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Abstract

Sepsis is a common cause of death, but outcomes in individual patients are difficult to predict. Elucidating the molecular processes that differ between sepsis patients who survive and those who die may permit more appropriate treatments to be deployed. We examined the clinical features, and the plasma metabolome and proteome of patients with and without community-acquired sepsis, upon their arrival at hospital emergency departments and 24 hours later. The metabolomes and proteomes of patients at hospital admittance who would die differed markedly from those who would survive. The different profiles of proteins and metabolites clustered into fatty acid transport and β-oxidation, gluconeogenesis and the citric acid cycle. They differed consistently among several sets of patients, and diverged more as death approached. In contrast, the metabolomes and proteomes of surviving patients with mild sepsis did not differ from survivors with severe sepsis or septic shock. An algorithm derived from clinical features together with measurements of seven metabolites predicted patient survival. This algorithm may help to guide the treatment of individual patients with sepsis.

Introduction

Sepsis is defined as infection resulting in systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS, a combination of non-specific clinical features of inflammation). Sepsis is the tenth leading cause of death in the United States (1, 2). Sepsis mortality has decreased over the past decade as a result of improved treatment protocols, such as potent anti-microbial drugs and early goal directed therapy (EGDT) (3–6). Choice of treatment is based upon the traditional concept of stepwise sepsis progression and corresponding clinical assessments, such as organ hypoperfusion (1, 7). Therapies that are optimized for individual patients and that target specific sepsis mechanisms have been hard to implement due to non-specific clinical presentations, delayed diagnosis, cryptic severity, and a heterogeneous clinical course (8, 9). Patients may arrive at an emergency department with mild clinical manifestations yet rapidly progress to critical illness. Others have benign courses, despite a similar onset of symptoms, suggesting that host factors play an important role in sepsis development and outcome. Given that infections account for over 10 million emergency department visits per year, and sepsis treatment costs $16.7 billion in the United States (1), there exists an urgent need for more timely sepsis diagnosis, characterization, and prognosis, to inform personalized sepsis treatment of the appropriate intensity. Such information could include a choice of oral or intravenous antibiotics and whether to admit the patient to hospital or start
EGDT (3–10). In addition to better sepsis outcomes, these decisions may decrease unnecessary patient stress and improve the efficiency of resource utilization.

Decades of clinical and molecular studies have identified numerous microbial and host perturbations associated with sepsis outcome. Age and co-morbidity, as codified in the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II) score, for example, are determinants of sepsis outcome (11). Others include the severity of clinical signs at presentation, and after initial therapy. Such clinical signs include the number of SIRS criteria met, lactic acid concentrations in the blood, and early development of shock (failure to maintain blood pressure despite adequate hydration) (12–15). Clinical indices, such as APACHE II and the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA), combine multiple clinical measurements in an attempt to aggregate the evidence of the heterogeneous organ dysfunctions that can precede poor outcomes (11, 16). A wide variety of host response biomarkers or biomarker panels have also been examined for utility in sepsis diagnosis and prognostic determination but to date, have lacked the sensitivity and specificity to discriminate individual patient prognoses and outcomes (17–22). This is believed to be due, in part, to the underlying heterogeneity of sepsis. In particular, mortality has been difficult to predict as there are many processes that are associated with death from sepsis, such as uncontrolled inflammation, oxidative stress, immune dysfunction, hemodynamic dysfunction, coagulopathy, metabolic dysfunction and genetic predisposition (23).

Comprehensive, integrated analysis of molecular measurements (24) may allow unbiased identification and prioritization of sepsis outcome signals that may be obscured by false discovery cutoffs or over-interpreted by targeted hypothesis testing. In contrast, analyses of multiple clinico-pathologic data sets should reveal multi-dimensional perturbations of causal networks and pathways. Here, we report the results of a prospective, integrated analysis of outcomes in community-acquired sepsis.

**Results**

**Study Design and Clinical Synopsis**

1,152 individuals with suspected, community-acquired sepsis (acute infection and ≥2 SIRS criteria) (15) were enrolled prospectively in the emergency departments at three urban, tertiary-care hospitals in the United States between 2005 and 2009 [Community Acquired Pneumonia and Sepsis Outcome Diagnostics (CAPSOD) study, ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00258869] (12, 17, 25). Patients with SIRS criteria but obvious non-infectious diseases were not enrolled (12). Medical history, physical examination, and acute illness scores (APACHE II and SOFA) (11, 16) were recorded at enrollment (t\(_0\)) and 24 hours later (t\(_{24}\)), and corresponding blood samples were obtained (Fig. 1A). t\(_0\) was the earliest sampling time available for community-acquired sepsis. Sampling at t\(_0\) and t\(_{24}\) allowed evaluation of the trajectory of changes after enrollment. Infection status and outcome through day 28 were independently adjudicated by a board-certified clinician, as described (12, 17, 25) (Table S1). Survival/death was the primary outcome. Standard diagnostic tests were supplemented by tests for capillary lactic acid, urinary pneumococcal antigen and, for a subset of patients, PCR of blood for bacterial and fungal DNA (12, 17, 25). Sixty-three percent of the patients included in this analysis were African American. 28-day mortality was low (4.9%) (12). As CAPSOD was an observational study, clinical care was not standardized and was determined by individual providers.

The discovery set of 150 patients (13% of the total CAPSOD cohort) had five groups that reflected conventional concepts of sepsis progression as a pyramid (1,4). The number of subjects was governed by power to test associations with survival/death. Infection status and infectious agent were adjudicated by a study physician prior to the generation of test data.
Standard definitions of organ dysfunction and shock were used (12, 26). The five groups were: day 28 sepsis survivors with uncomplicated courses (n=27), sepsis survivors who developed severe sepsis or septic shock by day 3 (n=25 and n=38, respectively), sepsis nonsurvivors (by day 28; n=31), and non-infected patients who exhibited SIRS criteria (SIRS-positive, “ill” controls, presumed septic at enrollment but later determined to have non-infectious reasons for SIRS; n=29) (12). Due to the few deaths from sepsis in the CAPSOD study, that group defined the attributes of the patients selected for the other four groups (Table 1). The non-infected SIRS group had similar rates of clinical progression as did the sepsis groups (day 3 organ dysfunction and shock, and 28-day death), allowing distinction between the disease progression of sepsis and other SIRS-associated acute illnesses (Table 1). Patients within the sepsis groups were also chosen for infections with Streptococcus pneumoniae (n=31), Escherichia coli (n=16) and Staphylococcus aureus (n=27), three common causes of community-acquired sepsis that often differ in the site of infection and rates of progression.

The experimental design included two validation patient sets (Fig. 1A). Firstly, a separate CAPSOD subset of 18 sepsis nonsurvivors and 34 matched sepsis survivors (at $t_0$ [$V_{t0}$] and $t_{24}$ [$V_{t24}$]). Few patients in the sepsis nonsurvivor group were available after selection of the discovery set because of a low death rate due to sepsis or phlebotomy refusal at $t_{24}$. Therefore, the sepsis survivors chosen for inclusion in the validation set were matched to those of the available sepsis nonsurvivors based on age, race, sex, and enrollment site. The second validation set was from an independent sepsis study (the Brigham and Women’s Hospital Registry of Critical Illness cohort [RoCI], approved by the Partners Human Research Committee, protocol # 2008-P-000495) (27). This set had 29 non-infected patients with SIRS, 36 sepsis survivors and 25 sepsis nonsurvivors.

**Plasma Metabolomics**

Biochemicals in plasma with a mass-to-charge ratio of 100–1000 Da were measured using label-free, liquid and gas chromatography, and mass spectrometry (MS) (28) (Fig. 1B). Of ~4,400 metabolites potentially detectable in human tissues (29), 439 were measured either at $t_0$ or $t_{24}$, and 332 were detected both at $t_0$ and $t_{24}$. 214 of the biochemicals detected at $t_0$ and 224 detected at $t_{24}$ were annotated metabolites (Fig. 2A, B). The median relative standard deviation (SD) of repeated MS measurements of standards was 10% after signal intensity normalization to batch medians. Clinical assays of serum creatinine, capillary lactate and serum glucose correlated well with log-transformed normalized plasma MS values (Fig. 2C, D, E), indicating that the MS assays of metabolite levels were semi-quantitative.

