# Children's Mercy Kansas City

# SHARE @ Children's Mercy

Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers

5-1-2020

# Factors Associated With Urgent Care Reliance and Outpatient Health Care Use Among Children Enrolled in Medicaid.

Rebecca R. Burns

Elizabeth R. Alpern

Jonathan Rodean

**Therese Canares** 

Brian R. Lee Children's Mercy Hospital

See next page for additional authors

Let us know how access to this publication benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlyexchange.childrensmercy.org/papers

Part of the Emergency Medicine Commons, Health Services Research Commons, Pediatrics Commons, and the Primary Care Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

Burns RR, Alpern ER, Rodean J, et al. Factors Associated With Urgent Care Reliance and Outpatient Health Care Use Among Children Enrolled in Medicaid. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(5):e204185. Published 2020 May 1. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by SHARE @ Children's Mercy. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manuscripts, Articles, Book Chapters and Other Papers by an authorized administrator of SHARE @ Children's Mercy. For more information, please contact hlsteel@cmh.edu.

# Creator(s)

Rebecca R. Burns, Elizabeth R. Alpern, Jonathan Rodean, Therese Canares, Brian R. Lee, Matt Hall, and Amanda Montalbano

JAMA Network Open

# Factors Associated With Urgent Care Reliance and Outpatient Health Care Use Among Children Enrolled in Medicaid

Rebecca R. Burns, MD; Elizabeth R. Alpern, MD, MSCE; Jonathan Rodean, MPP; Therese Canares, MD; Brian R. Lee, PhD; Matt Hall, PhD; Amanda Montalbano, MD, MPH

# Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Urgent care (UC) centers are a growing option to address children's acute care needs, which may cause unanticipated changes in health care use.

**OBJECTIVES** To identify factors associated with high UC reliance among children enrolled in Medicaid and examine the association between UC reliance and outpatient health care use.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** A retrospective cohort study used deidentified data on 4 133 238 children from the Marketscan Medicaid multistate claims database to calculate UC reliance and outpatient health care use. Children were younger than 19 years, with 11 months or more of continuous Medicaid enrollment and 1 or more UC, emergency department (ED), primary care provider (PCP; physician, advanced practice nurse, or physician assistant; well-child care [WCC] or non-WCC), or specialist outpatient visit during the 2017 calendar year. Statistical analysis was conducted from November 11 to 26, 2019.

**EXPOSURES** Urgent care, ED, PCP (WCC and non-WCC), and specialist visits based on coded location of services.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Urgent care reliance, calculated by the number of UC visits divided by the sum of total outpatient (UC, ED, PCP, and specialist) visits. High UC reliance was defined as UC visits totaling more than 33% of all outpatient visits.

**RESULTS** Of 4 133 238 children in the study, 2 090 278 (50.6%) were male, with a median age of 9 years (interquartile range, 4-13 years). A total of 223 239 children (5.4%) had high UC reliance. Children 6 to 12 years of age were more likely to have high UC reliance compared with children 13 to 18 years of age (adjusted odds ratio, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.06-1.09). Compared with white children, black children (adjusted odds ratio, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.81-0.82) and Hispanic children (adjusted odds ratio, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.60-0.61) were less likely to have high UC reliance. Adjusted for age, sex, race/ ethnicity, and presence of chronic or complex conditions, children with high UC reliance had significantly fewer PCP encounters (WCC: adjusted rate ratio, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.60-0.61; and non-WCC: adjusted rate ratio, 0.41; 95% CI, 0.41-0.41), specialist encounters (adjusted rate ratio, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.31-0.31), and ED encounters (adjusted rate ratio, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.67-0.68) than children with low UC reliance.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** High UC reliance occurred more often in healthy, nonminority, school-aged children and was associated with lower health care use across other outpatient settings. There may be an opportunity in certain populations to ensure that UC reliance does not disrupt the medical home model.

JAMA Network Open. 2020;3(5):e204185. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

**Open Access.** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2020;3(5):e204185. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

## **Key Points**

**Question** Is high reliance on urgent care facilities by children associated with decreased use of other sites of outpatient health care?

