSF testing (the step-by-step approach) uses an age cutoff of 21 days instead of 28 days,³² in our study, 3 infants with IBI, including 1 with bacterial meningitis, were between

22 and 28 days of age and were classified as low risk per the Rochester criteria. Therefore, caution should be exercised in applying these criteria to febrile infants ≤ 28 days of age.

The sensitivity and specificity of the modified Philadelphia criteria were similar to those of the step-by-step approach.³² Because procalcitonin is not currently available at some US hospitals, the modified Philadelphia criteria can be more widely implemented for the risk stratification of febrile infants. However, these criteria should be prospectively tested against the step-by-step approach in a large cohort of febrile infants. Additionally, although favorable outcomes have been reported for infants managed as outpatients with the step-by-step approach,³³ future investigation is needed to evaluate outcomes with use of the modified Philadelphia criteria.

Our study has several limitations. First, data were collected through a medical record review, and clinical variables, such as clinical appearance, may not be accurately documented. However, we used a previously utilized definition of ill appearance, and we only included infants who were not ill appearing.¹⁷ Second, although our search strategy for identifying case patients made it unlikely that we missed infants with IBI, we were unable to calculate the positive and negative predictive values of the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria because we did not include all febrile infants at study sites. Third, only 17 infants in our sample had bacterial meningitis, reflecting the low prevalence of this condition among infants who do not appear ill. However, our study included the largest sample to date of febrile infants ≤ 60 days of age with IBI who did not appear ill. Additionally, although we excluded 26 infants with bacterial meningitis who appeared ill, all of these infants would have been classified as high

risk by both the Rochester and modified Philadelphia criteria.^{9,23} Fourth, although we reviewed subsequent visits for controls, we cannot exclude the possibility that a control was diagnosed with IBI at a nonparticipating hospital. Fifth, although we excluded infants with IBI who had missing documentation of any component of the Rochester or modified Philadelphia criteria, we included these infants in a sensitivity analysis. Sixth, the band count was recorded as 0 if only an automated differential was performed on the complete blood count, and no bands were reported. Manual differentials are triggered reflexively by a hospital's automated hematology analyzer or on request from a clinician. It is therefore possible that some low-risk infants with IBI and 0 bands would have been classified as high risk if a manual differential had been performed, which may have resulted in an underestimation of the sensitivity of the criteria. Lastly, we only included infants who presented to EDs at participating children's hospitals, and our results may not be generalizable to other settings, particularly community-based EDs.

CONCLUSIONS

The modified Philadelphia criteria, which does not include routine CSF testing, classifies most febrile infants with IBI as high risk. Because a few infants >28 days of age with bacteremia were classified as low risk, febrile infants discharged from the ED without CSF testing should have close outpatient follow-up. Caution should be exercised in applying low risk criteria to infants ≤ 28 days of age. A prospective study is needed to confirm the safety of routinely omitting CSF testing in low-risk febrile infants >28 days of age.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the following collaborators in the Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative for their contributions

as group authors for this study: Elizabeth R. Alpern, MD, MSCE, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine (Chicago, IL); Whitney L. Browning, MD, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine (Nashville, TN); Elana A. Feldman, MD, Lucile Packard Children's Hospital Stanford (Palo Alto, CA); Katie L. Hayes, BS, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Philadelphia, PA); Catherine E.

Lumb, BS, University of Alabama School of Medicine (Birmingham, AL); Christine E. Mitchell, BSN, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Philadelphia, PA); Nipam Shah, MBBS, MPH, University of Alabama at Birmingham (Birmingham, AL); Sarah J. Shin, BSN, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (Philadelphia, PA); and Derek J. Williams, MD, MPH, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine (Nashville, TN).

ABBREVIATIONS

CI: confidence interval
CSF: cerebrospinal fluid
ED: emergency department
HPF: high-power field
IBI: invasive bacterial infection
I/T: immature-to-total
UTI: urinary tract infection
WBC: white blood cell

Tennessee; and ^aDivision of Emergency Medicine, and ^bCenter for Pediatric Clinical Effectiveness, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia and Department of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dr Aronson conceptualized and designed the study, supervised data collection locally and nationally, performed the data analyses, interpreted the data, drafted the initial manuscript, and reviewed and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; Dr Wang contributed to the design of the study, collected local data, interpreted the data, and reviewed and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; Drs Shapiro and Shah contributed to the design of the study, interpreted the data, and reviewed and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; Drs DePorre, McCulloh, Pruitt, Desai, Nigrovic, Marble, Leazer, Rooholamini, Sartori, Balamuth, and Woll collected local data, interpreted the data, and reviewed and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; Dr Neuman contributed to the conceptualization and design of the study, collected local data, interpreted the data, and reviewed and revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content; and all authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Dr McCulloh's current affiliation is Children's Hospital and Medical Center, Omaha, NE.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2018-1879>