Typically, metabolomics measurements in healthy populations exhibit a normal distribution of Z-scores. However, the distribution of Z-scores in the uninfected SIRS group was right-skewed (log-normal) (Fig. 2F). Patients with severe sepsis and those who died had larger Z-scores that were more skewed than the uninfected SIRS control group (Fig. 2F), indicative of greater metabolic variance. Principal component analysis (PCA) and Bayesian factor analysis (with normalized factor score plots) were utilized to determine the main sources of inter-individual variation in the plasma metabolome. The Bayesian factor analysis [$c_j = B y_j + A(s_j * z_j) + e_j$] correlated metabolite values ($y_j$) to clinical parameters ($c_j$) to define their relevance [where $B$ was the relationship between MS data ($y_j$) and a clinical parameter ($c_j$), $A$ was random or undefined effects and $e_j$ was random noise]. Clinical parameters ($c_j$) were normalized with zero-mean and standard deviation and plotted on B-matrices. The strength of clinical parameter-metabolite associations increased from $t_0$ to $t_{24}$ (by PCA and Bayesian factor analysis, Fig. S1), indicating that metabolic perturbations were increasing at the time of enrollment. Furthermore, in sepsis nonsurvivors, the variance in the plasma metabolome that was explicable on the basis of sepsis outcomes increased as death
approached (Fig. 2G), consistent with a causal association of metabolome changes with death from sepsis. Remaining variance in the plasma metabolome was largely explained by renal function (semi-quantitative; four groups), liver function (binary) and immunosuppressants (binary) (Fig. S1–S2). Overlaid kernel densities and Mahalanobis distances of metabolome values revealed one septic shock patient to be an outlier, and this patient was therefore removed from subsequent metabolomics analyses.

Plasma metabolites that differed between groups were identified by analysis of variance (ANOVA) at t₀ and t₂₄. Variance unrelated to sepsis was controlled by inclusion of renal function and liver disease as fixed effects. Since acute renal dysfunction showed an association with sepsis nonsurvival, this may have resulted in underestimation of differences due to sepsis outcome (Table S2). Remarkably, no metabolite differed significantly between sepsis survivor subgroups (uncomplicated sepsis, day 3 severe sepsis, day 3 septic shock) or between infectious etiologies (S. pneumoniae, S. aureus or E. coli; Fig. S3) at either t₀ or t₂₄. In contrast, plasma concentrations of 49 metabolites differed between the sepsis survivor groups and the uninfected SIRS-positive group at t₀, whereas 42 metabolites differed at t₂₄ (Fig. 3A; ANOVA with inclusion of renal and liver function as fixed effects and false discovery rate (FDR) 5%; sepsis survivor subgroups collapsed; Table S3). In all, 63 metabolites differed between sepsis survivors and uninfected patients at either time point. Of these, 60 had concordant direction of change at both time points, indicating a consistent early metabolic response in sepsis survivors (rather than multiphasic; Fig. S4, and Table S3). Sepsis survivors had lower plasma concentrations of citrate, malate, glycerol, glycerol 3-phosphate, phosphate, 21 amino acids and their catabolites, 12 glycerophosphocholine and glycerophosphoethanolamine esters, and 6 carnitine esters compared to uninfected patients (Fig. 3A, Fig. S5–S6, and Table S3). Six acetaminophen catabolites and two androgenic steroids were increased. Notably, lactate, ketone bodies and carnitine were relatively unchanged between sepsis survivors and uninfected patients.

Next, metabolite values in the collapsed sepsis survivor groups were compared with those in the sepsis nonsurvivor group. Seventy six metabolites differed between the sepsis survivor and death groups at t₀, and 128 metabolites at t₂₄ (FDR 5%; Fig. 3A; Fig. S5–S6; and Tables S3). The metabolic differences between the sepsis survivor and death groups were also temporally consistent. Thus, 84 metabolites at one time point that were significantly different between those who survived and those who died, and detected at the other time point, showed a concordant direction of change. However, inter-individual variability in individual metabolite values was high. Nevertheless, the validity of the differences between survivors and nonsurvivors was supported by the finding that many members of biochemical families had the same direction of change: 17 amino acid catabolites, 16 carnitine esters, 11 nucleic acid catabolites, 5 glycolysis and citric acid cycle components (citrate and malate, pyruvate, dihydroxyacetone, phosphate) and 4 free fatty acids were significantly increased in the sepsis nonsurvivor group (by ANOVA; Fig. S5, and Table S3). Seven glycerophosphocholine and -ethanolamine esters were decreased in the sepsis nonsurvivor group, in agreement with previous studies (23, 30–32). Lactate, an established sepsis severity marker, was elevated in the sepsis nonsurvivor group. Carnitine and ketones were unchanged. Given the regulation of metabolism by steroids, it was notable that anabolic steroids were decreased in the sepsis nonsurvivor group whereas cortisone was increased. These changes were consistent with increased exergonic metabolism in sepsis survivors. A clinical correlate of this conclusion was elevated core temperature in sepsis survivors (38.1°C), but not in the sepsis nonsurvivor group (37.4°C) (Table 1), as previously described (12).

Carnitine esters with medium- or short-chain fatty acids and branched-chain amino acids were the most pronounced biochemical groups that differed between the sepsis nonsurvivor group and survivors. It was possible that these accumulated in blood due to renal
dysfunction and not sepsis itself. To explore this hypothesis, we performed a Bayesian factor analysis with stratification by renal function at t0 (normal estimated glomerular filtration rate, eGFR ≥75 mL/min, n = 44; 32–74 mL/min, n = 56) and binary primary groupings (non-infected, uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock and sepsis nonsurvivor), etiologic agents (S. aureus, S. pneumoniae, E. coli), gender, race, liver disease, hepatitis, alcohol abuse and neoplastic disease). Metabolite factor scores ≥0.1 or ≤−0.1 were considered significant. Liver disease, hepatitis and alcohol abuse had substantial overlap, which may reflect unity. Reassuringly, sepsis nonsurvival and liver disease remained the major contributors of metabolome variance (Fig. S7). The metabolic changes associated with the sepsis nonsurvival factor also remained increased with time (Fig. S7). Moreover the association of carnitine esters with sepsis outcomes remained significant (Table S4 and S5). Thus, the changes in carnitine esters were not explained by renal function.

Validation of Metabolomic Findings

Confirmation of the veracity of differences was sought by metabolome profiling of a first validation set [all remaining sepsis nonsurvivors (validation t0, Vt0, n=17; Vt24, n=16) and matched sepsis survivors (Vt0, n=34; Vt24, n=33) (Fig. 1A)]. Samples from two sepsis nonsurvivors and one sepsis survivor were not available at t24; a sample was obtained from one sepsis nonsurvivor who had refused t0 phlebotomy. It should be noted that the median time-to-death of the validation group was greater than the discovery group (18.5 days vs. 10.7 days, respectively), because insufficient sepsis nonsurvivor samples were available for precise matching of discovery and validation sets. Not surprisingly, the metabolic variance attributable to sepsis outcome at Vt0 was less pronounced than in the t0 set (Fig. S2). Consequently, less stringent FDRs were applied in ANOVAs for Vt0 (25%) and Vt24 (15%). There were fewer differences and of smaller magnitude between sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors in the validation cohort (18 differences at t0 and 20 at t24; Fig. 3A, Fig. S5–S6, and Table S3). Nevertheless, the major metabolite differences were recapitulated (elevated amino acid and RNA catabolites, citrate, malate and fatty acids, decreased anabolic steroids and glycerophospho-choline and -ethanolamine esters). The most consistently altered biochemical class in the validation set remained the carnitine esters, with significant increases in 19 of 21 compounds in the sepsis nonsurvivor group for at least one time point.