Findings In a cohort study of 4133238 children enrolled in Medicaid, 5% had high reliance on urgent care (defined as >33% of all outpatient visits). High reliance on urgent care was seen more often in healthy, nonminority, schoolaged children and was associated with lower health care use across other outpatient settings.

**Meaning** Although urgent care facilities may serve to increase access for acute care needs, in certain populations high reliance on urgent care was associated with lower use of other outpatient care sites.

#### + Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

## Introduction

With increasing availability and ease of access, urgent care (UC) sites are a growing option for patients to address acute health care needs, including low-acuity illnesses or injuries.<sup>1</sup> As UC centers become a popular setting for acute care, there is a concern that this convenience may affect the patient's established relationship with their primary care provider (PCP; physician, advanced practice nurse, or physician assistant). If patients rely on UC for most of their health care needs, it may disrupt the continuity provided in the medical home model and lead to unanticipated changes in health.<sup>2.3</sup> The association of UC visits with patients' relationships with their PCP is especially pertinent in pediatrics, where routine well-child care (WCC) visits are paramount to ensuring children's optimal growth and development. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends multiple WCC visits per year for children younger than 3 years and yearly WCC visits for those 3 to 21 years of age.<sup>4</sup> Children regularly seeking acute care outside the PCP's office may represent missed opportunities for preventive services or identification and management of chronic conditions, which in turn risks fragmenting continuity of care.<sup>2.4</sup>

Previous studies exploring fragmentation of continuity of care evaluated the burden and risk factors of children who frequent the emergency department (ED) but did not evaluate children who frequently use UC.<sup>3,5-10</sup> The literature on health care use makes an important distinction between those who frequently use the ED (high use) and those who rely on the ED for most of their health care needs (high reliance).<sup>10</sup> High ED reliance describes the proportion of ED visits in relation to all outpatient health care services and can be quantified as the percentage of all ambulatory services that occur in the ED.<sup>10</sup>

The aims of this study were to identify factors associated with high UC reliance and to examine the association between high UC reliance and the use of other sites of outpatient health care. We hypothesized that high UC reliance may be associated with a disruption of the medical home model.

### **Methods**

#### **Population and Data Source**

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all children younger than 19 years in the 2017 Marketscan Medicaid multistate claims database (Truven Health Analytics). We included children who had continuous enrollment (defined as ≥11 months of coverage) and had at least 1 ED, UC, PCP, or specialist visit during the 2017 calendar year. In 2017, the Marketscan database contained all inpatient, outpatient, and retail pharmacy claims from 9 deidentified states, as well as enrollment information such as year of birth, sex, race/ethnicity, and the months of enrollment.<sup>11</sup> The study protocol was reviewed by the Children's Mercy Kansas City's institutional review board and deemed nonhuman participants research. This study adhered to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.

### **Outcome Measure**

The main outcome was UC reliance, adapted from the work of Kroner et al<sup>10</sup> on ED reliance, defined as the number of UC visits divided by the sum of UC, ED, PCP, and specialist visits. For each child, UC reliance could range from 0% (no reliance; no UC visits regardless of the number of ED, PCP, or specialty visits) to 100% (complete reliance; at least 1 UC visit and no ED, PCP, or specialty visits). Likewise, our definition of high UC reliance was also adapted from this prior work as well as work that a priori determined high ED reliance among young children based on an expert panel of pediatricians as reliance greater than 33%.<sup>10,12</sup> Reliance on other sources of care (eg, PCP reliance) was calculated similarly.

#### Covariates

We examined high and low UC reliance across demographic and clinical characteristics including age, sex, race/ethnicity, presence of a complex chronic condition (CCC), and number of chronic conditions. Children with CCCs<sup>13</sup> were identified using all diagnoses from all Medicaid claims in the

#### JAMA Network Open | Pediatrics

study period. In addition, chronic conditions were identified using the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's Chronic Condition Indicator (CCI),<sup>14</sup> also using all diagnoses from all claims in the study period. To acknowledge the intersection of the CCC (CCCs such as muscular dystrophy) and CCI categorization (potential non-CCCs such as asthma or allergic rhinitis), as well as the distinct information gained from each, we created a chronic condition profile categorizing into groups children with a CCC and for those without a CCC, the number of CCI conditions (0, 1, 2, or  $\geq$ 3).<sup>15</sup>