Accepted for publication Sep 12, 2018

Address correspondence to Paul L. Aronson, MD, Section of Pediatric Emergency Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, 100 York St, Suite 1F, New Haven, CT 06511. E-mail: paul.aronson@yale.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: Supported, in part, by Clinical and Translational Science Awards grants KL2 TR001862 (to Drs Aronson and Shapiro) and UL1TR001863 (to Dr Shapiro) from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science, a component of the National Institutes of Health. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health. Funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH).

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: Dr Shapiro has served as an expert witness in malpractice cases involving the evaluation of febrile children; the other authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2018-2861.

REFERENCES

- Huppler AR, Eickhoff JC, Wald ER. Performance of low-risk criteria in the evaluation of young infants with fever: review of the literature. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(2):228–233
- Dagan R, Powell KR, Hall CB, Menegus MA. Identification of infants unlikely to have serious bacterial infection although hospitalized for suspected sepsis. *J Pediatr*. 1985;107(6):855–860
- Baker MD, Bell LM, Avner JR. Outpatient management without antibiotics of fever in selected infants. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;329(20):1437–1441
- Hui C, Neto G, Tsertsvadze A, et al. Diagnosis and management of febrile infants (0-3 months). *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)*. 2012;(205):1–297
- Meehan WP III, Fleegler E, Bachur RG. Adherence to guidelines for managing the well-appearing febrile infant: assessment using a case-based, interactive survey. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2010;26(12):875–880
- Powell EC, Mahajan PV, Roosevelt G, et al; Febrile Infant Working Group of the Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PEGARN). Epidemiology of bacteremia in febrile infants aged 60 days and younger. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2018;71(2):211–216
- Woll C, Neuman MI, Pruitt CM, et al; Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative. Epidemiology and etiology of invasive bacterial infection in infants ≤60 days old treated in emergency departments. *J Pediatr*. 2018;200:210–217.e1
- Garra G, Cunningham SJ, Crain EF. Reappraisal of criteria used to predict serious bacterial illness in febrile