A second validation study was performed on an independently derived cohort from another institution with a different enrollment protocol (RoCI study). This validation set contained 29 non-infected subjects with SIRS, 36 sepsis survivors, and 25 sepsis nonsurvivors (Table 1). The demographics of RoCI differed from those of the CAPSOD study. A prominent difference was that the principal ethnicity in the RoCI study was Caucasian (78%). Neoplastic disease (75% RoCI vs. ~23% CAPSOD) and administration of immunosuppressants (36% RoCI vs.6.5–15% CAPSOD) were much higher in the RoCI sepsis nonsurvivor category than found in the sepsis nonsurvivor category for CAPSOD. The metabolome was profiled with identical methods in both studies. ANOVA of the metabolomic results from the RoCI cohort with a 5% FDR recapitulated the CAPSOD study results with regard to alterations in carnitine esters, glycerophospho-choline and -ethanolamine esters, amino acid derivatives, nucleic acid catabolites, glycolysis and citric acid cycle components (representative results presented in Fig. S8; full results to be published by the RoCI group). Furthermore, the direction of change of these analytes recapitulated those of the CAPSOD cohorts, providing strong evidence that these differences reflected sepsis outcomes rather than bias intrinsic to a single study or limited to a single ethnic group.

Further recapitulation of the major findings was sought for eleven representative metabolites by retesting 382 of the CAPSOD discovery and validation samples with targeted,
quantitative assays (Fig. S9–S10, Tables S6, and S7); four samples were not re-assayed for
4-methyl-2-oxopentanoate, 1-linoleoylglycerophosphocholine, 1-
archidionylglycerophosphocholine, 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) lactate (HPLA), 3-
methoxytyrosine, n-acetylthreonine, and pseudouridine because further aliquots were
unavailable. The quantitative results correlated with the semi-quantitative MS screening data
(correlation coefficients ranging from +0.57 to +0.99) (Fig. S11). While inter-individual
variability of the concentrations of the 11 metabolites among subjects was considerable, the
previously described differences between sepsis survivors, sepsis nonsurvivors and
uninfected SIRS patients were confirmed (Fig. 3B–E and Fig. S12). The average differences
in metabolite values between sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors using the quantitative assays
were also examined as a function of time to death. The death-survivor differences increased
inversely with time-to-death, suggesting temporal correlations of the 11 metabolites with
sepsis nonsurvival (Fig. S13).

**Plasma Proteomics**

A complementary survey of host response in sepsis survival and death was performed by
proteome profiling of the 150 subjects in the CAPSOD discovery group (Fig. 1). Plasma
proteins identified by MS with high confidence were quantified using two methods: log-
transformed quantile-normalized areas-under-the-curve (AUC) of aligned chromatograms
after background noise removal (33), and spectral counting. We note that the sensitivity of
MS is too low to detect most changes in cytokines and confidence in identities is low as
typically only one peptide is detected (34).

Following immunodepletion of abundant plasma proteins (33), 195 and 117 proteins
identified with high confidence were measured by the two methods described above,
respectively, of which 101 were detected by both methods (Table S8). For proteins with
spectral counts >10, measurements derived from the two methods correlated well (Table
S8). Clinical assays of serum C reactive protein (CRP) and albumin correlated with log-
transformed MS values in plasma (Fig. S14), indicating MS to be at least semi-quantitative.

As observed for the metabolome, sepsis group membership explained part of the variation in
the plasma proteome (Fig. S15). Other categorical traits that explained variance were liver
disease, immunosuppressant agents, and malignancy (Fig. S15). As with the metabolome,
only a single significant protein difference was found among sepsis survivor subgroups or
between infectious etiologies (Fig. S16). The concentrations of 16 plasma proteins differed
between sepsis survivors and uninfected SIRS patients at t₀, and 40 proteins differed at t₂₄
(ANOVA with FDR of 5% and with control of non-sepsis-related effects by inclusion of
liver disease, immunosuppressants and malignancy as fixed effects) (Table S8). In
agreement with previous reports, many inflammatory markers were elevated in sepsis (e.g.,
CRP, lipopolysaccharide binding protein, leucine-rich α2 glycoprotein, serpin peptidase
inhibitor 3, serum amyloid A1 and A3, and selenoprotein P (Table S8) (35, 36). Serpin
peptidase inhibitor 1, which inhibits plasmin and thrombin, was increased in sepsis,
consistent with previous reports (37, 38). Notably, several thrombolytic proteins (factor XII,
plasminogen, kininogen 1 and fibronectin 1) were decreased in sepsis.

Like the metabolome, the plasma proteome disclosed a markedly different host response in
sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors (with 56 and 27 significant protein differences at t₀ and
t₂₄, respectively; Table S9). There was strong concordance in protein differences at both
time points: 44 of 59 plasma proteins with significant survivor-death differences had
congruent changes at the other time point. Notable protein families exhibiting differences
were complement components (22 of which were increased in the sepsis nonsurvivor group),
thrombolytic proteins (8 of which were decreased and 3 increased in the sepsis nonsurvivor
group), and fatty acid transport proteins (9 of which were increased in the sepsis nonsurvivor
group; apolipoproteins AI, AII, AIV, L1, CIV, transthyretin, hemopexin, afamin and α-2-HS-glycoprotein; Fig. 4A and Table S9).

Integration of Proteomic and Metabolomic Datasets

We reasoned that true positive changes in the metabolome should be reflected by analogous changes in the proteome. In particular, this should be true for plasma proteomic and metabolomic measurements in the same biochemical pathway. For example, they should recapitulate known substrate-enzyme-product reaction models and members of known biochemical families should co-cluster. Further, we reasoned that it may be possible to impute the class membership of unknown metabolites, familial enzyme pathways, and novel enzymatic reaction models by integration of the proteomic and metabolomic datasets. To explore this, we performed a global cross-correlation and hierarchal clustering of matched metabolites (e.g., t₀ metabolome vs. t₂₄ metabolome), or proteins (e.g., t₀ proteome vs. t₂₄ proteome) for the 150 discovery subjects. Further, to assess recapitulation of known metabolome-proteome reaction models, we performed cross-correlation and clustering of metabolites with proteins at each time point (e.g., t₀ proteins vs. t₀ metabolites) in the same samples.

The metabolome-metabolome cross-correlation and hierarchal clustering did largely recapitulate known metabolite/biochemical class membership (Fig. 4B): For example, 7 carnitines esters were nearest neighbors at t₀, as were 5 androgenic steroids, 11 glycerophospho-choline and -ethanolamine esters, 5 bile acids, 16 fatty acids, and 12 amino acid metabolites and energy metabolic derivatives (lactate, citrate, glycerol, pyruvate, oxaloacetate) (Fig. 4B, Fig. S17). Furthermore, co-clustering suggested class membership for several unannotated biochemicals. Several of these were confirmed by subsequent structural determination: Unannotated biochemicals X-11302, X-11245 and X-11445, which co-clustered with DHEAS, androsterone sulfate and epiandrosterone sulfate, were determined to be sulfated pregnenolone-related steroids (pregnen-steroid monosulfate, pregnen-diol disulfate and 5α-pregnan-3β, 20α-diol disulfate, respectively); unannotated biochemical X-11421 co-clustered with 8 medium chain acyl-carnitines and was determined to be 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine; X-12465 co-clustered with acetyl- and propionyl-carnitine and was determined to be 3-hydroxybutyrylcarnitine (Fig 4B, Fig. S17). Likewise, many functionally or structurally related proteins co-clustered, such as 4 hemoglobin isoforms, 9 complement components, and 10 apolipoproteins (Fig. 4C).

In addition, plasma proteome-metabolome correlations recapitulated a number of known metabolic reaction models. 4,105 of 53,784 plasma protein–metabolite correlations were concordant at t₀ and t₂₄ and statistically significant (Bonferroni-corrected log₁₀ p-value<-6.03; Table S10). These included known mass action kinetic models of catalysis or physicochemical complex assembly: Ribonuclease A1 correlated with 12 downstream products of its action (N6-carbamoylthreonyladenosine, N2,N2-dimethylguanosine, pseudouridine, arabirol, arabinose, erythritol, erythronate, gulono-1,4-lactone, allantoin, phosphate, xylonate and xylose). Hemoglobin subunits α₁, β, δ and ζ correlated with the component heme, allosteric effector adenosine-5-monophosphate and degradation product xanthine. Subunit D of succinate dehydrogenase (a high confidence protein identification supported by a single peptide) correlated with 3 downstream citric acid cycle intermediates (L-malate, oxaloacetate and citrate; Fig. 4D and Table S11). Several carnitine esters and fatty acids correlated with plasma transporter fatty acid binding proteins (FABP1 and FABP4, Fig. S18 and Table S11). Two fatty acid substrates correlated inversely with Acyl-CoA Synthetase Mitochondrial-like 6 (ACSM6, another high confidence protein identification supported by a single peptide), which catalyzes attachment of fatty acids to CoA for β-oxidation (Fig. S19 and Table S11).
We reasoned that co-cluster hierarchies and correlations might suggest novel enzymatic reaction models. Thus, for example, subunit D of succinate dehydrogenase correlated with pyruvate, lactate and acetyl-carnitine, and may suggest novel regulation of the citric acid cycle (Fig. 4D), which has animal model support (39). Another plausible model was suggested by correlations of ACSM6 with 9 carnitine esters (Fig. S18). ACSM6 acts upstream of carnitine esterification, and mediates mitochondrial fatty acid import. Overall, these analyses served to validate the accuracy of the metabolomic and proteomic measurements.