The setting in which the care was delivered (ED, UC, PCP, specialist, or inpatient) was classified based on the coded location of services provided in the claims data.<sup>16</sup> Primary care provider visits were delineated as WCC and non-WCC visits. Well-child care visits were identified based on recorded *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision* diagnosis codes (V20.2, V20.3, V70.0, V70.3, V70.5, V70.6, V70.8, and V70.9) or *Current Procedural Terminology* codes (99381, 99382, 99383, 99384, 99385, 99391, 99392, 99393, 99394, 99395, 99432, and 99461).<sup>17</sup>

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted from November 11 to 26, 2019. Descriptive statistics were calculated for the entire study population and stratified based on UC reliance category (low reliance [ $\leq$ 33%] or high reliance [>33%]). Differences in proportions were determined using  $\chi^2$  tests. Multivariable logistic models were then used to provide estimated adjusted odds of high UC reliance. Generalized linear models with an assumption of an underlying Poisson distribution were used to calculate adjusted use rates. Age, sex, race/ethnicity, chronic condition profile, and hospitalization in the study period were covariates in the models. We performed sensitivity analysis in the generalized linear models, removing ED visits from the determination of UC reliance to validate the association that UC visits had with nonemergency outpatient visits (PCP and specialist). All analyses were performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc). *P* values were from 2-sided tests and results were deemed statistically significant at *P* < .05.

	Children, No. (%)		
		Urgent care reliance	
Characteristic	Overall (N = 4133238)	Low (n = 3 909 999 [94.6%])	High (n = 223 239 [5.4%])
Age, y <sup>a</sup>			
<1	46 168 (1.1)	45 883 (1.2)	285 (0.1)
1-2	539 702 (13.1)	523 378 (13.4)	16 324 (7.3)
3-5	753 436 (18.2)	711 137 (18.2)	42 299 (18.9)
6-12	1 648 747 (39.9)	1 547 315 (39.6)	101 432 (45.4)
13-18	1 145 185 (27.7)	1 082 286 (27.7)	62 899 (28.2)
Sex <sup>a</sup>			
Male	2 090 278 (50.6)	1 978 851 (50.6)	111 427 (49.9)
Female	2 042 960 (49.4)	1 931 148 (49.4)	111 812 (50.1)
Race/ethnicity <sup>a</sup>			
White	1 855 475 (44.9)	1 740 290 (44.5)	115 185 (51.6)
Black	1 295 053 (31.3)	1 232 751 (31.5)	62 302 (27.9)
Hispanic	349 428 (8.5)	366 695 (8.6)	12 733 (5.7)
Other	144 349 (3.5)	137 578 (3.5)	6771 (3.0)
Missing	488 933 (11.8)	462 685 (11.8)	26 248 (11.8)
Any CCC <sup>a</sup>			
No	3 916 570 (94.8)	3 697 284 (94.6)	219 286 (98.2)
Yes	216 668 (5.2)	212 715 (5.4)	3953 (1.8)
No. of CCIs <sup>a</sup>			
0	1 822 999 (44.1)	1 694 322 (43.3)	128 677 (57.6)
1	1 230 410 (29.8)	1 169 693 (29.9)	60717 (27.2)
2	566 549 (13.7)	545 116 (13.9)	21 433 (9.6)
≥3	513 280 (12.4)	500 868 (12.8)	12 412 (5.6)

# Abbreviations: CCC, complex chronic condition; CCI, chronic condition indicator.

<sup>a</sup> All comparisons between low and high reliance categories were significant at P < .001.</p>

JAMA Network Open. 2020;3(5):e204185. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

Table 1. Characteristics of Study Population Associated With Urgent Care Reliance

### Results

During the study period, 6 596 754 enrollees younger than 19 years were identified in the database, approximately 14% of the total number of children with public health coverage nationally for the year 2017.<sup>18</sup> Of these, 4 133 238 children (62.7%) met inclusion criteria; 2 090 278 children (50.6%) were male and the median age was 9 years (interquartile range, 4-13 years) (**Table 1**). In this study cohort, 3 618 527 children (87.5%) had no UC visits, 329 734 (8.0%) had 1 UC visit, 106 175 (2.6%) had 2 UC visits, and 78 802 (1.9%) had 3 or more UC visits. The high UC reliance group (n = 223 239) accounted for 5.4% of the total study population. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the overall, low UC reliance, and high UC reliance groups are presented in Table 1.