- infants less than 8 weeks of age. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2005;12(10):921–925
9. Scarfone R, Murray A, Gala P, Balamuth F. Lumbar puncture for all febrile infants 29–56 days old: a retrospective cohort reassessment study. *J Pediatr*. 2017;187:200–205.e1
 10. Chua KP, Neuman MI, McWilliams JM, Aronson PL; Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative. Association between clinical outcomes and hospital guidelines for cerebrospinal fluid testing in febrile infants aged 29–56 days. *J Pediatr*. 2015;167(6):1340–1346.e9
 11. Aronson PL, McCulloh RJ, Tieder JS, et al; Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative. Application of the Rochester criteria to identify febrile infants with bacteremia and meningitis [published online ahead of print February 5, 2018]. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. doi:10.1097/PEC.0000000000001421
 12. Aronson PL, Thurm C, Alpern ER, et al; Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative. Variation in care of the febrile young infant <90 days in US pediatric emergency departments [published correction appears in *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(4):775]. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(4):667–677
 13. Aronson PL, Thurm C, Williams DJ, et al; Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative. Association of clinical practice guidelines with emergency department management of febrile infants ≤56 days of age. *J Hosp Med*. 2015;10(6):358–365
 14. Biondi E, Evans R, Mischler M, et al. Epidemiology of bacteremia in febrile infants in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(6):990–996
 15. Leazer R, Erickson N, Paulson J, et al. Epidemiology of cerebrospinal fluid cultures and time to detection in term infants. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(5):e20163268
 16. Kestenbaum LA, Ebberson J, Zorc JJ, Hodinka RL, Shah SS. Defining cerebrospinal fluid white blood cell count reference values in neonates and young infants. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(2):257–264
 17. Baskin MN, Goh XL, Heeney MM, Harper MB. Bacteremia risk and outpatient management of febrile patients with sickle cell disease. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(6):1035–1041
 18. Feudtner C, Hays RM, Haynes G, Geyer JR, Neff JM, Koepsell TD. Deaths attributed to pediatric complex chronic conditions: national trends and implications for supportive care services. *Pediatrics*. 2001;107(6). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/107/6/e99
 19. Feudtner C, Feinstein JA, Zhong W, Hall M, Dai D. Pediatric complex chronic conditions classification system version 2: updated for ICD-10 and complex medical technology dependence and transplantation. *BMC Pediatr*. 2014;14:199
 20. Tzimenatos L, Mahajan P, Dayan PS, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). Accuracy of the urinalysis for urinary tract infections in febrile infants 60 days and younger. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(2):e20173068
 21. Schroeder AR, Newman TB, Wasserman RC, Finch SA, Pantell RH. Choice of urine collection methods for the diagnosis of urinary tract infection in young, febrile infants. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2005;159(10):915–922
 22. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377–381
 23. Jaskiewicz JA, McCarthy CA, Richardson AC, et al; Febrile Infant Collaborative Study Group. Febrile infants at low risk for serious bacterial infection—an appraisal of the Rochester criteria and implications for management. *Pediatrics*. 1994;94(3):390–396
 24. Guarner J, Atuan MA, Nix B, et al. Process to evaluate hematological parameters that reflex to manual differential cell counts in a pediatric institution. *Clin Lab*. 2010;56(1–2):21–27
 25. Lebel MH, McCracken GH Jr. Delayed cerebrospinal fluid sterilization and adverse outcome of bacterial meningitis in infants and children. *Pediatrics*. 1989;83(2):161–167
 26. Okike IO, Johnson AP, Henderson KL, et al; neoMen Study Group. Incidence, etiology, and outcome of bacterial meningitis in infants aged <90 days in the United Kingdom and Republic of Ireland: prospective, enhanced, national population-based surveillance. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;59(10):e150–e157
 27. Pingree EW, Kimia AA, Nigrovic LE. The effect of traumatic lumbar puncture on hospitalization rate for febrile infants 28 to 60 days of age. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2015;22(2):240–243
 28. Paxton RD, Byington CL. An examination of the unintended consequences of the rule-out sepsis evaluation: a parental perspective. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2001;40(2):71–77
 29. Long SS, Pool TE, Vodzak J, Daskalaki I, Gould JM. Herpes simplex virus infection in young infants during 2 decades of empiric acyclovir therapy. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011;30(7):556–561
 30. Cruz AT, Freedman SB, Kulik DM, et al; HSV Study Group of the Pediatric Emergency Medicine Collaborative Research Committee. Herpes simplex virus infection in infants undergoing meningitis evaluation. *Pediatrics*. 2018;141(2):e20171688
 31. Kimberlin DW, Lin CY, Jacobs RF, et al; National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Collaborative Antiviral Study Group. Natural history of neonatal herpes simplex virus infections in the acyclovir era. *Pediatrics*. 2001;108(2):223–229
 32. Gomez B, Mintegi S, Bressan S, Da Dalt L, Gervais A, Lacroix L; European Group for Validation of the Step-by-Step Approach. Validation of the “Step-by-Step” approach in the management of young febrile infants. *Pediatrics*. 2016;138(2):e20154381
 33. Mintegi S, Gomez B, Martinez-Virumbrales L, Morientes O, Benito J. Outpatient management of selected young febrile infants without antibiotics. *Arch Dis Child*. 2017;102(3):244–249

Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture

Paul L. Aronson, Marie E. Wang, Eugene D. Shapiro, Samir S. Shah, Adrienne G. DePorre, Russell J. McCulloh, Christopher M. Pruitt, Sanyukta Desai, Lise E. Nigrovic, Richard D. Marble, Rianna C. Leazer, Sahar N. Rooholamini, Laura F. Sartori, Fran Balamuth, Christopher Woll, Mark I. Neuman and for the Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1879 originally published online November 13, 2018;

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/6/e20181879
References	This article cites 33 articles, 14 of which you can access for free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/6/e20181879#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Emergency Medicine http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/emergency_medicine_sub Hospital Medicine http://www.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/hospital_medicine_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS[®]

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Risk Stratification of Febrile Infants ≤ 60 Days Old Without Routine Lumbar Puncture

Paul L. Aronson, Marie E. Wang, Eugene D. Shapiro, Samir S. Shah, Adrienne G. DePorre, Russell J. McCulloh, Christopher M. Pruitt, Sanyukta Desai, Lise E. Nigrovic, Richard D. Marble, Rianna C. Leazer, Sahar N. Rooholamini, Laura F. Sartori, Fran Balamuth, Christopher Woll, Mark I. Neuman and for the Febrile Young Infant Research Collaborative

Pediatrics 2018;142;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2018-1879 originally published online November 13, 2018;

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/142/6/e20181879>

Pediatrics is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. Pediatrics is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2018 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 1073-0397.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