**Derivation and Testing of Outcome Predictive Biomarker Panels**

In light of the consistency of the metabolome and proteome changes between sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors, a biomarker panel was developed and assessed for utility in prediction of sepsis outcomes upon arrival at the emergency room ($t_0$). Four clinical factors (age, mean arterial pressure, hematocrit and temperature) and 12 metabolites (2-methylbutyroylcarnitine, 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine, butyroylcarnitine, hexanoylcarnitine, 4-methyl-2-oxopentanoate, 1-arachidonoylglycerophosphocholine, 1-linoleoylglycerophosphocholine, HPLA, 3-methoxytyrosine, n-acetylthreonine, pseudouridine and lactate) were nominated either by prior clinical analyses (12), or by selection of the most significantly different metabolomic differences in sepsis survivors and deaths by ANOVA and Bayesian factor analysis. These biomarkers were also selected for relevance to the molecular mechanisms suggested for sepsis survival and death. Proteomic biomarkers were not utilized in this analysis. These biomarkers were used to develop a sparse panel for prediction of sepsis outcomes with logistic regression. The number of biomarkers in the panel was reduced to seven by penalized predictor reduction (a statistical method that applies a penalty to the sum of squares of the coefficients to reduce the number of factors; we utilized a maximum of 10 effects, a log$_{10}$ regularization parameter and a maximum of 5 categories). These were 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine, 2-methylbutyroylcarnitine, butyroylcarnitine, hexanoylcarnitine, lactate, age, and hematocrit. The resultant logistic regression model performed very well for prediction of sepsis outcomes at $t_0$ in the discovery cohort (AUC 0.847 and accuracy 85.1%). The prognostic utility of the model was also good in the discovery $t_{24}$ dataset, and the validation $V_{t_0}$ and $V_{t_{24}}$ datasets (Table 2). Indeed, the model predicted sepsis nonsurvival or survival better than widely used clinical scores, such as SOFA (score ≥7), APACHE II (score ≥25), and capillary lactate (≥4.0 mg/dL) (Table 2). Since the discovery and validation studies utilized cohorts from the CAPSOD study, it was possible that the model was over-fitted. Therefore, utility of the model was examined in an independently derived sepsis cohort from another institution and with separate metabolic measurements (RoCl) (27). ANOVA showed nine of the 12 biomarker metabolites to have a statistically significant change in sepsis survivors versus nonsurvivors in the RoCl cohort, and all 12 followed the same trends as in the CAPSOD samples (FDR 5%, Fig. S8). The biomarker panel also had strong predictive discrimination between sepsis survival and death in the RoCl cohort (Table 2).

The data generated in the global metabolomics studies were semi-quantitative. To further examine the prognostic utility of the logistic regression model, specific, quantitative MS assays were developed for four of the biomarker metabolites (4-cis-decenoylcarnitine, 2-methylbutyroylcarnitine, butyroylcarnitine and hexanoylcarnitine). The prognostic utility of the biomarker panel was then retested with quantitative clinical values (age, lactic acid and hematocrit) and values from the specific metabolite assays in all samples from the CAPSOD discovery and validation cohorts (93 sepsis nonsurvivors and 235 sepsis survivors). Missing clinical measurements of lactate were imputed from the values obtained from semi-quantitative metabolome methods. Predictive performance was similar to that with the semi-
quantitative assays (Table 2). Such recapitulation was important because quantitative, homogeneous assays would be used for a clinical prognostic test using these biomarkers.

Support vector machine (SVM) learning performs two-group classification that allows expansion of the solution vector on support vectors, extends the solution surfaces from linear to non-linear and allows for errors in the training set (40). SVM learning typically yields biomarker panels with superior performance to other methods. SVM was used to develop a weighted model for prediction of sepsis survival and death using quantitative measurements of the seven biomarkers. Data from 173 unique sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors were used. When values from the same person were available at both \( t_0 \) and \( t_{24} \), one sample was randomly selected. This yielded 87 subjects for training and 86 for testing. Values were normalized by subtracting means and dividing by standard deviations. 100 random partitions were performed for training and test data for each setting. The AUC of the SVM model in the test subjects was 0.74 and accuracy was 74.6% (55% for 28-day sepsis nonsurvival and 83.6% for sepsis survival; Table 2).

**Discussion**

This study sought to characterize and integrate the metabolome, proteome and clinical variables in sepsis survival and death. Somewhat unexpectedly, this analysis delineated differences in host responses to sepsis in survivors and nonsurvivors that were robust and reproducible. As a consequence, the analytes and pathways that differentiate sepsis survival and death hold promise as potential prognostic biomarkers and may also be useful as targets for the development of new therapies for patients at higher risk of death. Prognostic markers of sepsis outcomes have been sought for decades. Prior candidate biomarker studies, while valuable, have had limited clinical prognostic utility, perhaps because of the heterogeneity and complexity of sepsis outcomes. The integrative approach described herein was based on three assumptions. Firstly, a comprehensive, hypothesis-agnostic description of the molecular antecedents to sepsis survival and death would yield new, unbiased insights. Secondly, that integration of clinical, metabolomic and proteomic data might identify signals that were undetected or obscured by false discovery cutoffs in one-dimensional datasets. Thirdly, that analysis of the co-occurrence and correlations of molecular networks and pathways in complementary datasets would further identify and prioritize likely causal molecular mechanisms. Within the statistically significant group differences common to the discovery and replication cohorts, findings were further prioritized by: 1) assembly into networks, pathways or biochemical families; 2) temporal correlations with clinical status; 3) corroboration of *bona fide* networks and pathways by occurrence in complementary datasets; and 4) by cross correlations, hierarchical co-clustering and assembly of mass action kinetic models of catalysis or physicochemical complexes. Finally, prognostic biomarker candidates were chosen to reflect potential underlying molecular mechanisms, rather than the ability to partition accurately.

The integrated, comprehensive analysis of host responses to sepsis revealed a complex, heterogeneous and highly dynamic pathologic state and yielded new insights into molecular mechanisms of sepsis survival or death that may enable outcome prediction and individualized patient treatment. There were both negative and positive findings regarding the pathophysiology of sepsis. A major negative finding was that the plasma metabolome and proteome did not differ between sepsis survivors, severe sepsis survivors, and septic shock survivors. Another negative finding was that there were no major differences between patients with infections with *S. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus* or *E. coli*. These negative findings may reflect heterogeneous patient responses, diverse co-morbidities, sites of infection, or severity of infections within the 3-day window we focused on. It is also possible that changes were overwhelmed by a generalized septic response, and therefore difficult to
detect. Instead, sepsis survivors appeared to represent a molecular continuum, irrespective of progression to severe sepsis or septic shock or class of infective agent. One caveat to this conclusion is that MS-based proteome analysis was insensitive for measurement of low abundance proteins (34), such as cytokines, which are known to differ between etiologic agents (41). Importantly, our study did not support the popular concept that the clinical stages of sepsis progression (uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock) reflect host molecular progression (23). Instead, the homogeneity of the metabolome and proteome in the uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, and septic shock groups was remarkable, challenging the traditional notion of a molecular pyramid of sepsis progression (16). While surprising, the absence of substantive molecular differentiation of these clinical states does not negate the importance of early achievement of effective compartmental concentrations of appropriate antibiotics or the known differences in mortality between etiologic agents and sites of infection (3, 4, 42).