In multivariable analysis, high UC reliance was associated with age, race/ethnicity, and presence of any CCI condition or CCC (**Table 2**). Grade school-aged children (age, 6-12 years) were more likely to have high UC reliance compared with children aged 13 to 18 years (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 1.07; 95% CI, 1.06-1.09). Compared with white children, children who were black (aOR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.81-0.82) or of Hispanic ethnicity (aOR, 0.61; 95% CI, 0.60-0.61) were less likely to have high UC reliance. Children with any CCI condition or CCC were also less likely to have high UC reliance. We performed a sensitivity analysis by removing ED visits from the equation (eTable in the Supplement). An additional 37 391 enrollees were in the high UC reliance group, but there were no substantive changes in the model.

In addition, with increased UC use, children had differing health care setting reliance. Primary care provider WCC, PCP non-WCC, and specialist reliance all declined with increasing UC use (**Figure 1**). After adjusting for enrollee characteristics, children with high UC reliance had significantly lower use than children with low UC reliance of all other sources of outpatient care, both in the proportion accessing care at these sites (PCP WCC: aOR, 0.48; 95% CI, 0.48-0.49; PCP non-WCC: aOR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.37-0.38; specialist: aOR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.36-0.36; and ED: aOR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.65-0.66) and in the number of visits (PCP WCC: adjusted rate ratio [aRR], 0.60; 95% CI, 0.60-0.61; PCP non-WCC: aRR, 0.41; 95% CI, 0.41-0.41; specialist: aRR, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.31-0.31; and ED: aRR, 0.68; 95% CI, 0.67-0.68) (**Figure 2**). Although ED use remained steady across increasing UC use (Figure 1), children in the high UC reliance group had a lower proportion using the ED and fewer ED visits compared with children in the low UC reliance group (Figure 2).

#### Table 2. Multivariable Analysis of Factors Associated With High Urgent Care Reliance

Characteristic		High urgent care reliance, aOR (95% CI)	
Ag	je, y		
	<1	0.11 (0.10-0.12)	
	1-2	0.41 (0.40-0.42)	
	3-5	0.86 (0.85-0.87)	
	6-12	1.07 (1.06-1.09)	
	13-18	1 [Reference]	
Sex			
	Male	0.97 (0.97-0.98)	
	Female	1 [Reference]	
Race/ethnicity			
	White	1 [Reference]	
	Black	0.81 (0.81-0.82)	
	Hispanic	0.61 (0.60-0.61)	
	Other	0.77 (0.75-0.79)	
	Missing	1.07 (1.06-1.09)	
Chronic condition profile			
No CCCs			
	0 Chronic conditions	1 [Reference]	
	1 Chronic condition	0.61 (0.60-0.61)	
	2 Chronic conditions	0.42 (0.43-0.43)	
	≥3 Chronic conditions	0.29 (0.28-0.29)	
	With a CCC	0.21 (0.20-0.21)	

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CCC, complex chronic condition.

Figure 1. Health Care Site Reliance and Urgent Care (UC) Use Among 4 133 238 Medicaid Recipients Younger Than 19 Years, 2017



JAMA Network Open. 2020;3(5):e204185. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

ED indicates emergency department; PCP, primary care provider (physician, advanced practice nurse, or physician assistant); and WCC, well-child care.