The major positive finding in this study was that a majority of host molecular responses were altered antithetically in sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors, when compared to uninfected patients with SIRS criteria. This was evident at time of presentation, increased at t24 and became more pronounced as time-to-death decreased. It was observed both in the plasma metabolome and proteome. It was observed in comparisons of mean values of individual analytes, after inclusion of renal and hepatic diseases as fixed effects, and globally, as assessed by variance components and global cross-correlations. Divergent host responses were highly conserved temporally at the level of individual analyte classes, networks and pathways. Thus, there exists a reproducible dichotomy in host molecular responses to sepsis, suggesting molecular allostasis in survivors, and maladaption in non-survivors.

Alterations in fatty acid metabolism were prominent components of the disparate metabolomic phenotype of sepsis survival and death. Plasma concentrations of 6 carnitine esters were decreased in sepsis survivors, relative to controls. In addition, 16 carnitine esters and 4 fatty acids were elevated in sepsis nonsurvivors, relative to controls. These findings were not explicable on the basis of unchanged ratios of free to acylated carnitine or free to protein-bound ratios of fatty acids. Thus, free carnitine concentrations were unchanged. Nine fatty acid transport proteins were decreased in sepsis nonsurvivors, whereas plasma concentrations of two fatty acid binding proteins were increased in sepsis nonsurvivors. While some of these findings have been previously reported (43), together they suggest a profound defect in fatty acid β-oxidation in sepsis nonsurvivors that was absent in sepsis survivors. The rate limiting step in β-oxidation is fatty acid transport from the cytoplasm into the mitochondrial matrix (44). Since the mitochondrial membrane is impermeable to acyl-CoA, the carnitine palmitoyltransferase (CPT; EC 2.3.1.21) enzyme system, in conjunction with acyl-CoA synthetase and carnitine/acylcarnitine translocase, is utilized to shuttle long-chain fatty acids across the mitochondrial membrane, in the form of acyl-carnitines. CPT I is located in the mitochondrial outer membrane, whereas CPT II is in the inner mitochondrial membrane. Transport across the mitochondrial membrane is reversible. Thus, acyl-carnitines that are not utilized for energy production in fatty acid β-oxidation may be reverse transported from mitochondria to the cytoplasm and then into the plasma, where they are excreted (44). Plasma values of acyl-carnitines of all fatty acid lengths were elevated in sepsis nonsurvivors, and were not explained by differences in renal function, suggesting that the metabolic defect in fatty acid β-oxidation occurs at the level of the carnitine shuttle.

Mitochondrial fatty acid β-oxidation in the mitochondrion is accomplished by several acyl-CoA dehydrogenases. Each acyl-CoA dehydrogenase acts on fatty acids of a particular chain length and with a specific degree of branching (44). Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiencies
are characterized by accumulation of fatty acids of the corresponding range of chain lengths. A potentially causal role for elevated carnitine esters in sepsis nonsurvival is suggested by the finding that micromolar amounts cause ventricular dysfunction (45). Furthermore, patients with mutations in medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (MCAD) have high rates of sudden death (46). Animal models have shown that MCAD and CPT I are decreased in heart, liver and kidney in sepsis, and are regulated by decreased expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPAR) α, β and δ (43, 47–49). Interestingly, sepsis survival in mouse models improved with PPAR-agonist treatment (50, 51). In addition, PPARs regulate expression of medium-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (52) and fatty acid β-oxidation (53). Furthermore, PPARα expression is decreased in septic shock and correlates with severity (54). While clinically untested, these results suggest that treatment of selected patients with PPAR agonists may improve sepsis outcomes through increased β-oxidation in heart, liver and kidney tissues. As this study focused on patients with sepsis, it remains unclear if elevations in carnitine esters are unique to sepsis nonsurvival or are a broad prognostic biomarker in critical illness. Hypoxia can also lead to increased plasma acyl-carnitines (55), suggesting they may be a non-specific signal of mitochondrial dysfunction. A prospective metabolomic study of critical illness outcomes absent an infection as well as animal/cell culture models of hypoxia and sepsis may provide a better understanding of the specificity of these biomarkers in death.

In stark contrast to increased carnitine esters and free fatty acids in sepsis nonsurvivors was a consistent decrease in glycerophospho -choline and -ethanolamine esters in sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors compared to non-infected patients with SIRS. The changes were consistent with published findings that glycerophospho -choline and -ethanolamine esters were predictive of sepsis mortality (32). Further, it has been suggested that these changes in lipid metabolism reflect decreases in PPARα (43, 49). Interestingly, exogenous stearoylglycerophosphocholine improves outcomes in septic mice (56). Whereas free fatty acid supplementation has not proven effective in a clinical trial of acute lung injury (57), it is unknown if outcomes would be improved by stearoylglycerophosphocholine supplementation.

Glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and the citric acid cycle differed prominently between sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors. Plasma values of citrate, malate, glycerol, glycerol 3-phosphate, phosphate and glucogenic and ketogenic amino acids were decreased in sepsis survivors, relative to controls. In contrast, citrate, malate, pyruvate, dihydroxyacetone, lactate, phosphate and gluconeogenic amino acids were increased in sepsis nonsurvivors. A corroborating proteomic change was found for succinate dehydrogenase, whose concentration correlated with downstream citric acid cycle metabolites malate, oxaloacetate and citrate and with lactate, pyruvate and acetyl-carnitine. A parsimonious explanation of these findings is that sepsis survivors mobilized various energetic substrates and utilized these completely in aerobic catabolism resulting in decreased plasma concentrations, whereas sepsis patients who would ultimately die failed to utilize these fully, displaying elevated concentrations even at the earliest time points evaluated. Significantly lower core temperature in sepsis nonsurvivors versus survivors may be a correlate of poor aerobic catabolism in dying patients (12).

Several other lines of evidence support the hypothesis that mitochondrial function is a major determinant of sepsis outcome. Structural studies show mitochondrial derangements, decreased mitochondrial number and reduced substrate utilization in sepsis nonsurvival, and a progressive drop in total body oxygen consumption occurs as sepsis severity increases (58–65). Further, circulating mitochondrial damage-associated molecular patterns can activate the innate immune response leading to neutrophil-mediated organ injury (66). Recent evidence indicates that increased succinate, a TCA cycle intermediate metabolite, is
an inflammatory signal that can induce IL-1β production in bone marrow derived macrophages (67). Substantive literature demonstrates that an early indicator of sepsis outcomes is mitochondrial biogenesis, (23, 30, 58, 59, 68–72), another PPAR-regulated phenomenon (73). Finally, sepsis-induced multiple organ failure has been noted to occur despite minimal cell death and patient recovery from organ failure is rapid in survivors, indicating that mitochondrial damage in sepsis survivors is reversible (23, 30, 46, 71, 74).

In summary, an integrated analysis revealed quite different host molecular responses to sepsis in patients who would survive and those who would die. In contrast, we found no metabolomic or proteomic differences between sepsis caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli* or *Staphylococcus aureus*. It will be interesting to ascertain whether the sepsis nonsurvival profile is recapitulated in other sepsis etiologies or in other SIRS-inducing conditions (60, 75, 76).

Finally, biomarker models were developed to aid in the prediction of sepsis outcomes that were based on these molecular findings. For ease of assay development for clinical utility, a homogeneous biomarker panel was developed, rather than heterogeneous combinations of protein and metabolite markers. In general, previous sepsis biomarker panels have shown disappointing external validation. Reasons may include data over-fitting, reliance on cross-validation rather than independent validation, and recruitment at single sites. We sought to reduce the impact of these limitations by developing sparse panels, recruitment at three sites, selecting metabolites that had a high probability of representing molecular mechanisms, use of two metabolite measurement techniques, and validation both in a separate CAPSOD test set as well as in an independent cohort. A logistic regression model utilizing carnitine esters and clinical variables consistently categorized survivors with greater than 85% accuracy, while sepsis nonsurvivors were accurately predicted with 45 to 55% accuracy in most of the test sets. This model performed better than capillary lactate, SOFA or APACHE II scores. It should be noted that prognostic performance was evaluated in patients at time of presentation at an emergency department. The differences between survivors and nonsurvivors increased as time-to-death decreased. Thus, serial testing of sepsis patients may better differentiate those with poor outcomes. Thus, as with many current disease severity markers, this panel is likely to be especially useful when used serially in individual patients. Ideally, the panel would be deployed on a device that performs at point-of-care or hospital-based and with rapid time-to-result. The biomarkers presented here were the best performing models but are by no means the only variables with such predictive utility. Independent replication studies are needed, as are finalization of markers, normalized time-to-death analysis, and additional assay development.

One concern for a model predicting survival or death is that subsequent clinical decision making may be biased in a way that supports the prediction, resulting in considerable risk of harm. However, results in animal models targeting glycerophosphocholine esters and PPAR expression suggest that mechanisms can be reversed and outcomes improved by targeted treatments that improve β-oxidation and/or neutrophil-mediated bacterial killing (50, 51, 53, 56). Additionally, preliminary findings were that sepsis survivors after EGDT had higher levels of carnitine esters at presentation than sepsis survivors who did not receive EGDT, further suggesting that metabolic and mitochondrial dysfunction can be mitigated. Therapeutic targets that were nominated by this study include glycerophospho -choline and -ethanolamine esters, acetylcarnitine supplementation, PPAR agonist treatment, inhibition of the γ-aminobutyric shunt, or enhancement of mitochondrial biogenesis (10, 39, 50, 51, 56, 67). Upon additional development, a sepsis prognosis panel may aid in the immense need for individualization of the intensity of sepsis treatment and, thereby, improvement in outcomes. Ideally, future studies will examine muscle tissue as well as blood in order to confirm the relevance of plasma changes.
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With any biomarker panel there remains the possibility of overfitting. However, in the present study, reproducibility in internal and external validation sets, replication with targeted assays and SVM analysis suggest that the sparse (seven-feature) panel has validity for prediction of sepsis-related mortality when applied at patient presentation in an emergency department setting. This study has limitations. The biological sample chosen for analysis was peripheral blood. As such, we cannot draw conclusions about the effects of sepsis on other target tissues. Furthermore, blood samples were analyzed at only two time points. Additional collections would have allowed a temporal analysis of sepsis changes that, giving a more precise view of changes through sepsis convalescence or deterioration. The number of non-survivors tested was relatively small, and confirmatory studies are needed. The number of non-sepsis deaths was small. As a result, we do not know if the outcome predictive signature is specific for sepsis or may also differentiate other acutely ill patient groups.

Finally, global and temporal correlations of metabolome and proteome data from relevant biological fluids in well-phenotyped patient groups appears suitable for expanding our understanding of intermediary metabolism, particularly with respect to poorly annotated analytes, and for characterization of homogeneous subgroups in complex traits. Combinations of transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and genetic data may establish multi-dimensional molecular models of complex diseases that can provide insights into network responses to perturbation.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design**

**Pre-defined study components**—Metabolomic and proteomic analysis was predicted to require 30 samples per group (non-infected controls, uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock, and sepsis nonsurvivors) for 80% power to detect differences. Enrollment was performed during daytime hours through a convenience sampling and continued until this goal was met. Inclusion criteria included adults in the emergency department with known or suspected acute infection and the presence of at least two SIRS criteria. Exclusions were as previously described (12, 17, 25). Outliers were identified using various techniques including overlaid kernel density estimates, univariate distribution results, Mahalanobis Distances, and correlation coefficients.

**Rationale and Design**—Sepsis is a leading cause of death in the United States and there remain few therapeutic options. Understanding the pathobiology of sepsis outcomes can enable personalized patient management protocols and improve survival. In this study, clinical care was not standardized but rather was determined by individual providers. We collected clinical data including infection likelihood, infection type, microbiological etiologies, sepsis severity, and 28-day mortality. Serum of enrolled patients was taken at presentation and 24h later. Metabolomics and proteomics were performed using mass-spectroscopy techniques. Comprehensive, integrated analysis of serum metabolome and proteome data was performed to prioritize sepsis outcome signals. Logistic regression and support vector machine analysis was performed to predict patient outcomes.

**Randomization**—Patients were assigned to pre-defined clinical groups (non-infected controls, uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock, and sepsis nonsurvivors) after retrospective clinical adjudications were performed. These assignments were made solely on the basis of information available in the medical record and were blind to any metabolomic or proteomic data, which had not yet been generated. Patients were matched for age, race, sex, and enrollment site using the sepsis nonsurvivor group as the reference.
Replication—The clinical, metabolomic and proteomic analyses were replicated in a separate CAPSOD subset of 18 sepsis nonsurvivors and 34 matched sepsis survivors (at t₀ [VT₀] and t24 [VT₂₄]). A second validation set was performed in an independent sepsis study (the Brigham and Women’s Hospital Registry of Critical Illness cohort [RoCI], approved by the Partners Human Research Committee, protocol # 2008-P-000495) (27). This validation cohort had 29 non-infected patients with SIRS, 36 sepsis survivors and 25 sepsis nonsurvivors. The study followed the Equator Network Library recommendation for biospecimens and conforms to BRISQ Tier 1 reporting (77). Details are provided throughout the text. In addition, samples were stabilized in standard serum collection tubes. They were frozen for long-term preservation and then stored at −80°C until testing occurred, which was within one to five years. When necessary, samples were shipped on dry ice.

Patient Enrollment

Patients presenting at EDs (Henry Ford Hospital, Duke University Hospital, and Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center) with suspected sepsis (≥2 SIRS criteria and infection) were enrolled (12, 25). Approval was obtained by institutional ethics committees and filed at (ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00258869). Written informed consent was given by each patient or legal designate. Physical examination was performed and venous plasma and whole blood was collected at enrollment (t₀) and 24 hrs later (t₂₄); patients were followed for 28 days. Demographic and clinical data was anonymized and stored in compliance with HIPAA regulations (ProSanos Inc.). Following independent audit of infection status and outcomes, 150 subjects were chosen for derivation studies. Patients were classified as non-infected SIRS, uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock, or sepsis nonsurvivor. Fifty-two sepsis survivors and deaths at t₀ and t₂₄ samples were also utilized as an internal validation set. Recruitment for the BWH Registry of Critical Illness (RoCI) has been described in detail elsewhere (27). Briefly, demographic, clinical information, and blood specimens were collected from patients with critical illness in the medical intensive care unit (MICU) of the Brigham and Women’s Hospital (BWH). Blood specimens were obtained within 2 days of ICU admission (Day 1), and also at days 3 and 7. Informed consent was obtained directly from patients, or, if not possible, their legal representatives. 400 subjects have been enrolled in RoCI from 2008 to 2012. Serum samples from 90 subjects on Day 1 of enrollment were selected for metabolomic profiling. RoCI is approved by the Partners Human Research Committee under IRB protocol 2008-P-000495.

Semi-quantitative metabolomic analysis

Non-targeted UPLC-MS/MS and GC-MS analyses were performed at Metabolon, Inc. as described (78–80). The UPLC-MS/MS platform utilized a Waters Acquity UPLC with Waters UPLC BEH C18-2.1x100 mm, 1.7 μm columns and a ThermoFisher LTQ mass spectrometer. GC-MS was performed on a Thermo-Finnigan Trace DSQ fast-scanning single-quadrupole MS. Metabolites were identified by automated comparison of the ion features in the experimental samples to a reference library of chemical standard entries that included retention time, molecular weight (m/z), preferred adducts, and in-source fragments as well as associated MS spectra and curated by visual inspection for quality control using software developed at Metabolon (81). Peaks were quantified using area-under-the-curve. Raw area counts for each metabolite in each sample were normalized to correct for variation resulting from instrument inter-day tuning differences by the median value for each run-day, therefore, setting the medians to 1.0 for each run. Missing values were imputed with the observed minimum after normalization. However, metabolites with missing values in >50% of the samples were excluded from analysis.
Quantitative metabolomics analysis

50 μL of 382 human EDTA plasma samples, 48 quality control plasma aliquots, 6 calibration standards and a blank internal standard (H2O) were treated (see supplemental materials and methods) and injected onto a Waters Acquity UPLC/Thermo Quantum Ultra triple quadrupole LC/MS/MS with HESI source equipped with a reversed phase chromatographic column system to determine quantitative changes for methylbutyrylcarnitine, 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine, butyrylcarnitine, hexanoylcarnitine, 4-methyl-2-oxopentanoate, 1-arachidonoylglycerophosphocholine, 1-linoleoylglycerophosphocholine, HPLA, 3-methoxytyrosine, n-acetylthreonine, and pseudouridine. The peak areas of the respective product ions were measured against the peak areas of the corresponding internal standard product ions (Fig. S9). Analyte concentrations are reported in the weight/volume format (“μg/mL”) and not in molar concentrations; Quantitation was performed using weighted linear least squares regression analysis generated from fortified calibration standards prepared immediately prior to each run (Fig. S10). Correlation analysis of quantitative results to semi-quantitative analysis was high (Fig. S11).