### Discussion

Our study found that high UC reliance was associated with lower use of all other sites of outpatient care, including the PCP and ED. We also identified that increasing UC use was associated with declining reliance on other sources of care. Although high UC reliance represented a small percentage of the study population, its association was seen across the entire medical neighborhood. The number of UC centers increased from 6946 in 2015 to 9272 in 2019.<sup>19</sup> Urgent care sites as a setting for acute care are experiencing rapid growth, which may serve to magnify these findings.<sup>20</sup>

Past work indicates that high UC use is associated with high use of all outpatient health care sites, including the PCP and ED<sup>15</sup>; however, we found that those who rely on UC for more than 33% of their acute care needs have fewer visits to the PCP and ED. Urgent care sites may be filling a gap in access to care for certain populations.<sup>15,21</sup> We found that high UC reliance in the Medicaid population occurs more often in healthy, school-aged children. The potentially most vulnerable patients— younger children, minority groups, and those with 3 or more CCIs or a CCC—had the least reliance on UC. Our findings on UC reliance were similar to findings by Kroner et al<sup>10</sup> on pediatric ED reliance, which indicated that younger children and those with a CCC have lower ED reliance. However, in that study, black children were found to have higher ED reliance,<sup>10</sup> which is different from our findings on UC reliance.<sup>22,23</sup> Additional investigation into the location of UC sites relative to minority populations may clarify the reasons for this difference.<sup>24</sup>

Further study of factors associated with high UC reliance is needed to assess if and how reliance on UC may be associated with a child's relationship with the medical home. The data set used for this study does not capture nonbillable communication with the PCP, who may be counseling and coordinating where patients are seeking health care. A recent American Academy of Pediatrics policy statement endorses the "medical home as the best location for children to receive care for an acute nonemergent health concern" to achieve the "optimal clinical and long term health outcomes."<sup>25</sup> However, patients frequently seek care outside the traditional hours of operation of a primary care office, when they may receive acute care treatment at non-PCP sites. We found that patients who are younger or have complex medical problems rely more heavily on their medical home, regardless of whether they see a generalist or specialist, which may indicate that these patients are in closer communication with their PCPs.

Because UC is a relatively new site of care, there are no studies in the literature, to our knowledge, to help determine what constitutes a high level of reliance on UC. Because the ED is the historic site of episodic acute care, we based our definitions of high and low reliance on similar previous ED investigations with the definition of high UC reliance set at more than 33% for all



#### Figure 2. Health Care Site Use Associated With Urgent Care (UC) Reliance Among 4 133 238 Medicaid Recipients Younger Than 19 Years, 2017

ED indicates emergency department; PCP, primary care provider (physician, advanced practice nurse, or physician assistant); and WCC, well-child care. Error bars indicate 95% CIs.

#### JAMA Network Open | Pediatrics

ages.<sup>10,12</sup> However, older children have fewer recommended WCC visits with their PCP and many do not complete annual PCP visits. For fiscal year 2013, 60% of children covered by Medicaid had at least 6 WCC visits in the first 15 months of life, but less than half of adolescents had at least 1 WCC visit.<sup>26</sup> As a result, older children may fall into the high UC reliance group with fewer UC visits owing to fewer potential PCP visits in the denominator. Future evaluation of a higher threshold constituting high UC reliance for older children should be explored.

Use of the Medicaid database permitted us to study a large population and differentiate UC visits from visits to other acute care locations. Furthermore, the population insured by Medicaid has been previously identified to seek care outside the medical home, and to be at risk of becoming reliant on acute care sites such as the ED or UC; thus, this population warranted investigation in our study.<sup>10,27</sup>

## Limitations

There are several limitations that should be considered when interpreting these results. The type of UC center (independent or associated with the health care system of the patient's PCP) is not distinguished in the data set. Urgent care centers existing within a particular health care system may allow UC clinicians to access the patient's medical record and facilitate communication with the PCP. Also, the database does not differentiate whether a patient had Medicaid managed care or Medicaid fee for service; therefore, we were unable to determine whether type of Medicaid coverage was associated with reliance. In addition, Medicaid makes up a small portion of overall UC visits, and analyzing data from a subset of a single public insurer may affect the generalizability of the results.<sup>28</sup> The data represent a 1-year period, which may not be long enough to fully account for patients' ongoing outpatient health care use patterns. Also, the inclusion criteria of 11 months of continuous enrollment in Medicaid limited our sample of infants. Finally, as the focus of our study was on patients seeking outpatient care, we can comment only on children with at least 1 outpatient visit. Reliance would be incalculable without any outpatient visits, as the denominator would be zero.<sup>10</sup>

## Conclusions

High UC reliance was associated with lower health care use across other outpatient care sites, including PCP and ED visits. High UC reliance was relatively uncommon in the Medicaid population but more common in healthy, nonminority, school-aged children. High UC reliance likely fills a need for children with acute care issues but has the potential to disrupt the medical home model. Further studies are needed to investigate the reasons that patients and families seek care at UC sites and evaluate the health and financial implications of this choice.

#### **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

Accepted for Publication: March 4, 2020.

Published: May 6, 2020. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4185

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2020 Burns RR et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Rebecca R. Burns, MD, Urgent Care, Division of Emergency Medicine, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, 225 E Chicago, PO Box 62, Chicago, IL 60611 (rburns@luriechildrens.org).

Author Affiliations: Division of Emergency Medicine, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital, Chicago, Illinois (Burns, Alpern); Division of Analytics, Children's Hospital Association, Lenexa, Kansas (Rodean, Hall); Division of Emergency Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland (Canares); Health Outcomes and Health Services Research, Children's Mercy, Kansas City, Missouri (Lee); Division of Urgent Care, Children's Mercy, Kansas City, Missouri (Montalbano).

Author Contributions: Dr Burns had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Burns, Alpern, Rodean, Canares, Hall, Montalbano.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Rodean, Canares, Lee, Hall.

Drafting of the manuscript: Burns, Alpern, Canares, Hall.

*Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:* Burns, Alpern, Rodean, Canares, Lee, Montalbano.

Statistical analysis: Rodean, Lee, Hall.

Supervision: Burns, Montalbano.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Lee reported receiving grants from Merck and grants from Pfizer outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Additional Contributions: Amy Sirizi, BSc, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, provided manuscript preparation support. She was not compensated for her contribution.

#### REFERENCES

1. Mehrotra A. The convenience revolution for treatment of low-acuity conditions. *JAMA*. 2013;310(1):35-36. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.6825

2. Villaseñor S, Krouse HJ. Can the use of urgent care clinics improve access to care without undermining continuity in primary care? J Am Assoc Nurse Pract. 2016;28(6):335-341. doi:10.1002/2327-6924.12314

3. Sturm JJ, Hirsh DA, Lee EK, Massey R, Weselman B, Simon HK. Practice characteristics that influence nonurgent pediatric emergency department utilization. *Acad Pediatr*. 2010;10(1):70-74. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2009.10.001

4. Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine and Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule Workgroup. 2016 Recommendations for preventive pediatric health care. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137(1):e20153908. doi:10.1542/peds. 2015-3908

5. Blackburn J, Becker DJ, Sen B, Morrisey MA, Caldwell C, Menachemi N. Characteristics of low-severity emergency department use among CHIP enrollees. *Am J Manag Care*. 2013;19(12):e391-e399.

**6**. Shippee ND, Shippee TP, Hess EP, Beebe TJ. An observational study of emergency department utilization among enrollees of Minnesota Health Care Programs: financial and non-financial barriers have different associations. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2014;14:62. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-14-62

7. Mistry RD, Hoffmann RG, Yauck JS, Brousseau DC. Association between parental and childhood emergency department utilization. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(2):e147-e151. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-1798

8. Hashikawa AN, Brousseau DC, Singer DC, Gebremariam A, Davis MM. Emergency department and urgent care for children excluded from child care. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(1):e120-e127. doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3226

**9**. Morrison AK, Schapira MM, Gorelick MH, Hoffmann RG, Brousseau DC. Low caregiver health literacy is associated with higher pediatric emergency department use and nonurgent visits. *Acad Pediatr*. 2014;14(3): 309-314. doi:10.1016/j.acap.2014.01.004

10. Kroner EL, Hoffmann RG, Brousseau DC. Emergency department reliance: a discriminatory measure of frequent emergency department users. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125(1):133-138. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-0960

11. IBM Watson Health. Truven Health Analytics: Marketscan research databases. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://truvenhealth.com/your-healthcare-focus/analytic-research/marketscan-research-databases