Proteomic analysis

Plasma proteomic analysis was performed by Monarch Life Sciences Inc. as previously described (82). Briefly, tryptic digests (~20 μg) with the most abundant proteins removed (see supplemental materials and methods) were analyzed using a Thermo-Fisher Scientific LTQ linear ion-trap mass spectrometer coupled with a Surveyor HPLC system. Data were collected and analyzed as described (83, 84). Database searches against the IPI (International Protein Index) human database (v3.48) and the non-Redundant-Homo Sapiens database (update July 2009) were carried out using both the X!Tandem and SEQUEST algorithms (85, 86). The q-value represented peptide false identification rate and was calculated by incorporating Sequest and X!Tandem results (83). Observed peptide MS/MS spectrum and theoretically derived spectra were used to assign quality scores (Xcorr in SEQUEST and e-Score in X!Tandem). Peptides with high confidence (>90%) and multiple unique sequences were employed for analyses. Protein quantification was carried out using as described.(84). Area-under-the-curve (AUC) for each individually aligned peak from each sample was measured and compared for relative abundance and were log2 transformed before quantile normalization (87). Raw LC-MS/MS data files were independently validated by the Duke Proteomics Core using spectral counting in the form of number of identified spectra per protein (see supplemental materials and methods).

Statistical analysis

Overlaid kernel density estimates, univariate distribution results, Mahalanobis Distances, correlation coefficients of pair wise sample comparisons, unsupervised principal components analysis (by Pearson product-moment correlation) and Ward hierachal clustering of Pearson product-moment correlations were performed using log2-transformed data as described (88) with JMP Genomics 5.0 (SAS Institute). Decomposition of principal components of variance, including patient demographics, past medical history, laboratory and clinical values, was performed to maximize sepsis-group-related components of variance and minimize residual variance (88). Guided by these analyses, ANOVA was performed between sepsis groups, with 5 – 25% false discovery rate (FDR) correction (as noted in the text) and inclusion of substantive non-hypothesis components of variance as fixed effects (88). These included renal function, as determined by eGFR, hemodialysis (HD), cirrhosis and liver disease, hepatitis, neoplastic disease, and administration of exogenous immunosuppressants. Predictive modeling was performed with JMP Genomics 5.0 using logistic regression. Data is presented as average ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Bayesian clinical factor analysis \[ c_j = By_j + A(s_j * z_j) + e_j \] was performed to
distinguish the effects of clinical outcomes (uninfected SIRS group, sepsis survivors, and sepsis nonsurvivors) and relevant clinical factors on the metabolome (see supplemental materials). The significant features were then plotted on B-matrix as well as plotted as normalized energy (referred to as factor scores within the manuscript) of each clinical feature. Pairwise cross correlations were performed using JMP Genomics 5.0 software to compare protein and metabolite values at t₀ and t₂₄ using Pearson moment-correlation. Protein-metabolite correlations were considered significant if observed at t₀ and t₂₄ with p-values <0.05 and <0.1, or at a single time point with Bonferroni correction. Support vector machines (SVM), both linear and with RBF kernels, were used for binary classification of sepsis survivors and deaths. Performance was evaluated by test data scores for AUC and accuracy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Editor's Summary

**Heading: Understanding survival of the fittest in sepsis**

Differentiating mild infections from life-threatening ones is a complex decision that is made millions of times a year in US emergency rooms. Should a patient be sent home with antibiotics and chicken soup? Or should he or she be hospitalized for intensive treatment? Sepsis – infection that is associated with a generalized inflammatory response – is one of the leading causes of death. In two prospective clinical studies, patients arriving at four urban emergency departments with symptoms of sepsis were evaluated clinically and by analysis of their plasma proteome and metabolome. Survivors and non-survivors at 28-days were compared and a molecular signature was detected that appeared to differentiate these outcomes – even as early as the time of hospital arrival. The signature was part of a large set of differences between these groups showing that better energy-producing fatty acid catabolism was associated with survival of the fittest in sepsis. A test developed from the signature was able to predict sepsis survival and nonsurvival reproducibly and better than current methods. This test could help to make that all important decision in the emergency room a more accurate one.
Figure 1. An integrative systems survey of sepsis survival and death

(A) CONSORT flow chart of patient enrollment and selection. Patients presenting to emergency departments with suspected community-acquired sepsis (acute infection and ≥2 SIRS criteria) were grouped according to final adjudication (sepsis or SIRS, no infection), day 3 clinical course (septic shock, severe sepsis, and uncomplicated sepsis) and outcome at day 28 (survival or death). Groups were defined by the most severe stage of sepsis attained. A subset of cases were chosen for the derivation study based upon planned number (n=30) of patients per subgroup and enriched for etiologic agents and controlling for attributes defined by the sepsis nonsurvivor group. The validation group had limited number of sepsis nonsurvivors. One sepsis nonsurvivor initially refused phlebotomy at t₀, yet later agreed at t₂₄. The sample was utilized to maximize validation predictive modeling studies. No non-infected SIRS validation samples were selected because predictive modeling was not
successful during derivation. (B) Experimental design. MS-based metabolome and proteome analysis was performed on plasma samples obtained at t₀ and t₂₄ from 150 matched derivation subjects. Validation of metabolome findings was sought by semi-quantitative MS in an independent cohort comprising all remaining sepsis nonsurvivors and a matched group of sepsis survivors at t₀ and t₂₄ (n=52). Following molecular integration and analysis, predictive models were developed that were representative of the clinical and molecular findings. A top model utilizing semi-quantitative metabolomics clinical measures was trained at t₀, and then tested against the derivation t₂₄ group, validation groups (Vₑ₀, Vₑ₂₄) and an independent validation (RoCI) cohort. The utility of the predictive models was further tested by clinical measures and targeted, quantitative assays of butyroylcarnitine, 2-methylbutyroylcarnitine, hexanoylcarnitine, cis-4-decenoylcarnitine, 1-arachidonoyl-glycerophosphocholine (GPC), 1-linoleoyl-GPC, pseudouridine, 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)lactate (HPLA), 4-methyl-2-oxopentanoate, 3-methoxytyrosine and N-acetylthreonine of 382 samples, four samples were not included in a subset of metabolites due to limited serum volume. Tests included logistic regression of the top model derived by semi-quantitative results and Support Vector Machine (SVM) analysis of the top model.
Figure 2. Metabolomic profiling of plasma in sepsis

(A, B) Venn diagrams of overlap of biochemicals (A) and annotated metabolites (B) measured by MS in discovery plasma samples at t₀ (n=150) and t₂₄ (n=132) and 52 Validation (V) patients at t₀ and t₂₄. 160 metabolites were removed from the analysis because they were detected in ≥50% of the patients. (C–E) Comparison of Creatinine (C), Lactate (D) and Glucose (E) concentrations as determined in serum by clinical chemical analyzer and in plasma by MS in 149, 115 and 149 patients, respectively. Differences in n-values were due to omissions in clinical values – a large group of patients did not require blood lactate values as part of their clinical care. MS values are normalized, log-transformed intensities. Clinical chemistry values (mg/dl) are log-transformed. (F) Z-score scatter plots of plasma metabolites from non-infected SIRS, uncomplicated sepsis, severe sepsis, septic shock or sepsis nonsurvivor patients. Zero on the X-axis represents the mean of the control.
group. Each data point is expressed as the number of standard deviations from the mean of the controls. The Y-axis shows all values for each biochemical on the same horizontal line. Z-score values are standard deviations from the control mean, revealing changes relative to control. The boxed values are mScores, which are averages of the absolute values of Z-scores for all metabolites, calculated using non-truncated, non-imputed values. (G) The variance in plasma metabolite concentrations at the time of emergency department enrollment (t₀) that was attributable to sepsis outcome decreased with increasing days-to-death (X-axis).
Figure 3. Comparisons of the plasma metabolome in community-acquired sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors

(A) Comparison of annotated plasma metabolite concentrations at $t_{24}$ in 132 discovery subjects (represented by columns). Individuals who died were ordered by days-to-death (decreasing from left to right as indicated by the black triangle). Rows show 82 host metabolites with statistically significant differences between groups (stratified ANOVA, $p<0.05$). Colors indicate log-transformed standardized values. Highlighted are 13 acyl-glycerophosphocholines (GPCs) and acyl-glycerophosphoethanolamines (GPEs), which were decreased in sepsis survivors and further decreased in sepsis nonsurvivors (in comparison with controls), 13 RNA catabolites and 14 acyl-carnitines, both of which were decreased in sepsis survivors and increased in sepsis nonsurvivors (in comparison with controls). Detailed images in supplementary materials (Fig. S5).

(B–D) Three-dimensional scatterplots showing plasma acyl-carnitine and acyl-GPC concentrations in 378 samples, as measured by quantitative, targeted assays. (B, C) Acylcarnitine concentrations were generally increased in day-28 sepsis nonsurvivors (green contour ellipsoid) and decreased in sepsis survivors (blue ellipsoid) when compared with non-infected controls (red ellipsoid). Samples obtained from patients who died with sepsis within the 28 day follow-up period are indicated by green diamonds ($n=93$; 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine $1825\pm168$ mg/dL; hexanoylcarnitine $41.2\pm3.5$ mg/dL; butyroylcarnitine $68.2\pm11.7$ mg/dL [mean±S.E.M.]), sepsis survivors by blue dots ($n=235$; 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine $932\pm50$ mg/dL;
hexanoylcarnitine 20.3±1.1 mg/dL; butyroylcarnitine 31.9±2.3 mg/dL) and non-infected controls by red dots (n=54; 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine 1200±115 mg/dL; hexanoylcarnitine 24.6±2.9 mg/dL; butyroylcarnitine 35.0±3.7 mg/dL). (D) Three-dimensional scatterplot showing similar trends in plasma values of two acyl-glycerophosphocholines (acyl-GPCs) and an RNA catabolite in 378 samples. Acyl-GPCs generally were highest in non-infected (red contour ellipsoid), lower in sepsis survivors (blue contour ellipsoid) and lowest in day-28 sepsis nonsurvivors (green contour ellipsoid). Sepsis day 28-deaths are shown by green diamonds (n=93; 1-arachidonoyl-GPC 1.10±0.09 mg/dL; 1-linoleoyl-GPC 2.23±0.21 mg/dL; pseudouridine 954±65 mg/dL [mean±S.E.M.]), sepsis survivors by blue dots (n=235; 1-arachidonoyl-GPC 1.38±0.07 mg/dL; 1-linoleoyl-GPC 3.40±0.29 mg/dL; pseudouridine 708±43 mg/dL) and non-infected controls by red dots (n=54; 1-arachidonoyl-GPC 2.49±0.13 mg/dL; 1-linoleoyl-GPC 6.15±0.52 mg/dL; pseudouridine 628±88 mg/dL). Ellipsoids encompass 90% of sample values. (E) Box and whisker plots of MS lactate values and targeted, quantitative values (red boxes) in 378 plasma samples. Sample values are shown in black. Ranges are shown by black horizontal lines. Means are connected by blue lines.
Figure 4. Integration of metabolomic and proteomic differences in sepsis nonsurvival

(A) Changes in plasma proteins in the complement, coagulation and fibrinolytic cascades in sepsis survivors and nonsurvivors. Adapted from KEGG. Red boxes indicate proteins that are decreased in sepsis nonsurvivors compared to survivors; Green boxes are increased in sepsis nonsurvivors. (B) Heatmap of hierarchical clustering of pairwise Pearson product-moment correlations of 332 log-transformed, annotated plasma metabolites in 132 subjects at $t_0$ compared to matched subjects at $t_{24}$. Positive correlations are red; inverse correlations are blue. Unannotated gas chromatography–mass spectrometry identified biochemicals were excluded from the analysis. A detailed list of the metabolite clusters are in the supplemental materials (Fig. S17). (C) Heatmap of hierarchical clustering of pairwise Pearson product-moment correlations of 162 log-transformed annotated plasma proteins and 332 metabolites in 132 subjects at $t_0$. 18 subjects at $t_0$ were not included within this analysis because there
was not a matched value at t\textsubscript{24}. Positive correlations are red; inverse correlations are blue. Excluded were metabolites or proteins detected in <50\% of patients or that did not have a reported value at both t\textsubscript{0} and t\textsubscript{24}. (D) Plasma metabolite correlations with Succinate Dehydrogenase Complex, Subunit D (SDHD) was increased 2.44-fold in sepsis nonsurvival compared with sepsis survival. Regulation of metabolite flow from the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex through the citric acid cycle is shown, along with associated reactions that replenish depleted cycle intermediates and entry into fatty acid β-oxidation. Correlation coefficients of plasma metabolite with plasma SDHD values are indicated by green integers. Plasma lactate, pyruvate, acetyl-carnitine, oxaloacetate and α-ketoglutarate were higher in sepsis nonsurvivors than sepsis survivors. Global cross correlation analysis results determined from all relevant t\textsubscript{0} metabolites (336 biochemicals) correlated with t\textsubscript{0} proteins (165 proteins) in 150 derivation patient samples. The analysis included lower confidence protein acyl-coA synthetase M6 (ACSM6) and single time point high confidence proteins SDHD, and fatty acid binding protein 4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Variable</th>
<th>SIRS</th>
<th>Validation CAPSOD</th>
<th>RoCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Sepsis Survivors</td>
<td>Sepsis Nonsurvivors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>65.8 ± 13.6</td>
<td>68.8 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Male</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>56.4 ± 19.2</td>
<td>54.8 ± 16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (B/W/O)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
<td>56.4 ± 19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIRS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16.2 ± 7.6</td>
<td>22.8 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.9</td>
<td>7.0 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>37.4 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>89.3 ± 30.1</td>
<td>69.0 ± 13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mMol/L)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>136.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>141.6 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34.8 ± 6.6</td>
<td>30.6 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. pneumoniae</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic Disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive heart Failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation; RoCI - Registry of Critical Illness; B/W/O - black/white/other; N/R - not reported; N/A – not applicable.
Table 2
Predictive modeling of sepsis outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>APACHE II (≥ 25)</th>
<th>SOFA (≥ 7)</th>
<th>Blood Lactate (≥ 4.0 mg/dL)</th>
<th>Logistic Regression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t₀</td>
<td>77.2%</td>
<td>90.0%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t₂₄</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>65.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt₀</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
<td>23.1%</td>
<td>61.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vt₂₄</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>96.7%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>47.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>PPV</th>
<th>NPV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RoCl</td>
<td>71.7%</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTA(^1),(^2)</td>
<td>79.8%</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>39.6%</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>43.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM(^1),(^3) training</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td>66.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM(^1),(^3) test</td>
<td>74.6%</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>83.6%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Root Mean Square Error (RMSE); positive predictive value (PPV; sepsis survivors prediction); negative predictive value (NPV; sepsis nonsurvivor prediction).

1 4-cis-decenoylcarnitine, 2-methylbutyroylcarnitine, butyroylcarnitine, hexanoylcarnitine, lactate, age, hematocrit.

2 Quantitative targeted assays (QTA). 328 targeted assay values tested. All test sets and timepoints combined. Sepsis nonsurvivors, n= 93; sepsis survivors, n= 235.

3 SVM (Support Vector Machine) utilizing QTA results. 173 unique sepsis survivors (n=124) and sepsis nonsurvivors (n=49); 87 for training, 86 for test. 100 iterations. Clinical lactate values were utilized. Unreported lactate values imputed from semi-quantitative data.