12. Alessandrini EA, Shaw KN, Bilker WB, Perry KA, Baker MD, Schwarz DF. Effects of Medicaid managed care on health care use: infant emergency department and ambulatory services. *Pediatrics*. 2001;108(1):103-110. doi: 10.1542/peds.108.1.103

**13**. Feudtner C, Feinstein JA, Zhong W, Hall M, Dai D. Pediatric complex chronic conditions classification system version 2: updated for *ICD-10* and complex medical technology dependence and transplantation. *BMC Pediatr*. 2014;14:199. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-14-199

14. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Chronic Condition Indicator (CCI) for *ICD-9-CM*. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/toolssoftware/chronic/chronic.jsp

**15**. Montalbano A, Rodean J, Canares T, et al. Urgent care utilization in the pediatric Medicaid population. *J Pediatr.* 2017;191:238-243.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.08.035

16. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Place of service code set. 2016. Accessed November 3, 2016. https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/place-of-service-codes/Place\_of\_Service\_Code\_Set

17. Bouchery E. Medicaid policy brief: utilization of well-child care among Medicaid-enrolled children. Mathematica Policy Research. Brief 10. October 2012. Accessed April 12, 2016. https://www.cms.gov/research-statistics-data-and-systems/computer-data-and-systems/medicaiddatasourcesgeninfo/downloads/max\_ib10\_wellchild.pdf

18. Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation. Health insurance coverage of children O-18. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://www.kff.org/other/state-indicator/children-O-18/?dataView=1&currentTimeframe=3&sortModel=%7B%22colld%22%3A%22Location%22%2C%22sort%22%3A%22asc%22%7D

**19**. Urgent Care Association of America. *The Essential Role of the Urgent Care Center in Population Health*. Urgent Care Association of America; 2019.

**20.** FAIRHealth. FH healthcare indicators and FH medical price index: a new view of place of service trends and medical pricing. Published March 2018. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://s3.amazonaws.com/media2.fairhealth.org/whitepaper/asset/FH%20Medical%20Price%20Index%20and%20FH%20Healthcare%20Indicators--whitepaper.pdf

21. Hogencamp TC, Montalbano A. The new medical neighborhood—where does pediatric urgent care fit in? *Clin Pediatr Emerg Med.* 2017;18(1):4-13. doi:10.1016/j.cpem.2017.01.002

**22**. Zickafoose JS, DeCamp LR, Prosser LA. Association between enhanced access services in pediatric primary care and utilization of emergency departments: a national parent survey. *J Pediatr.* 2013;163(5):1389-1395. doi:10. 1016/j.jpeds.2013.04.050

23. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine. Overcrowding crisis in our nation's emergency departments: is our safety net unraveling? *Pediatrics*. 2004;114(3):878-888. doi:10.1542/peds.2004-1287

**24**. Allen L. The impact of urgent care centers and retail clinics on health care access and emergency department use. Emory University Electronic Theses and Dissertations. 2017. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://etd.library.emory.edu/concern/etds/wd375x16b?locale=en

**25**. Conners GP, Kressly SJ, Perrin JM, Richerson JE, Sankrithi UM; Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine; Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine; Section on Telehealth Care; Section on Emergency Medicine; Subcommittee on Urgent Care; Task Force on Pediatric Practice Change. Nonemergency acute care: when it's not the medical home. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(5):e20170629. doi:10.1542/peds.2017-0629

**26**. Burwell SM. The Department of Health and Human Services 2014 annual report on the quality of care for children in Medicaid and CHIP. November 2014. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/quality-of-care/downloads/2014-child-sec-rept.pdf

**27**. Alpern ER, Clark AE, Alessandrini EA, et al; Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network (PECARN). Recurrent and high-frequency use of the emergency department by pediatric patients. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2014;21 (4):365-373. doi:10.1111/acem.12347

28. Harris Williams & Co. Urgent care industry overview. September 2013. Accessed September 3, 2019. https://www.harriswilliams.com/system/files/industry\_update/urgent\_care\_industry.pdf

#### SUPPLEMENT.

eTable. Sensitivity Multivariable Analysis of Risk Factors of High Urgent Care Reliance Without ED Visits in the Model